#### OFFICIAL STATEMENT DATED: November 2, 2023

#### Ratings (PSF): Moody's: "Aaa" S&P: "AAA" PSF: Conditionally Approved (See "OTHER INFORMATION – Ratings," "THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM" and "APPENDIX D – THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM" herein.)

Due: February 15, as shown on page ii

#### **<u>NEW ISSUE</u>: BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY**

In the opinion of Bond Counsel (defined below), under current law and subject to conditions described in the Section herein "Tax Exemption," interest on the Bonds (a) is not included in gross income for federal income tax purposes, (b) is not an item of tax preference for purposes of the federal alternative minimum income tax, and (c) is taken into account by applicable corporations (as defined in Section 59(k) of the Code) for the alternative minimum tax imposed on such corporations. A holder may be subject to other federal tax consequences as described in the Section herein "TAX EXEMPTION."

#### \$32,905,000 DICKINSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT (Galveston County, Texas) UNLIMITED TAX REFUNDING BONDS, SERIES 2023A

#### Dated Date: December 1, 2023 Interest Accrual Date: Date of Delivery (defined below)

The Dickinson Independent School District (the "District") is issuing its \$32,905,000 Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2023A (the "Bonds") in accordance with the Constitution and general laws of the State of Texas, including particularly Chapters 1207 and 1371, Texas Government Code, as amended, and an order adopted by the Board of Trustees of the District (the "Board") authorizing the issuance of the Bonds. In the order, the Board delegated pricing of the Bonds and certain other matters to a pricing officer who approved a pricing certificate which contains final pricing information for the Bonds (the order and the pricing certificate are collectively referred to herein as the "Order").

The Bonds constitute direct obligations of the District and are payable as to principal and interest from the proceeds of an annual ad valorem tax levied, without legal limit as to rate or amount, against all taxable property located within the District. An application has been filed and conditional approval has been received by the District for the payment of the principal of and interest on the Bonds to be guaranteed by the corpus of the Permanent School Fund of the State of Texas. See "THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM" and "APPENDIX D – THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM."

Interest on the Bonds will accrue from the Date of Delivery (defined below) to the underwriters listed below (the "Underwriters"), at the interest rates shown on page ii hereof and such interest shall be payable to the registered owners thereof on each February 15 and August 15, commencing February 15, 2024, until stated maturity. Interest accruing on the Bonds will be calculated on the basis of a 360-day year of twelve 30-day months.

The definitive Bonds will be initially registered and delivered only to Cede & Co., the nominee of The Depository Trust Company, New York, New York ("DTC"), pursuant to the Book-Entry-Only System described herein. Beneficial ownership of the Bonds may be acquired in denominations of \$5,000 or integral multiples thereof. No physical delivery of the Bonds will be made to the beneficial owners thereof. Principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Bonds will be payable by Computershare Trust Company, N.A., St. Paul, Minnesota, the initial paying agent/registrar for the bonds (the "Paying Agent/Registrar") to Cede & Co., which will make distribution of the amounts so paid to the participating members of DTC for subsequent payment to the beneficial owners of the Bonds. See "BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM" and "THE BONDS – Paying Agent/Registrar."

Proceeds from the sale of the Bonds will be used (i) to refund a portion of the District's outstanding bonds (the "Refunded Bonds") (see "SCHEDULE I – SCHEDULE OF REFUNDED BONDS") and (ii) to pay the costs associated with the issuance of the Bonds (see "PLAN OF FINANCING – Purpose"). The refunding is being undertaken to lower the District's debt service payments and will result in a present value debt service savings to the District.

#### CUSIP PREFIX: 253363 MATURITY SCHEDULE & 9 DIGIT CUSIP – See page ii

The Bonds are offered when, as and if issued, and accepted by the Underwriters, subject to the approving opinion of the Attorney General of the State of Texas and the opinion of Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP, Houston, Texas, Bond Counsel. Certain legal matters will be passed upon for the Underwriters by their counsel, Bracewell LLP, Houston, Texas. The Bonds are expected to be available for initial delivery through the services of DTC on or about December 5, 2023 (the "Date of Delivery").

# PIPER SANDLER & CO.

# SAMCO CAPITAL MARKETS

## SIEBERT WILLIAMS SHANK & CO., LLC

## MATURITY SCHEDULE

\$32,905,000				
DICKINSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT				
(Galveston County, Texas)				
UNLIMITED TAX REFUNDING BONDS, SERIES 2023A				

Maturity Date (2/15) <sup>(a)</sup>	Principal Amount	Interest Rate	Initial Yield <sup>(b)</sup>	CUSIP Number <sup>(c)</sup>	
2025	\$4,475,000	5.000%	3.750%	253363 D36	
2026	4,515,000	5.000	3.720	253363 D44	
2027	3,870,000	5.000	3.650	253363 D51	
2028	4,060,000	5.000	3.600	253363 D69	
2029	4,270,000	5.000	3.640	253363 D77	
2030	4,485,000	5.000	3.690	253363 D85	
2031	3,525,000	5.000	3.750	253363 D93	
2032	3,705,000	5.000	3.790	253363 E27	
(Interest to accrue from the Date of Delivery)					

<sup>(</sup>a) The Bonds are not subject to redemption prior to their stated maturity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(b)</sup> The initial yields at which the Bonds are priced are established by and are the sole responsibility of the Underwriters and may be changed at any time at the discretion of the Underwriters.

<sup>(</sup>c) CUSIP numbers have been assigned to this issue by the CUSIP Global Services managed by FactSet Research Systems Inc. on behalf of the American Bankers Association and are included solely for the convenience of the purchasers of the Bonds. Neither the District, the Financial Advisor, nor the Underwriters shall be responsible for the selection or correctness of the CUSIP numbers set forth herein.

#### **USE OF INFORMATION IN OFFICIAL STATEMENT**

This Official Statement, which includes the cover page and the Schedules and Appendices A and B hereto, does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy in any jurisdiction to any person to whom it is unlawful to make such offer, solicitation or sale.

No dealer, broker, salesperson or other person has been authorized to give information or to make any representation other than those contained in this Official Statement, and, if given or made, such other information or representations must not be relied upon.

Certain information set forth herein has been provided by sources other than the District that the District believes are reliable, but the District makes no representation as to the accuracy of such information. Any information and expressions of opinion herein contained are subject to change without notice, and neither the delivery of the Official Statement nor any sale made hereunder shall, under any circumstances, create any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of the District or other matters described herein since the date hereof. See "CONTINUING DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION" for a description of the District's undertaking to provide certain information on a continuing basis.

THE BONDS ARE EXEMPT FROM REGISTRATION WITH THE UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION AND CONSEQUENTLY HAVE NOT BEEN REGISTERED THEREWITH. THE REGISTRATION, QUALIFICATION, OR EXEMPTION OF THE BONDS IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE SECURITIES LAW PROVISIONS OF THE JURISDICTIONS IN WHICH THESE SECURITIES HAVE BEEN REGISTERED, QUALIFIED OR EXEMPTED SHOULD NOT BE REGARDED AS A RECOMMENDATION THEREOF.

NONE OF THE DISTRICT, ITS FINANCIAL ADVISOR, OR THE UNDERWRITERS MAKE ANY REPRESENTATION OR WARRANTY WITH RESPECT TO THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT REGARDING THE DEPOSITORY TRUST COMPANY NEW YORK, NEW YORK ("DTC") OR ITS BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM OR THE AFFAIRS OF THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY ("TEA") DESCRIBED UNDER "THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM" AND "APPENDIX-D - THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM," AS SUCH INFORMATION HAS BEEN PROVIDED BY DTC AND TEA, RESPECTIVELY.

IN CONNECTION WITH THE OFFERING OF THE BONDS, THE UNDERWRITERS MAY OVER-ALLOT OR EFFECT TRANSACTIONS WHICH STABILIZE OR MAINTAIN THE MARKET PRICES OF THE BONDS AT A LEVEL ABOVE THAT WHICH MIGHT OTHERWISE PREVAIL IN THE OPEN MARKET. SUCH STABILIZING, IF COMMENCED, MAY BE DISCONTINUED AT ANY TIME.

THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT CONTAINS "FORWARD-LOOKING" STATEMENTS. SUCH STATEMENTS MAY INVOLVE KNOWN AND UNKNOWN RISKS, UNCERTAINTIES AND OTHER FACTORS WHICH MAY CAUSE THE ACTUAL RESULTS, PERFORMANCE AND ACHIEVEMENTS TO BE DIFFERENT FROM THE FUTURE RESULTS, PERFORMANCE AND ACHIEVEMENTS EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED BY SUCH FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS. INVESTORS ARE CAUTIONED THAT THE ACTUAL RESULTS COULD DIFFER MATERIALLY FROM THOSE SET FORTH IN THE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS.

The cover page contains certain information for general reference only and is not intended as a summary of this offering. Investors should read the entire Official Statement, including all schedules and appendices attached hereto, to obtain information essential to making an informed investment decision.

The Underwriters have provided the following sentence for inclusion in this Official Statement. The Underwriters have reviewed the information in the Official Statement in accordance with, and as part of, their responsibilities to investors under the federal securities laws as applied to the facts and circumstances of the transaction, but the Underwriters do not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such information.

References to web site addresses presented herein are for informational purposes only and may be in the form of a hyperlink solely for the reader's convenience. Unless specified otherwise, such web sites and the information or hyperlinks contained therein are not incorporated into, and are not part of, this offering document.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

MATURITY SCHEDULE ii
USE OF INFORMATION IN OFFICIAL STATEMENT iii
OFFICIAL STATEMENT SUMMARYv
DISTRICT OFFICIALS, STAFF AND CONSULTANTS vii
INTRODUCTION1
Description of the District1
PLAN OF FINANCING 1
Purpose
Refunded Bonds1
Sources and Uses of Funds
THE BONDS
Description of the Bonds2
Authority for Issuance
Security and Source of Payment
Permanent School Fund Guarantee
No Optional Redemption
Defeasance
Paying Agent/Registrar
Transfer, Exchange and Registration
Record Date for Interest Payment
Replacement Bonds
Bond Holders' Remedies
BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM
THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE
PROGRAM
STATE AND LOCAL FUNDING OF SCHOOL DISTRICTS
IN TEXAS
Litigation Relating to the Texas Public School Finance
System
Possible Effects of Changes in Law on District Bonds 7
CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM
Overview7
2023 Legislative Sessions
Local Funding for School Districts
State Funding for School Districts
Local Revenue Level in Excess of Entitlement
THE SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM AS APPLIED TO THE
DISTRICT
AD VALOREM TAX PROCEDURES 12
Valuation of Taxable Property13
District and Taxpayer Remedies

Levy and Collection of Taxes	
District's Rights in the Event of Tax Delinquencies	
DISTRICT APPLICATION OF TAX CODE	
TAX RATE LIMITATIONS	16
M&O Tax Rate Limitations	16
I&S Tax Rate Limitations	17
Public Hearing and Voter-Approval Tax Rate	17
EMPLOYEES' BENEFIT PLANS	18
FINANCIAL POLICIES	19
INVESTMENTS	19
Legal Investments	20
Investment Policies	21
Additional Provisions	22
RESPONSE TO COVID-19	22
WEATHER EVENTS	
CYBERSECURITY	23
EXPOSURE TO OIL AND GAS INDUSTRY	23
TAX EXEMPTION	23
Opinion of Bond Counsel	23
Alternative Minimum Tax	24
Other Tax Matters	
Bond Premium	
VERIFICATION OF ARITHMETICAL COMPUTATIONS	
CONTINUING DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION	25
Annual Reports	
Event Notices	25
Limitations and Amendments	
Compliance with Prior Undertakings	
OTHER INFORMATION	
Ratings	
Effects of Sequestration on Certain Obligations	27
Litigation	27
Legal Investments and Eligibility to Secure Public Fun	ds
in Texas	27
Registration and Qualification of Bonds for Sale	28
Legal Matters	
Financial Advisor	29
Underwriting	
Forward Looking Statements	
Audited Financial Statements	
Miscellaneous	

SCHEDULE OF REFUNDED BONDS	SCHEDULE I
FINANCIAL INFORMATION REGARDING THE DISTRICT	APPENDIX A
EXCERPTS FROM THE DISTRICT'S ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT	APPENDIX B
FORM OF BOND COUNSEL'S OPINION	APPENDIX C
THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM	APPENDIX D

The cover page hereof, this page, the appendices included herein and any addenda, supplement or amendment hereto, are part of the Official Statement.

#### **OFFICIAL STATEMENT SUMMARY**

This summary is subject in all respects to the more complete information and definitions contained or incorporated in this Official Statement. The offering of the Bonds to potential investors is made only by means of this entire Official Statement. No person is authorized to detach this summary from this Official Statement or to otherwise use it without the entire Official Statement.

The District	The Dickinson Independent School District (the "District") is a political subdivision of the State of Texas located in Galveston County, Texas. The District is approximately 61 square miles in area. See "INTRODUCTION – Description of the District."
The Bonds	The Bonds are issued as \$32,905,000 Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2023A (the "Bonds"). The Bonds are issued and mature as shown on page ii of this Official Statement. See "THE BONDS – Description of the Bonds."
Payment of Interest	Interest on the Bonds will accrue from the date of their initial delivery (the "Date of Delivery") to the underwriters listed on the cover page hereof, at the interest rates shown on page ii hereof and such interest shall be payable to the registered owners thereof on February 15 and August 15, commencing February 15, 2024, until stated maturity. See "THE BONDS – Description of the Bonds."
Authority for Issuance	The Bonds are issued pursuant to the Constitution and general laws of the State of Texas, including, particularly, Chapters 1207 and 1371, Texas Government Code, as amended, and an order adopted by the Board of Trustees of the District (the "Board") authorizing the issuance of the Bonds. In the order, the Board delegated pricing of the Bonds and certain other matters to a pricing officer who approved a pricing certificate which contains final pricing information for the Bonds (the order and the pricing certificate are collectively referred to herein as the "Order"). See "THE BONDS – Authority for Issuance."
Security for the Bonds	The Bonds constitute direct obligations of the District, payable from a continuing ad valorem tax levied, without limit as to rate or amount, on all taxable property within the District. Additionally, the payment of principal of and interest on the Bonds is expected to be guaranteed by the corpus of the Permanent School Fund of the State of Texas. See "THE BONDS – Security and Source of Payment," "THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM" and "APPENDIX D – THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM."
Permanent School Fund Guarantee	The District has received conditional approval from the Texas Education Agency for the payment of principal of and interest on the Bonds to be guaranteed by the corpus of the Permanent School Fund of the State of Texas. See "THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM" and "APPENDIX D – THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM."
No Optional Redemption	The Bonds are not subject to redemption prior to their stated maturity.
Tax Exemption	In the opinion of Bond Counsel, under current law and subject to conditions described in the Section herein "TAX EXEMPTION," interest on the Bonds (a) is not included in gross income for federal income tax purposes, and (b) is not an item of tax preference for purposes of the federal alternative minimum income tax. A holder may be subject to other federal tax consequences as described in the Section herein "TAX EXEMPTION." See "TAX EXEMPTION" for a discussion of the opinion of Bond Counsel.
Use of Proceeds	Proceeds from the sale of the Bonds will be used (i) to refund a portion of the District's outstanding bonds (the "Refunded Bonds") (see "SCHEDULE I – SCHEDULE OF REFUNDED BONDS") and (ii) to pay the costs associated with the issuance of the Bonds (see "PLAN OF FINANCING – Purpose"). The refunding is being undertaken to lower the District's debt service payments and will result in a present value debt service savings to the District.
Ratings	The Bonds are rated "Aaa" by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("Moody's") and "AAA" by S&P Global Ratings, a division of S&P Global ("S&P") by virtue of the guarantee of the Permanent School Fund of the State of Texas. The underlying ratings of the District are "Aa3" by Moody's and "A" by S&P. See "OTHER INFORMATION – Ratings."

#### **Book-Entry-Only System**

The definitive Bonds will be initially registered and delivered only to Cede & Co., the nominee of The Depository Trust Company, New York, New York ("DTC"), pursuant to the Book-Entry-Only System described herein. Beneficial ownership of the Bonds may be acquired in denominations of \$5,000 of principal or integral multiples thereof. No physical delivery of the Bonds will be made to the beneficial owners thereof. Principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Bonds will be payable by the paying agent/registrar, initially Computershare Trust Company, N.A., St. Paul, Minnesota, to Cede & Co., which will make distribution of the amounts so paid to the participating members of DTC for subsequent payment to the beneficial owners of the Bonds. See "BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM."

#### SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

						Ratio of Tax
				Tax		Supported
Fiscal			Taxable	Supported	Tax	Debt
Year	Estimated	Taxable	Assessed	Debt	Supported	to Taxable
Ended	District	Assessed	Valuation	Outstanding	Debt	Assessed
8/31	Population (1)	Valuation (2)	Per Capita	at End of Year	Per Capita	Valuation
2020	60,587	\$4,319,811,533	\$71,299	\$295,390,000	\$4,875	6.84%
2021	61,753	5,001,522,498	80,992	373,055,000	6,041	7.46%
2022	63,291	5,943,730,817	93,911	362,190,000	5,723	6.09%
2023	64,650	6,606,402,796	102,187	466,390,000	7,214	7.06%
2024	67,021	6,641,108,819 <sup>(3)</sup>	99,090	450,595,000 (4)	6,723 (4	6.78% <sup>(4)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> Source: Municipal Advisory Council of Texas.

(2) Established by Galveston Central Appraisal District. Includes value of property which is "frozen" at lower levels for homesteads of taxpayers 65 years or older, their surviving spouses and disabled taxpayers. Net of exemptions. Subject to change during ensuing years.

(3) Value assumes the application of a state-mandated general homestead exemption of \$100,000. A proposed constitutional amendment referred to as Proposition 4 will be on the November 7, 2023 ballot. If approved, it would increase the state-mandated homestead exemption for school districts from \$40,000 to \$100,000.

<sup>(4)</sup> Projected. Includes the Bonds and excludes the Refunded Bonds.

## GENERAL FUND CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT SUMMARY

	Fiscal Year Ended August 31,				
	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Beginning Balance	\$ 49,072,013	\$ 55,921,475	\$ 56,034,139	\$ 53,243,940	\$ 49,971,503
Total Revenue	112,769,365	112,304,765	107,645,905	101,949,699	98,385,366
Total Expenditures	123,846,038	119,287,344	107,760,788	99,191,945	95,215,202
Other Financing Sources	124,541	133,117	2,219	32,445	102,273
Ending Balance	\$ 38,119,881 (1	) \$ 49,072,013	\$ 55,921,475	\$ 56,034,139	\$ 53,243,940

Source: The District's audited financial statements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1)</sup> The decline in fund balance was due to the hiring of additional staff, particularly mental health professionals and academic tutors, for the purpose of aiding students impacted by the Pandemic (as defined herein). The District is in the process of reducing these additional services but expects to continue to incur expenses for this purpose through the 2023-2024 school year. The District currently estimates its Ending General Fund Balance for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2023 to be approximately \$31 million. This amount represents unaudited information that has not been prepared or reviewed by the District's independent auditor. The unaudited information is derived from internal account balances of the District as calculated by the District and is subject to revision upon completion of the District's annual audit. Additionally, the District's budget for the 2023-2024 school year includes the expected use of approximately \$4 million of General Fund balance.

# DISTRICT OFFICIALS, STAFF AND CONSULTANTS

# **Elected Officials**

		Length of	Term Expires
Board of Trustees	Office	Service	(May)
Corey Magliolo	President	5 years	2025
Jessica Rodriguez	Vice President	5 years	2025
Veanna Veasey	Secretary	7 years	2026
Mary Anthamatten	Member	2 years	2025
Mike Mackey	Member	12 years	2026
Jeff Pittman	Member	17 years	2024
Patrick Dominguez	Member	2 months	2024

# Selected Administrative Staff

Name	Position	Length of Service with the District
Carla Voelkel	Superintendent	10 years
Ryan Boone	Deputy Superintendent for Business and Operations	15 years
Kelly Logsdon	Executive Director for Business and Operations	18 years

# **Consultants and Advisors**

Auditors	Mays & Associates, PLLC
	Baytown, Texas
Bond Counsel	
	Houston, Texas
Financial Advisor	Post Oak Municipal Advisors LLC
	*
	Houston, Texas

For additional information regarding the District, please contact:

Ms. Carla Voelkel		Mr. Terrell Palmer
Superintendent of Schools	or	President
Dickinson Independent School District		Post Oak Municipal Advisors LLC
2218 FM 517 East		820 Gessner Road, Suite 1350
Dickinson, Texas 77539		Houston, Texas 77024
(281) 229-6100 Phone		(713) 328-0990 Phone

#### **OFFICIAL STATEMENT**

#### \$32,905,000 DICKINSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT (Galveston County, Texas) UNLIMITED TAX REFUNDING BONDS, SERIES 2023A

#### **INTRODUCTION**

This Official Statement, which includes the cover page, the schedule and appendices hereto, provides certain information regarding the issuance by Dickinson Independent School District (the "District") of its \$32,905,000 Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2023A (the "Bonds"). Capitalized terms used in this Official Statement have the same meanings assigned to such terms in the order adopted by the Board of Trustees of the District (the "Board") on May 11, 2023, which authorized the issuance of the Bonds (the "Bond Order"), except as otherwise indicated herein. In the Bond Order, pricing of the Bonds and certain other matters were delegated to a pricing officer who approved a pricing certificate which contains final pricing information for the Bonds (the "Pricing Certificate"). The Bond Order and the Pricing Certificate are collectively referred to herein as the "Order."

There follows in this Official Statement descriptions of the Bonds and certain information regarding the District and its finances. All descriptions of documents contained herein are only summaries and are qualified in their entirety by reference to each such document. Copies of such documents may be obtained upon request by electronic mail or upon payment of reasonable copying, mailing, and handling charges by writing the District's Financial Advisor, Post Oak Municipal Advisors LLC, 820 Gessner Road, Suite 1350, Houston, Texas 77024.

#### **Description of the District**

The District is a political subdivision of the State of Texas (the "State") located in Galveston County, Texas. The District is governed by a seven-member Board who serve staggered three-year terms with elections being held in May of each year. Policy-making and supervisory functions are the responsibility of, and are vested in, the Board. The Board delegates administrative responsibilities to the Superintendent of Schools who is the chief administrative officer of the District. Support services are supplied by consultants and advisors. The District consists of approximately 61 square miles in Galveston County, Texas, encompassing the City of Dickinson, Texas. The District currently serves an enrollment of approximately 12,000 students. The District currently has one early education center, seven elementary schools, three middle schools, three junior high schools, one high school and three alternative education centers.

#### PLAN OF FINANCING

#### Purpose

The Bonds are being issued for the purpose of refunding a portion of the District's outstanding bonds, as more particularly described in "SCHEDULE I – SCHEDULE OF REFUNDED BONDS" (collectively, the "Refunded Bonds"), in order to generate present value debt service savings for the District, and to pay the costs of issuance of the Bonds. See SCHEDULE I for a detailed listing of the Refunded Bonds and their respective call date at par.

#### **Refunded Bonds**

The Refunded Bonds and the interest due thereon are to be paid on the scheduled redemption date from funds to be deposited with Computershare Trust Company, N.A., St. Paul, Minnesota (the "Escrow Agent") pursuant to an escrow agreement (the "Escrow Agreement") between the District and the Escrow Agent.

The Order provides that from the proceeds of the sale of the Bonds to underwriters listed on the cover page hereof (the "Underwriters"), the District will deposit with the Escrow Agent an amount, together with other lawfully available funds, which, when added to the investment earnings thereon, will be sufficient to accomplish the discharge and final payment of the Refunded Bonds. Such funds will be held by the Escrow Agent in an escrow account (the "Escrow Fund") and used to purchase noncallable direct obligations of the United States of America and noncallable obligations of an agency or instrumentality of the United States of America (the "Federal Securities"). Under the Escrow Agreement, the Escrow Fund is irrevocably pledged to the payment of principal of and interest on the Refunded Bonds.

Public Finance Partners LLC, will verify at the time of delivery of the Bonds to the Underwriters that the Federal Securities will mature and pay interest in such amounts which, together with uninvested funds, if any, in the Escrow Fund, will be sufficient to pay, when due, the principal of and interest on the Refunded Bonds on their scheduled redemption date. Such maturing principal of and interest on the Federal Securities will not be available to pay the debt service on the Bonds. See "VERIFICATION OF ARITHMETICAL COMPUTATIONS."

By the deposit of the Federal Securities and cash with the Escrow Agent pursuant to the Escrow Agreement, the District will have effected the defeasance of the Refunded Bonds pursuant to the terms of Chapter 1207, Texas Government Code, and the order authorizing the issuance of the Refunded Bonds. It is the opinion of Bond Counsel that as a result of such defeasance and in reliance upon the report of Public Finance Partners LLC, the Refunded Bonds will be outstanding only for the purpose of receiving payments from the Federal Securities and cash held for such purpose by the Escrow Agent, and the Refunded Bonds will not be deemed as being outstanding obligations of the District payable from the sources and secured in the manner provided in the order authorizing their issuance or for any other purpose. Upon defeasance of the Refunded Bonds, the payment of such Refunded Bonds will no longer be guaranteed by the Permanent School Fund of the State of Texas.

The District has covenanted in the Escrow Agreement to make timely deposits with the Escrow Agent from lawfully available funds of any additional amounts required to pay the principal of and interest on the Refunded Bonds, if for any reason the Federal Securities and cash balances on deposit or scheduled to be on deposit in the Escrow Fund are insufficient to make such payment.

#### Sources and Uses of Funds

The proceeds from the sale of the Bonds, together with other available funds, will be applied approximately as follows:

Sources of Funds	
Par Amount of Bonds	\$ 32,905,000.00
Original Issue Premium	1,743,321.65
Transfer from Debt Service Fund	3,472,965.28
Total	\$ <u>38,121,286.93</u>
Uses of Funds	
Deposit to Escrow Fund	\$ 37,832,877.28
Underwriters' Discount	124,586.48
Costs of Issuance (1)	163,823.17
Total	\$ <u>38,121,286.93</u>

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes fees of Bond Counsel, the Financial Advisor, the Paying Agent/Registrar, the Escrow Agent, the rating agencies and other costs related to the issuance of the Bonds.

## THE BONDS

#### **Description of the Bonds**

The Bonds are dated December 1, 2023 and mature on February 15 in each of the years and in the amounts shown on page ii hereof. Interest on the Bonds will accrue from the date of their initial delivery (the "Date of Delivery") to the Underwriters, at the interest rates shown on page ii hereof and such interest shall be payable to the registered owners thereof on February 15 and August 15, commencing February 15, 2024, until stated maturity. Interest accruing on the Bonds will be calculated on the basis of a 360-day year of twelve 30-day months. The definitive Bonds will be initially registered form in any integral multiple of \$5,000 of principal amount for any one maturity and will be initially registered and delivered only to Cede & Co., the nominee of The Depository Trust Company, New York, New York ("DTC"), pursuant to the Book-Entry-Only System described herein. No physical delivery of the Bonds will be made to the beneficial owners thereof. Principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Bonds will be payable by the initial paying agent/registrar, Computershare Trust Company, N.A., St. Paul, Minnesota (the "Paying Agent/Registrar"), to Cede & Co., which will make distribution of the amounts so paid to the participating members of DTC for subsequent payment to the beneficial owners of the Bonds. See "BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM" herein.

#### Authority for Issuance

The Bonds are issued pursuant to the Constitution and general laws of the State, including particularly Chapters 1207 and 1371, Texas Government Code, as amended, and the Order.

#### Security and Source of Payment

The Bonds constitute direct obligations of the District and are payable from a continuing ad valorem tax levied, without limit as to rate or amount, on all taxable property within the District. Additionally, the payment of principal of and interest on the Bonds is expected to be guaranteed by the corpus of the Permanent School Fund of the State. See "THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM" and "APPENDIX D - THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM" herein.

#### **Permanent School Fund Guarantee**

In connection with the sale of the Bonds, the District has submitted an application to the Texas Education Agency and has received conditional approval from the Commissioner of Education (the "Education Commissioner") for guarantee of the Bonds under the Permanent School Fund Guarantee Program of the State (Chapter 45, Subchapter C of the Texas Education Code). Subject to satisfying certain conditions discussed under the heading "THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM" and "APPENDIX D - THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM" herein, the payment of the principal of and interest on the Bonds will be absolutely and unconditionally guaranteed by the corpus of the Permanent School Fund. In the event of default, registered owners will receive all payments due on the Bonds from the corpus of the Permanent School Fund. In the event the District defeases any of the Bonds, the payment of such defeased Bonds will cease to be guaranteed by the Permanent School Fund of the State. See "– Defeasance."

## **No Optional Redemption**

The Bonds are not subject to redemption prior to their stated maturity.

#### Defeasance

In the Order, the District reserves the right to defease the Bonds in any manner now or hereafter provided by law.

## **Paying Agent/Registrar**

The initial Paying Agent/Registrar is Computershare Trust Company, N.A., St. Paul, Minnesota. In the Order, the District retains the right to replace the Paying Agent/Registrar. The District covenants to maintain and provide a Paying Agent/Registrar at all times until the Bonds are duly paid and any successor Paying Agent/Registrar shall be a commercial bank or trust company organized under the laws of the United States or any state and legally authorized to serve as and perform the duties and services of Paying Agent/Registrar for the Bonds. Upon any change in the Paying Agent/Registrar for the Bonds, the District agrees to promptly cause a written notice thereof to be sent to each registered owner of the Bonds by United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, which notice shall also give the address of the new Paying Agent/Registrar.

Principal of the Bonds will be payable to the registered owner at maturity upon presentation at the principal office of the Paying Agent/Registrar. Interest on the Bonds will be payable by check, dated as of the interest payment date, and mailed by the Paying Agent/Registrar to registered owners as shown on the records of the Paying Agent/Registrar on the Record Date (see "THE BONDS – Record Date for Interest Payment" herein), or by such other method, acceptable to the Paying Agent/Registrar, requested by, and at the risk and expense of, the registered owner. If the date for the payment of the principal of or interest on the Bonds shall be a Saturday, Sunday, legal holiday, or day on which banking institutions in the city where the Paying Agent/Registrar is located are authorized by law or executive order to close, then the date for such payment shall be the next succeeding day which is not such a Saturday, Sunday, legal holiday, or day on which banking institutions are authorized to close, and payment on such date shall have the same force and effect as if made on the original date payment was due.

## Transfer, Exchange and Registration

In the event the Book-Entry-Only System should be discontinued, the Bonds may be transferred and exchanged on the registration books of the Paying Agent/Registrar only upon presentation and surrender to the Paying Agent/Registrar and such transfer or exchange shall be without expense or service charge to the registered owner, except for any tax or other governmental charges required to be paid with respect to such registration, exchange and transfer. Bonds may be assigned by the execution of an assignment form on the respective Bonds or by other instrument of transfer and assignment acceptable to the Paying Agent/Registrar. New Bonds will be delivered by the Paying Agent/Registrar, in lieu of the Bonds being transferred or exchanged, at the principal office of the Paying Agent/Registrar, or sent by United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, to the new registered owner or his designee. To the extent possible, new Bonds issued in an exchange or transfer of Bonds will be delivered to the registered owner in not more than three business days after the receipt of the Bonds to be canceled, and the written instrument of transfer or request for exchange duly executed by the registered owner or his duly authorized agent, in form satisfactory to the Paying Agent/Registrar. New Bonds registered and delivered in an exchange or transfer shall be in any integral multiple of \$5,000 for any one maturity and for a like aggregate principal amount as the Bonds surrendered for exchange or transfer. See "BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM" herein for a description of the system to be utilized initially in regard to ownership and transferability of the Bonds.

#### **Record Date for Interest Payment**

The record date ("Record Date") for the interest payable on the Bonds on any interest payment date means the close of business on the last business day of the preceding month of such interest payment date. In the event of a non-payment of interest on a scheduled payment date, and for 30 days thereafter, a new record date for such interest payment (a "Special Record Date") will be established by the Paying Agent/Registrar, if and when funds for the payment of such interest have been received from the District. Notice of the Special Record Date and of the scheduled payment date of the past due interest ("Special Payment Date," which shall be 15 days after the Special Record Date) shall be sent at least five business days prior to the Special Record Date by United States mail, first class postage prepaid, to the address of each holder of a Bond appearing on the registration books of the Paying Agent/Registrar at the close of business on the last business day next preceding the date of mailing of such notice.

#### **Replacement Bonds**

If any Bond is mutilated, destroyed, stolen or lost, a new Bond in the same principal amount as the Bond so mutilated, destroyed, stolen or lost will be issued. In the case of a mutilated Bond, such new Bond will be delivered only upon surrender and cancellation of such mutilated Bond. In the case of any Bond issued in lieu of and in substitution for a Bond which has been destroyed, stolen or lost, such new Bond will be delivered only (a) upon filing with the District and the Paying Agent/Registrar of satisfactory evidence to the effect that such Bond has been destroyed, stolen or lost and proof of the ownership thereof, (b) upon furnishing the District and the Paying Agent/Registrar with indemnity satisfactory to them, (c) upon paying all expenses and charges in connection therewith and (d) upon satisfying any other reasonable requirements imposed by the District and the Paying Agent/Registrar. The person requesting the authentication and delivery of a new Bond must pay such expenses as the Paying Agent/Registrar may incur in connection therewith and satisfy any other reasonable requirements imposed by the District and the Paying Agent/Registrar.

#### **Bond Holders' Remedies**

The Order does not provide for the appointment of a trustee to represent the interests of the Bond holders upon any failure of the District to perform in accordance with the terms of the Order or upon any other condition and, in the event of any such failure to perform, the Bond holders would be responsible for the initiation and cost of any legal action to enforce performance of the Order. Furthermore, the Order does not establish specific events of default with respect to the Bonds and, under State law, there is no right to the acceleration of maturity of the Bonds upon the failure of the District to observe any covenant under the Order. A Bond holder could seek a judgment against the District if a default occurred in the payment of principal of or interest on any such Bonds; however, such judgment could not be satisfied by execution against any property of the District and a suit for monetary damages could be vulnerable to the defense of sovereign immunity. A Bond holder's only practical remedy, if a default occurrs, is a mandamus or mandatory injunction proceeding to compel the District to levy, assess and collect an annual ad valorem tax sufficient to pay principal of and interest on the Bonds as it becomes due or perform other material terms and covenants contained in the Order. In general, Texas courts have held that a writ of mandamus may be issued to require a public official to perform legally imposed ministerial duties necessary for the performance of a valid contract, and Texas law provides that, following their approval by the Attorney General and issuance, the Bonds are valid and binding obligations for all purposes according to their terms. However, the enforcement of any such remedy may be difficult and time consuming and a Bond holder could be required to enforce such remedy on a periodic basis.

Chapter 1371, Texas Government Code ("Chapter 1371"), which forms part of the authority for the issuance of the Bonds, permits the District to waive sovereign immunity in the proceedings authorizing the issuance of the Bonds. However, the District has not waived the defense of sovereign immunity with respect thereto. Because it is unclear whether the Texas Legislature has effectively waived the District's sovereign immunity from a suit for money damages beyond Chapter 1371, registered owners may not be able to bring such a suit against the District for breach of the Bonds or Order covenants. In addition, the enforcement of a claim for the payment of a Bond would be subject to the applicable provisions of federal bankruptcy laws and any other statutes affecting the rights of creditors of political subdivisions and may be limited by general principles of equity.

The District is also eligible to seek relief from its creditors under Chapter 9 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code ("Chapter 9"). Although Chapter 9 provides for the recognition of a security interest represented by a specifically pledged source of revenues, the pledge of taxes in support of a general obligation of a bankrupt entity is not specifically recognized as a security interest under Chapter 9. Chapter 9 also includes an automatic stay provision that would prohibit, without Bankruptcy Court approval, the prosecution of any other legal action by creditors or Bond holders of an entity which has sought protection under Chapter 9. Therefore, should the District avail itself of Chapter 9 protection from creditors, the ability to enforce would be subject to the approval of the Bankruptcy Court (which could require that the action be heard in Bankruptcy Court instead of other federal or state court); and the Bankruptcy Code provides for broad discretionary powers of a Bankruptcy Court in administering any proceeding brought before it. The opinion of Bond Counsel will note that all opinions relative to the enforceability of the Order and the Bonds are qualified with respect to the customary rights of debtors relative to their creditors, including rights afforded to creditors under the Bankruptcy Code.

#### **BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM**

This section describes how ownership of the Bonds is to be transferred and how the principal of, premium, if any, interest and redemption payments on the Bonds are to be paid to and credited by DTC, while the Bonds are registered in its nominee name. The information in this section concerning DTC and the Book-Entry-Only System has been provided by DTC for use in disclosure documents such as this Official Statement. The District, the Financial Advisor, and the Underwriters believe the source of such information to be reliable, but take no responsibility for the accuracy or completeness thereof.

The District and the Underwriters cannot and do not give any assurance that (1) DTC will distribute payments of debt service on the Bonds, or redemption or other notices, to DTC Participants, (2) DTC Participants or others will distribute debt service payments paid to DTC or its nominee (as the registered owner of the Bonds), or redemption or other notices, to the Beneficial Owners, or that they will do so on a timely basis, or (3) DTC will serve and act in the manner described in this Official Statement. The current rules applicable to DTC are on file with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, and the current procedures of DTC to be followed in dealing with DTC Participants are on file with DTC.

DTC will act as securities depository for the Bonds. The Bonds will be issued as fully-registered Bonds in the name of Cede & Co. (DTC's partnership nominee) or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. One fully registered bond certificate will be issued for each stated maturity of the Bonds, in the aggregate principal amount of such maturity, and will be deposited with DTC.

DTC, the world's largest securities depository, is a limited-purpose trust company organized under the New York Banking Law, a "banking organization" within the meaning of the New York Banking Law, a member of the Federal Reserve System, a "clearing corporation" within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code, and a "clearing agency" registered pursuant to the provisions of Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. DTC holds and provides asset servicing for over 3.5 million issues of U.S. and non-U.S. equity issues, corporate and municipal debt issues, and money market instruments (from over 100 countries) that DTC's participants ("Direct Participants") deposit with DTC. DTC also facilitates the post-trade settlement among Direct Participants of sales and other securities transactions in deposited securities, through electronic computerized book-entry transfers and pledges between Direct Participants' accounts. This eliminates the need for physical movement of securities certificates. Direct Participants include both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations, and certain other organizations. DTC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation ("DTCC"). DTCC is the holding company for DTC, National Securities Clearing Corporation, and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation, all of which are registered clearing agencies. DTCC is owned by the users of its regulated subsidiaries. Access to the DTC system is also available to others such as both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, and clearing corporations that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a Direct Participant, either directly or indirectly ("Indirect Participants"). The DTC Rules applicable to its Participants are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. More information about DTC can be found at www.dtcc.com.

Purchases of Bonds under the DTC system must be made by or through Direct Participants, which will receive a credit for the Bonds on DTC's records. The ownership interest of each actual purchaser of each Bond ("Beneficial Owner") is in turn to be recorded on the Direct and Indirect Participants' records. Beneficial Owners will not receive written confirmation from DTC of their purchase. Beneficial Owners are, however, expected to receive written confirmations providing details of the transaction as well as periodic statements of their holdings, from the Direct or Indirect Participant through which the Beneficial Owner entered into the transaction. Transfers of ownership interests in the Bonds are to be accomplished by entries made on the books of Direct and Indirect Participants acting on behalf of Beneficial Owners. Beneficial Owners will not receive certificates representing their ownership interests in Bonds, except in the event that use of the book-entry system for the Bonds is discontinued.

To facilitate subsequent transfers, all Bonds deposited by Direct Participants with DTC are registered in the name of DTC's partnership nominee, Cede & Co., or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. The deposit of Bonds with DTC and their registration in the name of Cede & Co. or such other DTC nominee do not effect any change in beneficial ownership. DTC has no knowledge of the actual Beneficial Owners of the Bonds; DTC's records reflect only the identity of the Direct Participants to whose accounts such Bonds are credited, which may or may not be the Beneficial Owners. The Direct and Indirect Participants will remain responsible for keeping account of their holdings on behalf of their customers.

Conveyance of notices and other communications by DTC to Direct Participants, by Direct Participants to Indirect Participants, and by Direct Participants and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by arrangements among them, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time.

Redemption notices shall be sent to DTC. If less than all of the Bonds within an issue are being redeemed, DTC's practice is to determine by lot the amount of the interest of each Direct Participant in such issue to be redeemed.

Neither DTC nor Cede & Co. (nor any other DTC nominee) will consent or vote with respect to Bonds unless authorized by a Direct Participant in accordance with DTC's MMI Procedures. Under its usual procedures, DTC mails an Omnibus Proxy to the District as soon as possible after the record date. The Omnibus Proxy assigns Cede & Co.'s consenting or voting rights to those Direct Participants to whose accounts Bonds are credited on the record date (identified in a listing attached to the Omnibus Proxy).

Principal, interest, and redemption payments on the Bonds will be made to Cede & Co., or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. DTC's practice is to credit Direct Participants' accounts upon DTC's receipt of funds and corresponding detail information from the District or the Paying Agent/Registrar, on the payable date in accordance with their respective holdings shown on DTC's records. Payments by Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is the case with securities held for the accounts of customers in bearer form or registered in "street name," and will be the responsibility of such Participant and not of DTC, the Paying Agent/Registrar, or the District, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Payment of principal, interest, and redemption payments to Cede & Co. (or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC) is the responsibility of the District or the Paying Agent/Registrar, disbursement of such payments to Direct Participants will be the responsibility of DTC, and disbursement of such payments to the Beneficial Owners will be the responsibility of DTC, and Indirect Participants.

DTC may discontinue providing its services as depository with respect to the Bonds at any time by giving reasonable notice to the District or the Paying Agent/Registrar. Under such circumstances, in the event that a successor depository is not obtained, Bonds are required to be printed and delivered.

The District may decide to discontinue use of the system of book-entry-only transfers through DTC (or a successor Securities depository). In that event, Bond certificates will be printed and delivered to DTC.

<u>Use of Certain Terms in Other Sections of this Official Statement</u>. In reading this Official Statement it should be understood that while the Bonds are in the Book-Entry-Only System, references in other sections of this Official Statement to registered owners should be read to include the person for which the Participant acquires an interest in the Bonds, but (i) all rights of ownership must be exercised through DTC and the Book-Entry-Only System and (ii) except as described above, notices that are to be given to registered owners under the Order will be given only to DTC.

<u>Effect of Termination of Book-Entry-Only System</u>. In the event that the Book-Entry-Only System is discontinued by DTC or the use of the Book-Entry-Only System is discontinued by the District, printed Bonds will be issued to the holders and the Bonds will be subject to transfer, exchange and registration provisions as set forth in the Order and summarized under "THE BONDS – Transfer, Exchange, and Registration."

## THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM

Subject to satisfying certain conditions, the payment of the Bonds will be guaranteed by the corpus of the Permanent School Fund of the State of Texas. In the event of default, registered owners will receive all payments due on the Bonds from the Permanent School Fund, and the Charter District Bond Guarantee Reserve would be the first source to pay debt service if a charter school was unable to make such payment. See "APPENDIX D – THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM" for pertinent information regarding the Permanent School Fund Guarantee Program. The disclosure regarding the Permanent School Fund Guarantee Program in APPENDIX D is incorporated herein and made a part hereof for all purposes.

## STATE AND LOCAL FUNDING OF SCHOOL DISTRICTS IN TEXAS

## Litigation Relating to the Texas Public School Finance System

On seven occasions in the last thirty years, the Texas Supreme Court (the "Court") has issued decisions assessing the constitutionality of the Texas public school finance system (the "Finance System"). The litigation has primarily focused on whether the Finance System, as amended by the Texas Legislature (the "Legislature") from time to time (i) met the requirements of article VII, section 1 of the Texas Constitution, which requires the Legislature to "establish and make suitable provision for the support and maintenance of an efficient system of public free schools," or (ii) imposed a statewide ad valorem tax in violation of article VIII, section 1-e of the Texas Constitution because the statutory limit on property taxes levied by school districts for maintenance and operation purposes had allegedly denied school districts meaningful discretion in setting their tax rates. In response to the Court's previous decisions, the Legislature enacted multiple laws that made substantive changes in the way the Finance System is funded in efforts to address the prior decisions declaring the Finance System unconstitutional.

On May 13, 2016, the Court issued its opinion in the most recent school finance litigation, *Morath*, *et.al v. The Texas Taxpayer and Student Fairness Coalition, et al.*, No. 14-0776 (Tex. May 13, 2016) ("*Morath*"). The plaintiffs and intervenors in the case had alleged that the Finance System, as modified by the Legislature in part in response to prior decisions of the Court, violated article VII, section 1 and article VIII, section 1-e of the Texas Constitution. In its opinion, the Court held that "[d]espite the imperfections of the current school funding regime, it meets minimum constitutional requirements." The Court also noted that:

Lawmakers decide if laws pass, and judges decide if those laws pass muster. But our lenient standard of review in this policy-laden area counsels modesty. The judicial role is not to second-guess whether our system is optimal, but whether it is constitutional. Our Byzantine school funding "system" is undeniably imperfect, with immense room for improvement. But it satisfies minimum constitutional requirements.

#### Possible Effects of Changes in Law on District Bonds

The Court's decision in *Morath* upheld the constitutionality of the Finance System but noted that the Finance System was "undeniably imperfect." While not compelled by the *Morath* decision to reform the Finance System, the Legislature could enact future changes to the Finance System. Any such changes could benefit or be a detriment to the District. If the Legislature enacts future changes to, or fails adequately to fund the Finance System, or if changes in circumstances otherwise provide grounds for a challenge, the Finance System could be challenged again in the future. In its 1995 opinion in *Edgewood Independent School District v. Meno*, 917 S.W.2d 717 (Tex. 1995), the Court stated that any future determination of unconstitutionality "would not, however, affect the district's authority to levy the taxes necessary to retire previously issued bonds, but would instead require the Legislature to cure the system's unconstitutionality in a way that is consistent with the Contract Clauses of the U.S. and Texas Constitutions" (collectively, the "Contract Clauses"), which prohibit the enactment of laws that impair prior obligations of contracts.

Although, as a matter of law, the Bonds, upon conversion and delivery, will be entitled to the protections afforded previously existing contractual obligations under the Contract Clauses, the District can make no representations or predictions concerning the effect of future legislation, or any litigation that may be associated with such legislation, on the District's financial condition, revenues or operations. While the enactment of future legislation to address school funding in Texas could adversely affect the financial condition, revenues or operations of the District, the District does not anticipate that the security for payment of the Bonds, specifically, the District's obligation to levy an unlimited debt service tax and any Permanent School Fund guarantee of the Bonds would be adversely affected by any such legislation. See "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM."

## CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM

## Overview

The following language constitutes only a summary of the public school finance system (the "Finance System") as it is currently structured. The information contained under the captions "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM" and "TAX RATE LIMITATIONS" is subject to change, and only reflects the District's understanding based on information available to the District as of the date of this Official Statement. Certain of the information provided below is contingent on voter approval of a constitutional amendment that will be submitted to the voters at an election to be held on November 7, 2023. See "—2023 Legislative Sessions," below. For a more complete description of school finance and fiscal management in the State, reference is made to Chapters 43 through 49 of the Texas Education Code, as amended. Additionally, prospective investors are encouraged to review the Property Tax Code (as defined herein) for definitive requirements for the levy and collection of ad valorem taxes and the calculation of the defined tax rates.

Local funding for school districts is derived from collections of ad valorem taxes levied on property located within each school district's boundaries. School districts are authorized to levy two types of property taxes: (i) a maintenance and operations ("M&O") tax to pay current expenses and (ii) an interest and sinking fund ("I&S") tax to pay debt service on bonds. School districts are prohibited from levying an M&O tax rate for the purpose of creating a surplus in M&O tax revenues to pay the district's debt service. School districts are required to demonstrate their ability to pay debt service on outstanding bonded indebtedness through the levy of an I&S tax at a rate not to exceed \$0.50 per \$100 of taxable value at the time bonds are issued. Once bonds are issued, however, school districts generally may levy an I&S tax sufficient to pay debt service on such bonds unlimited as to rate or amount. See "TAX RATE LIMITATIONS – I&S Tax Rate Limitations" herein. Because property values vary widely among school districts, the amount of local funding generated by school districts with the same I&S tax rate and M&O tax rate is subject to wide variation; however, the public school finance funding formulas are designed to generally equalize local funding generated by a school district's M&O tax rate.

#### **2023** Legislative Sessions

The regular session of the 88th Texas Legislature (the "88th Regular Session") began on January 10, 2023 and adjourned on May 29, 2023. The Texas Legislature (the "Legislature") meets in regular session in odd numbered years for 140 days. During the 88th Regular Session, the Legislature considered a general appropriations act and legislation affecting the Finance System and ad valorem taxation procedures and exemptions, and investments, among other legislature fully-funded the Foundation School Program for the 2024-2025 State fiscal biennium and increased the State guaranteed yield on the first \$0.08 cents of tax effort beyond a school district's Maximum Compressed Tax Rate (as defined herein) to \$126.21 per penny of tax effort per student in WADA (as defined herein) in 2024 (from \$98.56 in 2023) and \$129.52 per penny of tax effort per student in WADA in 2025. See "– State Funding for School Districts – Tier Two." The Legislature also provided for an increase in funding for the school safety allotment to \$10.00 (from \$9.72 in the prior year) per ADA (as defined herein) and \$15,000 per campus. The Legislature set aside approximately \$4,000,000,000 in additional funding for public education contingent on certain legislation passing in future special sessions. However, the Legislature did not take action on such funding during either the first or second called special sessions of the 88th Texas Legislature.

When the Legislature is not in session, the Governor may call one or more special sessions, at the Governor's discretion, each lasting no more than 30 days, and for which the Governor sets the agenda. The Governor has called and the Legislature has concluded two special sessions during the 88th Texas Legislature, and the Governor recently called a third special session, which is scheduled to begin on October 9, 2023 (such special sessions, together with the 88th Regular Session, the "2023 Legislative Sessions"). The proclamation for the third called special session includes the consideration of legislation related to the implementation of education savings accounts for all school children in the State, but it does not include the consideration of legislation to access the additional funding for public education identified in the paragraph above.

During the second called special session, legislation was passed to (i) reduce the Maximum Compressed Tax Rate for school districts by approximately \$0.107 for the 2023-2024 school year; (ii) increase the amount of the mandatory school district general residential homestead exemption from ad valorem taxation from \$40,000 to \$100,000 and to hold districts harmless from certain M&O and I&S tax revenue losses associated with the increase in the mandatory homestead exemption; (iii) adjust the amount of the limitation on school district ad valorem taxes imposed on the residence homesteads of the elderly or disabled to reflect increases in exemption amounts; (iv) prohibit school districts, cities and counties from repealing or reducing an optional homestead exemption that was granted in tax year 2022 (the prohibition expires on December 31, 2027); (v) establish a three-year pilot program limiting growth in the taxable assessed value of non-residence homestead property valued at \$5,000,000 or less to 20 percent (school districts are not held harmless for any negative revenue impacts associated with such limits); (vi) except certain appropriations to pay for ad valorem tax relief from the constitutional limitation on the rate of growth of appropriations; and (vii) expand the size of the governing body of an appraisal district in a county with a population of more than 75,000 by adding elected directors and authorizing the Legislature to provide for a four-year term of office for a member of the board of directors of certain appraisal districts. A focus of the legislation passed during the second called special session was effecting a reduction in the amount of property taxes paid by homeowners and businesses. The implementation of this legislation will result in an increase to the State's share of the cost of funding public education.

Implementation of the legislation approved during the second called special session and described in the paragraph above is subject to voter approval of a constitutional amendment that will be submitted to the voters at an election to be held on November 7, 2023. The remaining discussion under "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM" assumes voter approval of the constitutional amendment. If the constitutional amendment is approved by voters, certain additional legislation passed during the second called special session will also take effect.

As described above, the Governor has called a third special session and may call additional special sessions. During the third called special session and any additional called special session, the Legislature may enact laws that materially change current law as it relates to the funding of public schools, including the District. The District can make no representations or predictions regarding the scope of additional legislation that may be considered during any additional called special sessions or the potential impact of such legislation at this time.

## **Local Funding for School Districts**

A school district's M&O tax rate is composed of two distinct parts: the "Tier One Tax Rate," which is the local M&O tax rate required for a school district to receive any part of the basic level of State funding (referred to herein as "Tier One") under the Foundation School Program, as further described below, and the "Enrichment Tax Rate," which is any local M&O tax effort in excess of its Tier One Tax Rate. Formulas for the State Compression Percentage and Maximum Compressed Tax Rate (each as described below) are designed to compress M&O tax rates in response to year-over-year increases in property values across the State and within a school district, respectively. The discussion in this subcaption "– Local Funding for School Districts" is

generally intended to describe funding provisions applicable to all school districts; however, there are distinctions in the funding formulas for school districts that generate local M&O tax revenues in excess of the school districts' funding entitlements. Such distinctions are discussed under the subcaption "– Local Revenue Level in Excess of Entitlement" herein.

<u>State Compression Percentage</u>. The "State Compression Percentage" or "SCP" is the lesser of three alternative calculations: (i) 93% or a lower percentage set by appropriation for a school year; (ii) a percentage determined by formula if the estimated total taxable property value of the State (as submitted annually to the Legislature by the State Comptroller) has increased by at least 2.5% over the prior year; and (iii) the prior year SCP. For any year, the maximum SCP is 93%. For the State fiscal year ending in 2024, the SCP is set at 68.80%.

<u>Maximum Compressed Tax Rate</u>. The "Maximum Compressed Tax Rate" or the "MCR" is the tax rate per \$100 of valuation of taxable property at which a school district must levy its Tier One Tax Rate (described below) to receive the full amount of the Tier One funding to which the school district is entitled. The MCR is equal to the lesser of two alternative calculations: (1) the "State Compression Percentage" (as discussed above) multiplied by 100; or (2) a percentage determined by formula if the school district experienced a year-over-year increase in property value of at least 2.5% (if the increase in property value is less than 2.5%, then MCR is equal to the prior year MCR). However, each year the TEA shall evaluate the MCR for each school district in the State, and for any given year, if a school district's MCR is calculated to be less than 90% of any other school district's MCR for the current year, then the school district's MCR and any other school district's MCR is not more than 10%. These compression formulas are intended to more closely equalize local generation of Tier One funding among districts with disparate tax bases and generally reduce the Tier One Tax Rates of school districts as property values increase. During the 2023 Legislative Sessions, the Legislature took action to reduce the maximum MCR is subject to approval by voters at an election to be held on November 7, 2023. See "- 2023 Legislative Sessions."

<u>Tier One Tax Rate</u>. A school district's Tier One Tax Rate is defined as a school district's M&O tax rate levied that does not exceed the school district's MCR.

<u>Enrichment Tax Rate</u>. The Enrichment Tax Rate is the number of cents a school district levies for M&O in excess of the Tier One Tax Rate, up to an additional \$0.17. The Enrichment Tax Rate is divided into two components: (i) "Golden Pennies" which are the first \$0.08 of tax effort in excess of a school district's Tier One Tax Rate; and (ii) "Copper Pennies" which are the next \$0.09 in excess of a school district's Tier One Tax Rate plus Golden Pennies.

School districts may levy an Enrichment Tax Rate at a level of their choice, subject to the limitations described under "TAX RATE LIMITATIONS – Public Hearing and Voter-Approval Tax Rate." However, to levy any of the Enrichment Tax Rate in a given year, a school district must levy a Tier One Tax Rate equal to the school district's MCR for such year. Additionally, a school district's levy of Copper Pennies is subject to compression if the guaranteed yield (i.e., the guaranteed level of local tax revenue and State aid generated for each cent of tax effort) of Copper Pennies is increased from one year to the next. See "– State Funding for School Districts – Tier Two" herein.

## **State Funding for School Districts**

State funding for school districts is provided through the two-tiered Foundation School Program, which guarantees certain levels of funding for school districts in the State. School districts are entitled to a legislatively appropriated guaranteed yield on their Tier One Tax Rate and Enrichment Tax Rate. When a school district's Tier One Tax Rate and Enrichment Tax Rate generate tax revenues at a level below the respective entitlement, the State will provide "Tier One" funding or "Tier Two" funding, respectively, to fund the difference between the school district's entitlements and the calculated M&O revenues generated by the school district's respective M&O tax rates.

The first level of funding, Tier One, is the basic level of funding guaranteed to all school districts based on a school district's Tier One Tax Rate. Tier One funding may then be "enriched" with Tier Two funding. Tier Two provides a guaranteed entitlement for each cent of a school district's Enrichment Tax Rate, allowing a school district to increase or decrease its Enrichment Tax Rate to supplement Tier One funding at a level of the school district's own choice. While Tier One funding may be used for the payment of debt service (except for school districts subject to the recapture provisions of Chapter 49 of the Texas Education Code, as amended (see "– Local Revenue Level In Excess of Entitlement")), and in some instances is required to be used for that purpose (see "TAX RATE LIMITATIONS – I&S Tax Rate Limitations" herein), Tier Two funding may not be used for the payment of debt service or capital outlay.

The Finance System also provides an Existing Debt Allotment ("EDA") to subsidize debt service on eligible outstanding school district bonds, an Instructional Facilities Allotment ("IFA") to subsidize debt service on newly issued bonds, and a New

Instructional Facilities Allotment ("NIFA") to subsidize operational expenses associated with the opening of a new instructional facility. IFA primarily addresses the debt service needs of property-poor school districts. For the 2024-2025 State fiscal biennium, the Legislature appropriated funds in the amount of \$1,072,511,740 for the EDA, IFA, and NIFA.

Tier One and Tier Two allotments represent the State's share of the cost of M&O expenses of school districts, with local M&O taxes representing the school district's local share. EDA and IFA allotments supplement a school district's local I&S taxes levied for debt service on eligible bonds issued to construct, acquire and improve facilities, provided that a school district qualifies for such funding and that the Legislature makes sufficient appropriations to fund the allotments for a State fiscal biennium. Tier One and Tier Two allotments and existing EDA and IFA allotments are generally required to be funded each year by the Legislature.

Tier One. Tier One funding is the basic level of programmatic funding guaranteed to a school district, consisting of a Stateappropriated baseline level of funding (the "Basic Allotment") for each student in "Average Daily Attendance" (being generally calculated as the sum of student attendance for each State-mandated day of instruction divided by the number of State-mandated days of instruction, defined herein as "ADA"). The Basic Allotment is revised downward if a school district's Tier One Tax Rate is less than the State-determined threshold. The Basic Allotment is supplemented by additional State funds, allotted based upon the unique school district characteristics, the demographics of students in ADA, and the educational programs the students are being served in, to make up most of a school district's Tier One entitlement under the Foundation School Program.

The Basic Allotment for a school district with a Tier One Tax Rate equal to the school district's MCR, is \$6,160 (or a greater amount as may be provided by appropriation) for each student in ADA and is revised downward for a school district with a Tier One Tax Rate lower than the school district's MCR. The Basic Allotment is then supplemented for all school districts by various weights to account for differences among school districts and their student populations. Such additional allotments include, but are not limited to, increased funds for students in ADA who: (i) attend a qualified special education program, (ii) are diagnosed with dyslexia or a related disorder, (iii) are economically disadvantaged, or (iv) have limited English language proficiency. Additional allotments to mitigate differences among school districts include, but are not limited to: (i) a transportation allotment for mileage associated with transporting students who reside two miles or more from their home campus, (ii) a fast growth allotment, (iii) a college, career and military readiness allotment to further the State's goal of increasing the number of students who attain a post-secondary education or workforce credential, and (iv) a teacher compensation incentive allotment to increase teacher retention in disadvantaged or rural school districts. A school district's total Tier One funding, divided by \$6,160, is a school district's measure of students in "Weighted Average Daily Attendance" ("WADA"), which serves to calculate Tier Two funding.

The fast growth allotment weights are 0.48 for districts in the top 40% of school districts for growth, 0.33 for districts in the middle 30% of school districts for growth and 0.18 for districts in the bottom 30% of school districts for growth. The fast growth allotment is limited to \$315 million for the 2023-2024 school year.

Tier Two. Tier Two supplements Tier One funding and provides two levels of enrichment with different guaranteed yields (i.e., Golden Pennies and Copper Pennies) depending on the school district's Enrichment Tax Rate. Golden Pennies generate a guaranteed yield equal to the greater of (i) the local revenue per student in WADA per cent of tax effort available to a school district at the ninety-sixth (96th) percentile of wealth per student in WADA, or (ii) the Basic Allotment (or a greater amount as may be provided by appropriation) multiplied by 0.016. For the 2024-2025 State fiscal biennium, school districts are guaranteed a yield of \$126.21 per student in WADA in 2024 and \$129.52 per student in WADA in 2025 for each Golden Penny levied. Copper Pennies generate a guaranteed yield per student in WADA equal to the school district's Basic Allotment (or a greater amount as may be provided by appropriation) multiplied by 0.008. For the 2024-2025 State fiscal biennium, school districts are guaranteed a yield of \$49.28 per student in WADA for each Copper Penny levied. For any school year in which the guaranteed yield of Copper Pennies per student in WADA exceeds the guaranteed yield of Copper Pennies per student in WADA exceeds the guaranteed yield of Copper Pennies per student in WADA for the guaranteed yield of Copper Pennies per student in WADA exceeds the guaranteed yield of Copper Pennies per student in WADA for the guaranteed yield of Copper Pennies per student in WADA exceeds the guaranteed yield of Copper Pennies per student in WADA for the guaranteed yield of the guarantee per student in WADA exceeds the guaranteed yield of Copper Pennies per student in WADA for the preceding school year, a school district is required to reduce its Copper Pennies levied so as to generate no more revenue per student in WADA than was available to the school district for the preceding year.

Existing Debt Allotment, Instruction Facilities Allotment, and New Instructional Facilities Allotment. The Foundation School Program also includes facilities funding components consisting of the IFA and the EDA, subject to legislative appropriation each State fiscal biennium. To the extent funded for a biennium, these programs assist school districts in funding facilities by, generally, equalizing a school district's I&S tax effort. The IFA guarantees each awarded school district a specified amount per student (the "IFA Yield") in State and local funds for each cent of I&S tax levied to pay the principal of and interest on eligible bonds issued to construct, acquire, renovate or improve instructional facilities. The IFA Yield has been \$35 since the program first began in 1997. New awards of IFA are only available if appropriated funds are allocated for such purpose by the Legislature. To receive an IFA award, in years where new IFA awards are available, a school district must apply to the Education Commissioner in accordance with rules adopted by the TEA before issuing the bonds to be paid with IFA State assistance. The total amount of debt service assistance over a biennium for which a school district may be awarded is limited to the lesser of (1) the actual debt service payments made by the school district in the biennium in which the bonds are issued; or (2) the greater of

(a) \$100,000 or (b) \$250 multiplied by the number of students in ADA. The IFA is also available for lease-purchase agreements and refunding bonds meeting certain prescribed conditions. Once a school district receives an IFA award for bonds, it is entitled to continue receiving State assistance for such bonds without reapplying to the Education Commissioner. The guaranteed level of State and local funds per student per cent of local tax effort applicable to the bonds may not be reduced below the level provided for the year in which the bonds were issued. For the 2024-2025 State fiscal biennium, the Legislature did not appropriate any funds for new IFA awards; however, awards previously granted in years the Legislature did appropriate funds for new IFA awards will continue to be funded.

State financial assistance is provided for certain existing eligible debt issued by school districts through the EDA program. The EDA guaranteed yield (the "EDA Yield") is the lesser of (i) \$40 per student in ADA or a greater amount for any year provided by appropriation; or (ii) the amount that would result in a total additional EDA of \$60 million more than the EDA to which school districts would have been entitled to if the EDA Yield were \$35. The portion of a school district's local debt service rate that qualifies for EDA assistance is limited to the first \$0.29 of its I&S tax rate (or a greater amount for any year provided by appropriation by the Legislature). In general, a school district's bonds are eligible for EDA assistance if (i) the school district levied taxes to pay the principal of and interest on the bonds for that fiscal year. Each biennium, access to EDA funding is determined by the debt service taxes collected in the final year of the preceding biennium. A school district may not receive EDA funding for the principal and interest on a series of otherwise eligible bonds for which the school district receives IFA funding.

Since future-year IFA awards were not funded by the Legislature for the 2024-2025 State fiscal biennium and debt service assistance on school district bonds that are not yet eligible for EDA is not available, debt service payments during the 2024-2025 State fiscal biennium on new bonds issued by school districts in the 2024-2025 State fiscal biennium to construct, acquire and improve facilities must be funded solely from local I&S taxes, except to the extent that the bonds of a school district are eligible for hold-harmless funding from the State for local tax revenue lost as a result of an increase in the mandatory homestead exemption from \$40,000 to \$100,000. See "— 2023 Legislative Sessions." Hold-harmless applies only to bonds authorized by voters prior to September 1, 2023.

A school district may also qualify for a NIFA allotment, which provides assistance to school districts for operational expenses associated with opening new instructional facilities. During the 2023 Legislative Sessions, the Legislature appropriated funds in the amount of \$100,000,000 for each fiscal year of the 2024-2025 State fiscal biennium for NIFA allotments.

Tax Rate and Funding Equity. The Education Commissioner may proportionally reduce the amount of funding a school district receives under the Foundation School Program and the ADA calculation if the school district operates on a calendar that provides less than the State-mandated minimum instruction time in a school year. The Education Commissioner may also adjust a school district's ADA as it relates to State funding where disaster, flood, extreme weather or other calamity has a significant effect on a school district's attendance.

Furthermore, "property-wealthy" school districts that received additional State funds under the Finance System prior to the enactment of certain legislation passed during the 86th Texas Legislature are entitled to an equalized wealth transition grant on an annual basis, which will be phased out in the 2023-2024 school year, in an amount equal to the amount of additional revenue such school district would have received under former Texas Education Code Sections 41.002(e) through (g), as those sections existed on January 1, 2019. Additionally, school districts and open-enrollment charter schools may be entitled to receive an allotment in the form of a formula transition grant, but they will not be entitled to an allotment beginning with the 2024-2025 school year. This grant is meant to ensure a smooth transition into the funding formulas enacted by the 86th Texas Legislature. Furthermore, if the total amount of allotments to which school districts and open enrollment charter schools are entitled for a school year exceeds \$400 million, the Education Commissioner shall proportionately reduce each district's or school's allotment. The reduction in the amount to which a district or school is entitled may not result in an amount that is less than zero..

## Local Revenue Level in Excess of Entitlement

A school district that has sufficient property wealth per student in ADA to generate local revenues on the school district's Tier One Tax Rate and Copper Pennies in excess of the school district's respective funding entitlements (a "Chapter 49 school district"), is subject to the local revenue reduction provisions contained in Chapter 49 of Texas Education Code, as amended ("Chapter 49"). Additionally, in years in which the amount of State funds appropriated specifically excludes the amount necessary to provide the guaranteed yield for Golden Pennies, local revenues generated on a school district's Golden Pennies in excess of the school district's respective funding entitlement are subject to the local revenue reduction provisions of Chapter 49. To reduce local revenue in excess of entitlement, Chapter 49 school districts are generally subject to a process known as "recapture," which requires a Chapter 49 school district to exercise certain options to remit local M&O tax revenues collected in excess of the Chapter 49 school district's funding entitlements to the State (for redistribution to other school districts) or otherwise expending the respective M&O tax revenues for the benefit of students in school districts that are not Chapter 49 school districts, as described in the subcaption "—Options for Local Revenue Levels in Excess of Entitlement," below. Chapter 49 school districts receive their allocable share of funds distributed from the constitutionally-prescribed Available School Fund, but are generally not eligible to receive State aid under the Foundation School Program, although they may continue to receive State funds for certain competitive grants and certain programs that remain outside the Foundation School Program.

Options for Local Revenue Levels in Excess of Entitlement. Under Chapter 49, a school district has six (6) options to reduce local revenues to a level that does not exceed the school district's respective entitlements: (1) a school district may consolidate by agreement with one or more school districts to form a consolidated school district; all property and debt of the consolidating school districts vest in the consolidated school district; (2) a school district may detach property from its territory for annexation by a property-poor school district; (3) a school district may purchase attendance credits from the State; (4) a school district may contract to educate nonresident students from a property-poor school district by sending money directly to one or more property-poor school districts; (5) a school district may execute an agreement to provide students of one or more other school districts with career and technology education through a program designated as an area program for career and technology education; or (6) a school district may consolidate by agreement with one or more school districts to form a consolidated taxing school district solely to levy and distribute either M&O taxes or both M&O taxes and I&S taxes. A Chapter 49 school district may also exercise any combination of these remedies. Options (3), (4) and (6) require prior approval by the Chapter 49 school district's voters.

Furthermore, a school district may not adopt a tax rate until its effective local revenue level is at or below the level that would produce its guaranteed entitlement under the Foundation School Program. If a school district fails to exercise a permitted option, the Education Commissioner must reduce the school district's local revenue level to the level that would produce the school district's guaranteed entitlement, by detaching certain types of property from the school district and annexing the property to a property-poor school district or, if necessary, consolidate the school district with a property-poor school district. Provisions governing detachment and annexation of taxable property by the Education Commissioner do not provide for assumption of any of the transferring school district's existing debt.

# THE SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM AS APPLIED TO THE DISTRICT

For the 2023-2024 fiscal year, the District was not designated as an "excess local revenue" district by the TEA. Accordingly, the District has not been required to exercise one of the wealth equalization options permitted under applicable State law. As a district with local revenue less than the maximum permitted level, the District may benefit in the future by agreeing to accept taxable property or funding assistance from, or agreeing to consolidate with, a property-rich district to enable such district to reduce its wealth per student to the permitted level.

A district's local revenue levels must be tested for each future school year and, if local revenues exceed the district's entitlements, the district must reduce its wealth per student by the exercise of one of the permitted wealth equalization options. Accordingly, if the District's local revenues should exceed its entitlements in future school years, it will be required to exercise one or more of the permitted options to reduce local revenues.

If the District were to consolidate (or consolidate its tax base for all purposes) with a district not designated as an excess local revenue district, the outstanding debt of each district could become payable from the consolidated district's combined property tax base, and the District's ratio of taxable property to debt could become diluted. If the District were to detach property voluntarily, a portion of its outstanding debt (including the Bonds) could be assumed by the district to which the property is annexed, in which case timely payment of the Bonds could become dependent in part on the financial performance of an annexing district.

For the State fiscal year ending in 2024 (the 2023-2024 school year), the State Compression Percentage was set at \$0.6880 (after the effect of SB 2 from the second called special session of the 88<sup>th</sup> Texas Legislature) and the MCR for the District is \$0.6880 per \$100 of taxable value. For a detailed discussion of State funding for school districts, see "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM – State funding for School Districts" herein.

## AD VALOREM TAX PROCEDURES

The following is a summary of certain provisions of State law as it relates to ad valorem taxation and is not intended to be complete. Reference is made to Title I of the Texas Tax Code, as amended (the "Property Tax Code"), for identification of property subject to ad valorem taxation, property exempt or which may be exempted from ad valorem taxation if claimed, the appraisal of property for ad valorem tax purposes, and the procedures and limitations applicable to the levy and collection of ad valorem taxes.

#### Valuation of Taxable Property

The Property Tax Code provides for countywide appraisal and equalization of taxable property values and establishes in each county of the State an appraisal district and an appraisal review board (the "Appraisal Review Board") responsible for appraising property for all taxing units within the county. The appraisal of property within the District is the responsibility of the Galveston Central Appraisal District (the "Appraisal District"). Except as described below, the Appraisal District is required to appraise all property within the Appraisal District on the basis of 100% of its market value and is prohibited from applying any assessment ratios. In determining market value of property, the Appraisal District is required to consider the cost method of appraisal, the income method of appraisal and the market data comparison method of appraisal, and use the method the chief appraiser of the Appraisal District considers most appropriate. The Property Tax Code requires appraisal districts to reappraise all property in its jurisdiction at least once every three years. A taxing unit may require annual review at its own expense, and is entitled to challenge the determination of appraised value of property within the taxing unit by petition filed with the Appraisal Review Board. See "- District and Taxpayer Remedies."

State law requires the appraised value of an owner's principal residence ("homestead" or "homesteads") to be based solely on the property's value as a homestead, regardless of whether residential use is considered to be the highest and best use of the property. State law further limits the appraised value of a homestead to the lesser of (1) the market value of the property or (2) 110% of the appraised value of the property for the preceding tax year plus the market value of all new improvements to the property.

On July 13, 2023, during the Second Special Session of the 88th Texas Legislature (the "Second Special Session"), the Legislature passed SB 2, which, among other things, includes provisions that prohibit an appraisal district from increasing the appraised value of real property during the 2024 tax year on certain non-homestead properties (the "Subjected Property") whose appraised values are not more than \$5 million dollars (the "maximum property value") to an amount not to exceed the lesser of: (1) the market value of the Subjected Property for the most recent tax year that the market value was determined by the appraisal office or (2) the sum of: (a) 20 percent of the appraised value of the Subjected Property for the preceding tax year; (b) the appraised value of the Subjected Property for the preceding tax year; and (c) the market value of all new improvements to the Subjected Property (collectively, the "Appraisal Cap"). After the 2024 tax year, through December 31, 2026 (unless extended by the Legislature), the maximum property value may be increased or decreased by the product of the preceding state fiscal year's increase or decrease in the consumer price index, as applicable, to the maximum property value. The Appraisal Cap takes effect on January 1, 2024, if the constitutional amendment proposed by House Joint Resolution 2 during the Second Special Session is approved by the voters on November 7, 2023.

State law provides that eligible owners of both agricultural land and open-space land, including open-space land devoted to farm or ranch purposes or open-space land devoted to timber production, may elect to have such property appraised for property taxation on the basis of its productive capacity. The same land may not be qualified as both agricultural and open-space land.

The appraisal values set by the Appraisal District are subject to review and change by the Appraisal Review Board. The appraisal rolls, as approved by the Appraisal Review Board, are used by taxing units, such as the District, in establishing their tax rolls and tax rates. See "– District and Taxpayer Remedies."

<u>State Mandated Homestead Exemptions</u>. State law grants, with respect to each school district in the State, (1) a \$40,000 exemption of the appraised value of all homesteads, (2) a \$10,000 exemption of the appraised value of the homesteads of persons 65 years of age or older and the disabled, and (3) various exemptions for disabled veterans and their families, surviving spouses of members of the armed services killed in action and surviving spouses of first responders killed or fatally wounded in the line of duty.

See "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM – 2023 Legislative Sessions" for a discussion of legislation passed during the second called special session and the November 7, 2023 State-wide election at which voters will consider an amendment to the Texas Constitution to increase the general residential homestead exemption for school districts from 40,000 to 100,000.

<u>Local Option Homestead Exemptions</u>. The governing body of a taxing unit, including a city, county, school district, or special district, at its option may grant: (1) an exemption of up to 20% of the appraised value of all homesteads (but not less than \$5,000) and (2) an additional exemption of at least \$3,000 of the appraised value of the homesteads of persons sixty-five (65) years of age or older and the disabled. Each taxing unit decides if it will offer the local option homestead exemptions and at what percentage or dollar amount, as applicable.

<u>State Mandated Freeze on School District Taxes</u>. Except for increases attributable to certain improvements, a school district is prohibited from increasing the total ad valorem tax on the homestead of persons sixty-five (65) years of age or older or of disabled persons above the amount of tax imposed in the year such homestead qualified for such exemption. This freeze is transferable to a different homestead if a qualifying taxpayer moves, and, under certain circumstances, is also transferable to the surviving spouse of persons sixty-five (65) years of age or older, but not the disabled.

On May 7, 2022, a constitutional amendment was approved by voters of the State authorizing the Legislature to provide for the reduction of the amount of a limitation on the total amount of ad valorem taxes that may be imposed for general elementary and secondary public school purposes on the residence homestead of a person who is 65 years old or older or disabled to reflect any statutory reduction from the preceding tax year in the MCR (defined herein) of the maintenance and operations taxes imposed for those purposes on the homestead, effective for the tax year beginning January 1, 2023. See "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM – Local Funding for School Districts."

<u>Personal Property</u>. Tangible personal property (furniture, machinery, supplies, inventories, etc.) used in the "production of income" is taxed based on the property's market value. Taxable personal property includes income-producing equipment and inventory. Intangibles such as goodwill, accounts receivable, and proprietary processes are not taxable. Tangible personal property not held or used for production of income, such as household goods, automobiles or light trucks, and boats, is exempt from ad valorem taxation unless the governing body of a taxing unit elects to tax such property.

<u>Freeport and Goods-in-Transit Exemptions</u>. Certain goods that are acquired in or imported into the State to be forwarded outside the State, and are detained in the State for 175 days or less for the purpose of assembly, storage, manufacturing, processing or fabrication ("Freeport Property") are exempt from ad valorem taxation unless a taxing unit took official action to tax Freeport Property before April 1, 1990 and has not subsequently taken official action to exempt Freeport Property. Decisions to continue taxing Freeport Property may be reversed in the future; decisions to exempt Freeport Property are not subject to reversal.

Certain goods, that are acquired in or imported into the State to be forwarded to another location within or outside the State, stored in a location that is not owned by the owner of the goods and are transported to another location within or outside the State within 175 days ("Goods-in-Transit"), are generally exempt from ad valorem taxation; however, the Property Tax Code permits a taxing unit, on a local option basis, to tax Goods-in-Transit if the taxing unit takes official action, after conducting a public hearing, before January 1 of the first tax year in which the taxing unit proposes to tax Goods-in-Transit. Goods-in-Transit and Freeport Property do not include oil, natural gas or petroleum products, and Goods-in-Transit does not include aircraft or special inventories such as manufactured housing inventory, or a dealer's motor vehicle, boat, or heavy equipment inventory.

A taxpayer may receive only one of the Goods-in-Transit or Freeport Property exemptions for items of personal property.

<u>Other Exempt Property</u>. Other major categories of exempt property include property owned by the State or its political subdivisions if used for public purposes, property exempt by federal law, property used for pollution control, farm products owned by producers, property of nonprofit corporations used for scientific research or educational activities benefitting a college or university, designated historic sites, solar and wind-powered energy devices, and certain classes of intangible personal property.

<u>Temporary Exemption for Qualified Property Damaged by a Disaster</u>. The Property Tax Code entitles the owner of certain qualified (i) tangible personal property used for the production of income, (ii) improvements to real property, and (iii) manufactured homes located in an area declared by the Governor to be a disaster area following a disaster and is at least 15 percent damaged by the disaster, as determined by the chief appraiser, to an exemption from taxation of a portion of the appraised value of the property. The amount of the exemption ranges from 15 percent to 100 percent based upon the damage assessment rating assigned by the chief appraiser. The governing body of the taxing unit is not required to take any action in order for the taxpayer to be eligible for the exemption. If a taxpayer qualifies for the exemption after the beginning of the tax year, the amount of the exemption is prorated based on the number of days left in the tax year following the day on which the Governor declares the area to be a disaster area. For more information on the exemption, reference is made to Section 11.35 of the Property Tax Code.

<u>Tax Increment Reinvestment Zones</u>. A city or county, by petition of the landowners or by action of its governing body, may create one or more tax increment reinvestment zones ("TIRZ") within its boundaries. At the time of the creation of the TIRZ, a "base value" for the real property in the TIRZ is established and the difference between any increase in the assessed valuation of taxable real property in the TIRZ in excess of the base value is known as the "tax increment." During the existence of the TIRZ, all or a portion of the taxes levied against the tax increment by a city or county, and all other overlapping taxing units that elected to participate, are restricted to paying only planned project and financing costs within the TIRZ and are not available for the payment of other obligations of such taxing units.

Until September 1, 1999, school districts were able to reduce the value of taxable property reported to the State to reflect any taxable value lost due to TIRZ participation by the school district. The ability of the school district to deduct the taxable value of the tax increment that it contributed prevented the school district from being negatively affected in terms of state school funding. However, due to a change in law, local M&O tax rate revenue contributed to a TIRZ created on or after May 31, 1999 will count toward a school district's Tier One entitlement (reducing Tier One State funds for eligible school districts) and will not be considered in calculating any school district's Tier Two entitlement. See "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM – State Funding for School Districts".

Tax Limitation Agreements. The Texas Economic Development Act (Chapter 313, Property Tax Code), allows school districts to grant limitations on appraised property values to certain corporations and limited liability companies to encourage economic development within the school district. Generally, during the last eight (8) years of the ten-year term of a tax limitation agreement, a school district may only levy and collect M&O taxes on the agreed-to limited appraised property value. For the purposes of calculating its Tier One and Tier Two entitlements, the portion of a school district's property that is not fully taxable is excluded from the school district's taxable property values. Therefore, a school district will not be subject to a reduction in Tier One or Tier Two State funds as a result of lost M&O tax revenues due to entering into a tax limitation agreement. See "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM - State Funding for School Districts." During the Regular Session of the 88th Texas Legislature, House Bill 5 ("HB 5") was enacted into law. HB 5 is intended as a replacement of former Chapter 313, Texas Tax Code ("Chapter 313"), but it contains significantly different provisions than the prior program under Chapter 313. Under HB 5, a school district may offer a 50% abatement on taxable value for maintenance and operations property taxes for certain eligible projects, except that projects in a federally designated economic opportunity zone receive a 75% abatement. HB 5 also provides a 100% abatement of maintenance and operations taxes for eligible property during a project's construction period. Taxable valuation for purposes of the debt services taxes securing the Bonds cannot be abated under HB 5. Eligible projects must relate to manufacturing, provision of utility services, dispatchable electric generation (such as nonrenewable energy), development of natural resources, critical infrastructure, or research and development for high-tech equipment or technology, and projects must create and maintain jobs and meet certain minimum investment requirements. The District is still in the process of reviewing HB 5 and cannot make any representations as to what impact, if any, HB 5 will have on its finances or operations.

For a discussion of how the various exemptions described above are applied to the District, see "DISTRICT APPLICATION OF TAX CODE."

## **District and Taxpayer Remedies**

Under certain circumstances, taxpayers and taxing units, including the District, may appeal the determinations of the Appraisal District by timely initiating a protest with the Appraisal Review Board. Additionally, taxing units such as the District may bring suit against the Appraisal District to compel compliance with the Property Tax Code.

Owners of certain property with a taxable value in excess of the current year "minimum eligibility amount", as determined by the State Comptroller, and situated in a county with a population of one million or more, may protest the determinations of an appraisal district directly to a three-member special panel of the appraisal review board, appointed by the chairman of the appraisal review board, consisting of highly qualified professionals in the field of property tax appraisal. The minimum eligibility amount is set at \$57,216,456 for the 2023 tax year, and is adjusted annually by the State Comptroller to reflect the inflation rate.

The Property Tax Code sets forth notice and hearing procedures for certain tax rate increases by the District and provides for taxpayer referenda that could result in the repeal of certain tax increases. See "TAX RATE LIMITATIONS – Public Hearing and Voter-Approval Tax Rate." The Property Tax Code also establishes a procedure for providing notice to property owners of reappraisals reflecting increased property value, appraisals which are higher than renditions, and appraisals of property not previously on an appraisal roll.

## Levy and Collection of Taxes

The District is responsible for the collection of its taxes, unless it elects to transfer such functions to another governmental entity. Taxes are due October 1, or when billed, whichever comes later, and become delinquent after January 31 of the following year. A delinquent tax incurs a penalty of six percent (6%) of the amount of the tax for the first calendar month it is delinquent, plus one percent (1%) for each additional month or portion of a month the tax remains unpaid prior to July 1 of the year in which it becomes delinquent. If the tax is not paid by July 1 of the year in which it becomes delinquent, the tax incurs a total penalty of twelve percent (12%) regardless of the number of months the tax has been delinquent and incurs an additional penalty of up to twenty percent (20%) if imposed by the District. The delinquent tax also accrues interest at a rate of one percent (1%) for each month or portion of a month it remains unpaid. The Property Tax Code also makes provision for the split payment of taxes, discounts for early payment and the postponement of the delinquency date of taxes for certain taxpayers. Furthermore, the

District may provide, on a local option basis, for the split payment, partial payment, and discounts for early payment of taxes under certain circumstances.

## District's Rights in the Event of Tax Delinquencies

Taxes levied by the District are a personal obligation of the owner of the property. On January 1 of each year, a tax lien attaches to property to secure the payment of all state and local taxes, penalties, and interest ultimately imposed for the year on the property. The lien exists in favor of each taxing unit, including the District, having power to tax the property. The District's tax lien is on a parity with tax liens of such other taxing units. A tax lien on real property takes priority over the claim of most creditors and other holders of liens on the property encumbered by the tax lien, whether or not the debt or lien existed before the attachment of the tax lien; however, whether a lien of the United States is on a parity with or takes priority over a tax lien of the District is determined by applicable federal law. Personal property, under certain circumstances, is subject to seizure and sale for the payment of delinquent taxes, penalty, and interest.

At any time after taxes on property become delinquent, the District may file suit to foreclose the lien securing payment of the tax, to enforce personal liability for the tax, or both. In filing a suit to foreclose a tax lien on real property, the District must join other taxing units that have claims for delinquent taxes against all or part of the same property.

Collection of delinquent taxes may be adversely affected by the amount of taxes owed to other taxing units, adverse market conditions, taxpayer redemption rights, or bankruptcy proceedings that restrain the collection of a taxpayer's debt.

Federal bankruptcy law provides that an automatic stay of actions by creditors and other entities, including governmental units, goes into effect with the filing of any petition in bankruptcy. The automatic stay prevents governmental units from foreclosing on property and prevents liens for post-petition taxes from attaching to property and obtaining secured creditor status unless, in either case, an order lifting the stay is obtained from the bankruptcy court. In many cases, post-petition taxes are paid as an administrative expense of the estate in bankruptcy or by order of the bankruptcy court.

## DISTRICT APPLICATION OF TAX CODE

The District grants the State-mandated general residence homestead exemption of \$40,000, and a \$10,000 exemption to the market value of the residence homestead of disabled persons as well as persons 65 years of age or older. See "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM – 2023 Legislative Sessions" for a discussion of legislation passed during the second called special session and the November 7, 2023 State-wide election at which voters will consider an amendment to the Texas Constitution to increase the general residential homestead exemption for school districts from \$40,000 to \$100,000. The District has not granted an additional exemption of 20% of the market value of residence homesteads. Ad valorem taxes are not levied by the District against the exempt value of residence homesteads for the payment of debt. The District does not tax nonbusiness personal property. The District does not permit split payments, and discounts are not allowed. The District does not tax Freeport Property. The District does not grant a Goods-in-Transit" exemption. The District does not participate in any TIRZ and has not entered into any abatement agreements.

## TAX RATE LIMITATIONS

## M&O Tax Rate Limitations

The District is authorized to levy an M&O tax rate pursuant to the approval of the voters of the District at an election held on October 14, 1961 in accordance with the provisions of Article 2784e-1, Texas Revised Civil Statutes Annotated, as amended.

The maximum M&O tax rate per \$100 of taxable value that may be adopted by a school district is the sum of \$0.17 and the school district's MCR. A school district's MCR is, generally, inversely proportional to the change in taxable property values both within the school district and the State, and is subject to recalculation annually. For any year, the highest possible MCR for a school district is \$0.93. See "– Public Hearing and Voter-Approval Tax Rate" herein and "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM – Local Funding for School Districts."

Furthermore, a school district cannot annually increase its tax rate in excess of the school district's Voter-Approval Tax Rate without submitting such tax rate to an election and a majority of the voters voting at such election approving the adopted rate. See "– Public Hearing and Voter-Approval Tax Rate" herein.

## **I&S Tax Rate Limitations**

A school district is also authorized to issue bonds and levy taxes for payment of bonds subject to voter approval of one or more propositions submitted to the voters under Section 45.003(b)(1), Texas Education Code, as amended, which provides a tax unlimited as to rate or amount for the support of school district bonded indebtedness. See "THE BONDS – Security".

Section 45.0031 of the Texas Education Code, as amended, requires a school district to demonstrate to the Texas Attorney General that it has the prospective ability to pay its maximum annual debt service on a proposed issue of bonds and all previously issued bonds, other than bonds approved by voters of a school district at an election held on or before April 1, 1991 and issued before September 1, 1992 (or debt issued to refund such bonds, collectively, "exempt bonds"), from a tax levied at a rate of \$0.50 per \$100 of assessed valuation before bonds may be issued (the "50-cent Test"). In demonstrating the ability to pay debt service at a rate of \$0.50, a school district may take into account EDA and IFA allotments to the school district, which effectively reduces the school district's local share of debt service, and may also take into account Tier One funds allotted to the school district. If a school district exercises this option, it may not adopt an I&S tax until it has credited to the school district's I&S fund an amount equal to all State allotments provided solely for payment of debt service and any Tier One funds needed to demonstrate compliance with the threshold tax rate test and which is received or to be received in that year. Additionally, a school district may demonstrate its ability to comply with the 50-cent Test by applying the \$0.50 tax rate to an amount equal to 90% of projected future taxable value of property in the school district, as certified by a registered professional appraiser, anticipated for the earlier of the tax year five (5) years after the current tax year or the tax year in which the final payment for the bonds is due. However, if a school district uses projected future taxable values to meet the 50-cent Test and subsequently imposes a tax at a rate greater than \$0.50 per \$100 of valuation to pay for bonds subject to the test, then for subsequent bond issues, the Texas Attorney General must find that the school district has the projected ability to pay principal and interest on the proposed bonds and all previously issued bonds subject to the 50-cent Test from a tax rate of \$0.45 per \$100 of valuation. Once the prospective ability to pay such tax has been shown and the bonds are issued, a school district may levy an unlimited tax to pay debt service. The Bonds are issued as refunding bonds pursuant to Chapter 1207, Texas Government Code, and are not subject to the 50-cent Test. The District has used State assistance, including Tier One funds, to satisfy this threshold test in connection with the issuance of prior new money but has not used projected property values.

## Public Hearing and Voter-Approval Tax Rate

A school district's total tax rate is the combination of the M&O tax rate and the I&S tax rate. Generally, the highest rate at which a school district may levy taxes for any given year without holding an election to approve the tax rate is the "Voter-Approval Tax Rate," as described below.

A school district is required to adopt its annual tax rate before the later of September 30 or the sixtieth (60th) day after the date the certified appraisal roll is received by the taxing unit, except that a tax rate that exceeds the Voter-Approval Tax Rate must be adopted not later than the seventy-first (71st) day before the next occurring November uniform election date. A school district's failure to adopt a tax rate equal to or less than the Voter-Approval Tax Rate by September 30 or the sixtieth (60th) day after receipt of the certified appraisal roll, will result in the tax rate for such school district for the tax year to be the lower of the "no-new-revenue tax rate" calculated for that tax year or the tax rate adopted by the school district for the preceding tax year. A school district's failure to adopt a tax rate in excess of the Voter-Approval Tax Rate on or prior to the seventy-first (71st) day before the next occurring November uniform a tax rate equal to or less than its Voter-Approval Tax Rate by the later of September 30 or the sixtieth (60th) day after receipt of the certified appraisal roll. "No-new-revenue tax rate" means the rate that will produce the prior year's total tax levy from the current year's total taxable values, adjusted such that lost values are not included in the calculation of the prior year's taxable values and new values are not included in the current year's taxable values.

The Voter-Approval Tax Rate for a school district is the sum of (i) the school district's MCR; (ii) the greater of (a) the school district's Enrichment Tax Rate for the preceding year, less any amount by which the school district is required to reduce its current year Enrichment Tax Rate pursuant to Section 48.202(f), Texas Education Code, as amended, or (b) the rate of \$0.05 per \$100 of taxable value; and (iii) the school district's current I&S tax rate. A school district's M&O tax rate may not exceed the rate equal to the sum of (i) \$0.17 and (ii) the school district's MCR. See "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM" herein, for more information regarding the SCP, MCR, and the Enrichment Tax Rate.

The governing body of a school district generally cannot adopt a tax rate exceeding the school district's Voter-Approval Tax Rate without approval by a majority of the voters approving the higher rate at an election to be held on the next uniform election date. Further, subject to certain exceptions for areas declared disaster areas, State law requires the board of trustees of a school district to conduct an efficiency audit before seeking voter approval to adopt a tax rate exceeding the Voter-Approval Tax Rate and sets certain parameters for conducting and disclosing the results of such efficiency audit. An election is not required for a tax increase to address increased expenditures resulting from certain natural disasters in the year following the year in which

such disaster occurs; however, the amount by which the increased tax rate exceeds the school district's Voter-Approval Tax Rate for such year may not be considered by the school district in the calculation of its subsequent Voter-Approval Tax Rate. See "WEATHER EVENTS."

# The calculation of the Voter-Approval Tax Rate does not limit or impact the District's ability to set an I&S tax rate in each year sufficient to pay debt service on all of the District's tax-supported debt obligations, including the Bonds.

Before adopting its annual tax rate, a public meeting must be held for the purpose of adopting a budget for the succeeding year. A notice of public meeting to discuss the school district's budget and proposed tax rate must be published in the time, format and manner prescribed in Section 44.004 of the Texas Education Code. Section 44.004(e) of the Texas Education Code provides that a person who owns taxable property in a school district is entitled to an injunction restraining the collection of taxes by the school district if the school district has not complied with such notice requirements or the language and format requirements of such notice as set forth in Section 44.004(b), (c), (c-1), (c-2), and (d), and, if applicable, subsection (i), and if such failure to comply was not in good faith. Section 44.004(e) further provides the action to enjoin the collection of taxes must be filed before the date the school district delivers substantially all of its tax bills. A school district that elects to adopt a tax rate before the adoption of a budget for the fiscal year that begins in the current tax year may adopt a tax rate for the current tax year before receipt of the certified appraisal roll, so long as the chief appraiser of the appraisal district in which the school district. If a school district adopts its tax rate prior to the adoption of its budget, both the no-new-revenue tax rate and the Voter-Approval Tax Rate of the school district shall be calculated based on the school district's certified estimate of taxable value. A school district that adopts a tax rate before public hearing on the proposed tax rate followed by another public hearing on the proposed budget rather than holding a single hearing on the two items.

A school district must annually calculate and prominently post on its internet website, and submit to the county tax assessorcollector for each county in which all or part of the school district is located, its Voter-Approval Tax Rate in accordance with forms prescribed by the State Comptroller.

#### **EMPLOYEES' BENEFIT PLANS**

<u>Pension Fund</u>. Pension funds for employees of Texas school districts, and any employee in public education in Texas, are administered by the Teacher Retirement System of Texas (the "System"). The individual employees contribute a fixed amount of their salary to the System, currently 7.70%, and the State contributes funds to the System based on statutory required minimum salary for certified personnel, except any District personnel paid by Federally funded programs. (For more detailed information concerning the retirement plan, see Appendix B, "EXCERPTS FROM THE DISTRICT'S ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT" – Note 11). The District does not provide post-employment healthcare benefits except those mandated by the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act ("COBRA"). The requirements established by COBRA are fully funded by former employees who elect coverage under the Act, and no direct costs are incurred by the District.

<u>Retiree Healthcare</u>. The District contributes to the Texas Public School Retired Employees Group Insurance Program (TRS-Care), a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit postemployment health care plan administered by TRS. Contribution requirements are not actuarially determined but are legally established each biennium by the Texas Legislature. The State and active public school employee contribution rates were 1.25% and 0.65% of public school payroll, respectively, with school districts contributing a percentage of payroll set at 0.75% for fiscal year 2022. For detailed information concerning TRS-Care, including contributions made by the State, the District and District employees for fiscal years 2021 and 2022, see "APPENDIX B – EXCERPTS FROM THE DISTRICT'S ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT" – Note 9.

The Government Accounting Standards Board ("GASB") has issued GASB Statements No. 68, No. 73, and No. 82 regarding pension issues. The implementation of these statements is reflected in the financial statements and the notes to the financial statements. The District implemented GASB Statement No. 75 entitled Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions ("GASB Statement No. 75") at the beginning of the 2018 fiscal year. Changes for revenues and expenses prior to the implementation have not been calculated and are not available for comparison.

In June 2015, GASB Statement No. 75 (Accounting and Financial Reporting for Post-Employment Benefits Other Than Pensions – which supersedes GASB Statement No. 45) was issued to improve accounting and financial reporting by state and local governments for OPEB. GASB Statement No. 75 requires reporting entities, such as the District, to recognize their proportionate share of the net OPEB liability in the TRS-Care Plan and a deferred outflow for the contributions made by the District subsequent to the measurement date in the Statement of Net Position, a government-wide financial statement. The changes related to OPEB in the Statement of Net Position to implement GASB Statement No. 75 are reflected in the Statement of Activities, a government-wide financial statement. The changes related to the OPEB affect only the government-wide financial statements and do not

affect the General Fund balance. The calculation of OPEB contributions is unaffected by the change. Such reporting began with the District's fiscal year ended August 31, 2018. To date, the District has met all funding requirements of the TRS-Care Plan.

Formal collective bargaining agreements relating directly to wages and other conditions of employment are prohibited by Texas law, as are strikes by teachers. There are various local, state, and national organized employee groups who engage in efforts to better the terms and conditions of employment of school employees. Some districts have adopted a policy to consult with employer groups with respect to certain terms and conditions of employment. Some examples of these groups are the Texas State Teachers Association, the Texas Classroom Teachers Association, the Association of Texas Professional Educators, and the National Education

#### FINANCIAL POLICIES

<u>Basis of Accounting</u> – The District prepares its basic financial statements in conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") promulgated by GASB and other authoritative sources identified in Statement of Auditing Standards No. 69 of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Additionally, the District complies with the requirements of the appropriate version of Texas Education Agency's Financial Accountability System Resource Guide ("FASRG") and the requirements of contracts and grants of agencies from which it receives funds.

<u>General Fund</u> – The General Fund is used to account for financial resources used for general operations. Any fund balances are considered resources available for current operations. All general tax revenues and other receipts that are not allocated by law or contractual agreement to other fund are accounted for in this fund.

<u>Debt Service Fund</u> – The Debt Service Fund is used to account for revenues collected to pay interest and related costs and to retire long-term debt.

<u>Special Revenue Funds</u> – Special Revenue Funds are used to account for resources restricted to, or designated for, specific purposes by a grantor. Federal and state financial assistance generally is accounted for in a Special Revenue Fund. Generally, unused balances are returned to the grantor at the close of specified project periods.

<u>Budgetary Procedures</u> – The Board adopts an "appropriated budget" for the General Fund, Debt Service Fund, and the Food Service Fund, which is included in the Special Revenue Funds. The District is required to present the adopted and final amended budgeted revenues and expenditures for each of these funds. The District compares the final amended budgeted to actual revenues and expenditures. For each of the funds for which a formal budget is adopted, the same basis of accounting is used to reflect actual revenues and expenditures recognized on the basis of generally accepted accounting principles.

Encumbrances for goods or purchased services are documented by purchase orders or contracts. Under Texas law, appropriations lapse at August 31, and encumbrances outstanding at that time are to be either canceled or appropriately provided for the subsequent year's budget.

The following procedures are followed in establishing the budgetary data reflected in the general-purpose financial statements:

- 1. Prior to August 20 the District prepares a budget for the nest succeeding fiscal year beginning September 1.
- 2. A meeting of the Board is then called for the purpose of adopting the proposed budget. At least ten days' public notice of the meeting must be given.
- 3. Prior to September 1, the budget is legally enacted through passage of a resolution by the Board. Once a budget is approved, it can only be amended at the function and fund level by approved of a majority of the members of the Board. Amendments are presented to the Board at its regular meeting. Each amendment must have Board approval. As required by law, such amendments are made before the fact, are reflected in the official minutes of the Board, and are not made after fiscal year end. Because the District has a policy of careful budgetary control, several amendments were necessary during the year and are reflected in the official minutes of the Board.

#### INVESTMENTS

The District may invest its investable funds (including bond proceeds and money pledged to the payment of or as security for bonds or other indebtedness issued by the District or obligations under a lease, installment sale, or other agreement of the District) in investments authorized by State law in accordance with investment policies approved by the governing body of the District. Both State law and the District's investment policies are subject to change. See Table 13 in APPENDIX A for a description of the District's investments as of May 31, 2023.

#### Legal Investments

Under State law, the District is authorized to invest in (1) obligations, including letters of credit, of the United States or its agencies and instrumentalities, including the Federal Home Loan Banks; (2) direct obligations of the State or its agencies and instrumentalities; (3) collateralized mortgage obligations directly issued by a federal agency or instrumentality of the United States, the underlying security for which is guaranteed by an agency or instrumentality of the United States; (4) other obligations, the principal and interest of which are unconditionally guaranteed or insured by, or backed by the full faith and credit of, the State or the United States or their respective agencies and instrumentalities, including obligations that are fully guaranteed or insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or by the explicit full faith and credit of the United States; (5) obligations of states, agencies, counties, cities, and other political subdivisions of any state rated as to investment quality by a nationally recognized investment rating firm not less than "A" or its equivalent; (6) bonds issued, assumed or guaranteed by the State of Israel; (7) interest-bearing banking deposits that are guaranteed or insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or its successor, or the National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund or its successor; (8) interest-bearing banking deposits other than those described by clause (7) if (A) the funds invested in the banking deposits are invested through: (i) a broker with a main office or branch office in this State that the District selects from a list the governing body of the District or designated investment committee of the District adopts as required by Section 2256.025, Texas Government Code; or (ii) a depository institution with a main office or branch office in the State that the District selects; (B) the broker or depository institution selected as described by (A) above arranges for the deposit of the funds in the banking deposits in one or more federally insured depository institutions, regardless of where located, for the District's account; (C) the full amount of the principal and accrued interest of the banking deposits is insured by the United States or an instrumentality of the United States; and (D) the District appoints as the District's custodian of the banking deposits issued for the District's account: (i) the depository institution selected as described by (A) above; (ii) an entity described by Section 2257.041(d), Texas Government Code; or (iii) a clearing broker dealer registered with the SEC and operating under SEC Rule 15c3-3; (9) (i) certificates of deposit or share certificates meeting the requirements of Chapter 2256, Texas Government Code (the "Public Funds Investment Act"), that are issued by an institution that has its main office or a branch office in the State and are guaranteed or insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or the National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund, or their respective successors, and are secured as to principal by obligations described in clauses (1) through (8) or in any other manner and provided for by law for District deposits, or (ii) certificates of deposits where (a) the funds are invested by the District through (A) a broker that has its main office or a branch office in the State and is selected from a list adopted by the District as required by law, or (B) a depository institution that has its main office or branch office in the State that is selected by the District, (b) the broker or the depository institution selected by the District arranges for the deposit of the funds in certificates of deposit in one or more federally insured depository institutions, wherever located, for the account of the District, (c) the full amount of the principal and accrued interest of each of the certificates of deposit is insured by the United States or an instrumentality of the United States, and (d) the District appoints the depository institution selected under (a) above, a custodian as described by Section 2257.041(d), Texas Government Code, or a clearing broker-dealer registered with the SEC and operating pursuant to SEC Rule 15c3-3 (17 C.F.R. Section 240.15c3-3) as custodian for the District with respect to the certificates of deposit; (10) fully collateralized repurchase agreements as defined in the Public Funds Investment Act, that have a defined termination date, are secured by a combination of cash and obligations described in clauses (1) or (13) in this paragraph, require the securities being purchased by the District or cash held by the District to be pledged to the District, held in the District's name, and deposited at the time the investment is made with the District or with a third party selected and approved by the District, and are placed through a primary government securities dealer, as defined by the Federal Reserve, or a financial institution doing business in the State; (11) securities lending programs if (i) the securities loaned under the program are 100% collateralized, a loan made under the program allows for termination at any time and a loan made under the program is either secured by (a) obligations that are described in clauses (1) through (8) above, (b) irrevocable letters of credit issued by a state or national bank that is continuously rated by a nationally recognized investment rating firm at not less than "A" or its equivalent or (c) cash invested in obligations described in clauses (1) through (8) above, clauses (13) through (15) below, or an authorized investment pool; (ii) securities held as collateral under a loan are pledged to the District, held in the District's name and deposited at the time the investment is made with the District or a third party designated by the District; (iii) a loan made under the program is placed through either a primary government securities dealer or a financial institution doing business in the State; and (iv) the agreement to lend securities has a term of one year or less; (12) certain bankers' acceptances with stated maturity of 270 days or less, if the short-term obligations of the accepting bank or its parent are rated not less than "A-1" or "P-1" or the equivalent by at least one nationally recognized credit rating agency; (13) commercial paper with a stated maturity of 365 days or less that is rated not less than "A-1" or "P-1" or the equivalent by either (a) two nationally recognized credit rating agencies or (b) one nationally recognized credit rating agency if the paper is fully secured by an irrevocable letter of credit issued by a United States or state bank; (14) no-load money market mutual funds registered with and regulated by the SEC that provide the District with a prospectus and other information required by the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or the Investment Company Act of 1940 and that comply with federal SEC Rule 2a-7 (17 C.F.R. Section 270.2a-7), promulgated under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. Section 80a-1 et seq.); and (15) no-load mutual funds registered with the SEC that have an average weighted maturity of less than two years, and have either (a) a duration of one year or more and invest exclusively in obligations described in under this heading, or (b) a duration of less than one year and the investment portfolio is limited to investment grade securities,

excluding asset-backed securities. In addition, bond proceeds may be invested in guaranteed investment contracts that have a defined termination date and are secured by obligations, including letters of credit, of the United States or its agencies and instrumentalities, other than the prohibited obligations described below, in an amount at least equal to the amount of bond proceeds invested under such contract.

A political subdivision such as the District may enter into securities lending programs if (i) the securities loaned under the program are 100% collateralized, a loan made under the program allows for termination at any time and a loan made under the program is either secured by (a) obligations that are described in clauses (1) through (8) above, other than the prohibited obligations described below, (b) irrevocable letters of credit issued by a state or national bank that is continuously rated by a nationally recognized investment rating firm at not less than A or its equivalent or (c) cash invested in obligations described in clauses (1) through (8) above, clauses (13) through (15) above, or an authorized investment pool; (ii) securities held as collateral under a loan are pledged to the District, held in the District's name and deposited at the time the investment is made with the District or a third party designated by the District; (iii) a loan made under the program is placed through either a primary government securities dealer or a financial institution doing business in the State; and (iv) the agreement to lend securities has a term of one year or less.

The District may invest in such obligations directly or through government investment pools that invest solely in such obligations provided that the pools are rated no lower than "AAA" or "AAAm" or an equivalent by at least one nationally recognized rating service. The District may also contract with an investment management firm registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. Section 80b-1 et seq.) or with the State Securities Board to provide for the investment and management of its public funds or other funds under its control for a term up to two years, but the District retains ultimate responsibility as fiduciary of its assets. In order to renew or extend such a contract, the District must do so by order, ordinance, or resolution.

The District is specifically prohibited from investing in: (1) obligations whose payment represents the coupon payments on the outstanding principal balance of the underlying mortgage-backed security collateral and pays no principal; (2) obligations whose payment represents the principal stream of cash flow from the underlying mortgage-backed security and bears no interest; (3) collateralized mortgage obligations that have a stated final maturity of greater than 10 years; and (4) collateralized mortgage obligations the interest rate of which is determined by an index that adjusts opposite to the changes in a market index.

As a school district that qualifies as an issuer under Chapter 1371, the District is also authorized to purchase, sell and invest its funds in corporate bonds that, at the time of purchase, are rated by a nationally recognized investment rating firm "AA-" or the equivalent and have a stated final maturity that is not later than the third anniversary of the date the corporate bonds were purchased. State law defines "corporate bonds" as senior secured debt obligations issued by a domestic business entity and rated not lower than "AA-" or the equivalent by a nationally recognized investment rating firm. The term does not include unsecured debt obligations or debt obligations that, on conversion, would result in the holder becoming a stockholder or shareholder in the entity that issued the debt obligation. The District may not (1) invest in the aggregate more than 15% of its monthly average fund balance, excluding funds held for the payment of debt service, in corporate bonds or (2) invest more than 25% of the funds invested in corporate bonds in any one domestic business entity, including subsidiaries and affiliates of the entity. The investment officer of the District must sell any corporate bonds not later than seven days after a nationally recognized investment rating firm (1) issues a release that places the corporate bonds or the entity that issued the corporate bonds on negative credit watch or the equivalent, if the corporate bonds are rated "AA-" or the equivalent at the time the release is issued; or (2) changes the rating on the corporate bonds to a rating lower than "AA-" or the equivalent. The District may invest its funds in corporate bonds only if the Board (1) amends its investment policy to authorize corporate bonds as an eligible investment; (2) adopts procedures to provide for the monitoring of rating changes in corporate bonds and liquidating the investment in corporate bonds; and (3) identifies the funds eligible to be invested in corporate bonds.

## **Investment Policies**

Under Texas law, the District is required to invest its funds under written investment policies that primarily emphasize safety of principal and liquidity; that address investment diversification, yield, maturity, and the quality and capability of investment management; and that include a list of authorized investments for District funds, the maximum allowable stated maturity of any individual investment and the maximum average dollar-weighted maturity allowed for pooled fund groups, methods to monitor the market price of investments acquired with public funds, a requirement for settlement of all transactions, except investment pool funds and mutual funds, on a delivery versus payment basis, and procedures to monitor rating changes in investments acquired with public funds and the liquidation of such investments consistent with the Texas Public Funds Investment Act. All District funds must be invested consistent with a formally adopted "Investment Strategy Statement" that specifically addresses each fund's investment. Each Investment Strategy Statement will describe its objectives concerning: (1) suitability of investment type, (2) preservation and safety of principal, (3) liquidity, (4) marketability of each investment, (5) diversification of the portfolio, and (6) yield.

Under Texas law, the District's investments must be made "with judgment and care, under prevailing circumstances, that a person of prudence, discretion, and intelligence would exercise in the management of the person's own affairs, not for speculation, but for investment considering the probable safety of capital and the probable income to be derived." At least quarterly the District's investment officers must submit an investment report to the Board detailing: (1) the investment position of the District, (2) that all investment officers jointly prepared and signed the report, (3) the beginning market value, and any additions and changes to market value and the ending value of each pooled fund group, (4) the book value and market value of each separately listed asset at the beginning and end of the reporting period, (5) the maturity date of each separately invested asset, (6) the account or fund or pooled fund group for which each individual investment was acquired, and (7) the compliance of the investment portfolio as it relates to: (a) adopted investment strategies and (b) Texas law. No person may invest District funds without express written authority from the Board.

#### **Additional Provisions**

Under Texas law the District is additionally required to: (1) annually review its adopted policies and strategies; (2) adopt a written instrument by rule, order, ordinance, or resolution stating that it has reviewed the investment policy and investment strategies and that the written instrument so adopted shall record any changes made to either the investment policy or investment strategies; (3) require any investment officers with personal business relationships or relatives with firms seeking to sell securities to the District to disclose the relationship and file a statement with the Texas Ethics Commission and the Board of Education; (4) require the registered principal of firms seeking to sell securities to the District to: (a) receive and review the District's investment policy, (b) acknowledge that reasonable controls and procedures have been implemented to preclude imprudent investment activities, and (c) deliver a written statement attesting to these requirements; (5) perform an annual audit of the management controls on investments and adherence to the District's investment policy; (6) provide specific investment training for the Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer and investment officers; (7) restrict reverse repurchase agreements to not more than 90 days and restrict the investment of reverse repurchase agreement funds to no greater than the term of the reverse repurchase agreement; (8) restrict the investment in non-money market mutual funds in the aggregate to no more than 15% of the District's monthly average fund balance, excluding bond proceeds and reserves and other funds held for debt service; (9) require local government investment pools to conform to the new disclosure, rating, net asset value, yield calculation, and advisory board requirements; and (10) at least annually, review, revise, and adopt a list of qualified brokers that are authorized to engage in investment transactions with the District.

#### **RESPONSE TO COVID-19**

On April 10, 2023, the President of the United States signed into law a bill that ended the national emergency declaration resulting from COVID-19, a respiratory disease caused by a strain of coronavirus, and on May 5, 2023, the World Health Organization declared the outbreak of COVID-19 over as a global health emergency. However COVID-19 continues to affect many parts of the world, including the United States and the State.

The outbreak of COVID-19, characterized as a pandemic by the World Health Organization for over three years (the "Pandemic"), negatively affected travel, commerce, the global supply chain, and financial markets globally, and may continue to negatively affect economic growth and financial markets worldwide. During the Pandemic, a number of school districts experienced a decline in enrollment and attendance.

During the 2021-2022 school year, District funding generally returned to ADA-based funding. Pursuant to Texas Education Code, §25.081(b), the State Commissioner of Education approved a reduction in the minimum number of required minutes of operation for all school districts during the first through fourth six-week attendance reporting periods of the 2021–2022 school year. This adjustment ensured stabilized percentage attendance rates comparable to a more typical school year, rather than the low percentage attendance rates caused by the Pandemic. This was a one-time adjustment for 2021-2022 school year offered solely for funding purposes. The District benefited by approximately \$1,977,527 million in ADA funding from the reduction in the required minutes of operation.

In 2020 and 2021, Congress passed three stimulus bills, the Elementary and Secondary Emergency Education Relief Fund ("ESSER I"), the Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief Fund ("ESSER II") and the American Rescue Plan Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief Fund ("ESSER III"), that provided funding focused on school districts reopening and operating safely, as well as, addressing the impact of the Pandemic on students. The District was allocated ESSER I funding in the amount of \$1,973,167, ESSER II funding in the amount of \$8,050,972, and ESSER III funding in the amount of \$18,087,181. The District continues to incur expenditures related to the provision of services to address learning loss and other impacts from the Pandemic.

The District experienced growth in its taxable assessed valuation and ADA during the Pandemic; however, District attendance rates remain below pre-Pandemic levels. Recent modifications to the Finance System have resulted in school funding being

increasingly tied to ADA. As a result, student enrollment and attendance will be important factors for M&O funding for the District going forward. The Bonds are secured by an unlimited ad valorem tax, and a reduction in property values may require an increase in the ad valorem tax rate required to pay the Bonds as well as the District's share of operations and maintenance expenses payable from ad valorem taxes. See "APPENDIX A – FINANCIAL INFORMATION REGARDING THE DISTRICT – TABLE 12A – GENERAL FUND REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE HISTORY," footnotes (2) and (3) for a discussion of the impact of Pandemic-related services on the District's General Fund.

#### WEATHER EVENTS

The District is located near the Texas Gulf Coast. Land located in this area is susceptible to, and land in the District has experienced on several occasions in the last five years, high winds, heavy rain and flooding caused by hurricanes, tropical storms, and other tropical disturbances. If a future weather event significantly damaged all or part of the properties comprising the tax base within the District, the assessed value of property within the District could be substantially reduced, which could result in a decrease in tax revenues and/or necessitate an increase in the District's tax rate. Texas law allows school districts to increase property tax rates without voter approval upon the occurrence of certain disasters such as floods and upon a gubernatorial or presidential declaration of disaster. See "TAX RATE LIMITATIONS – Public Hearing and Voter- Approval Tax Rate." There can be no assurance that a casualty loss to taxable property within the District will be covered by insurance (or that property owners will even carry flood or other casualty insurance), that any insurance company will fulfill its obligation to provide insurance proceeds, or that insurance proceeds will be used to rebuild or repair any damaged improvements within the District or be sufficient for such purposes. Even if insurance proceeds are available and improvements are rebuilt, there could be a lengthy period in which assessed values within the District could be adversely affected.

#### CYBERSECURITY

The District, like other school districts in the State, utilizes technology in conducting its operations. As a user of technology, the District potentially faces cybersecurity threats (e.g., hacking, phishing, viruses, malware and ransomware) on its technology systems. Accordingly, the District may be the target of a cyber-attack on its technology systems that could result in adverse consequences to the District. The District employs a multi-layered approach to combating cybersecurity threats. While the District deploys layered technologies and requires employees to receive cybersecurity training, as required by State law, among other efforts, cybersecurity breaches could cause material disruptions to the District's finances or operations. The costs of remedying such breaches or protecting against future cyber-attacks could be substantial and there is no assurance that these costs will be covered by insurance. Further, cybersecurity breaches could expose the District to litigation and other legal risks, which could cause the District to incur other costs related to such legal claims or proceedings. To date, the District has not been the victim of any cyber-attack that has had a material adverse effect on its operations or financial condition.

#### EXPOSURE TO OIL AND GAS INDUSTRY

In the past, the greater Houston area has been particularly affected by adverse conditions in the oil and gas industry, and adverse conditions in the oil and gas industry and spillover effects into other industries could adversely impact the businesses of ad valorem property taxpayers and the property values in the District, resulting in a reduction in property tax revenue. The Bonds are secured by an ad valorem tax, and a reduction in property values may require an increase in the ad valorem tax rate required to pay the Bonds. Reductions in oil and gas revenues may also have an adverse effect on State revenues available during the next biennium, which may impact how the State funds education.

## TAX EXEMPTION

#### **Opinion of Bond Counsel**

In the opinion of Bond Counsel, under current law, interest on the Bonds (a) is not included in gross income for federal income tax purposes, (b) is not an item of tax preference for purposes of the federal alternative minimum income tax, and (c) is taken into account by applicable corporations (as defined in Section 59(k) of the Code for the alternative minimum tax imposed on such corporations. No other opinion is expressed by Bond Counsel regarding the tax consequences of the ownership of or the receipt or accrual of interest on the Bonds.

Bond Counsel's opinion is given in reliance upon certifications by representatives of the District as to certain facts relevant to both the opinion and requirements of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), and is subject to the condition that there is compliance subsequent to the issuance of the Bonds with all requirements of the Code that must be satisfied in order for interest thereon to remain excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes. The District has covenanted to comply with the current provisions of the Code regarding, among other matters, the use, expenditure and investment of the proceeds of the Bonds and the timely payment to the United States of any arbitrage rebate amounts with respect to the Bonds.

Failure by the District to comply with such covenants, among other things, could cause interest on the Bonds to be included in gross income for federal income tax purposes retroactively to their date of issue.

Customary practice in the giving of legal opinions includes not detailing in the opinion all the assumptions, limitations and exclusions that are a part of the conclusions therein. See "*Statement on the Role of Customary Practice in the Preparation and Understanding of Third-Party Legal Opinions*", 63 Bus. Law. 1277 (2008) and "*Legal Opinion Principles*", 53 Bus. Law. 831 (May 1998). Purchasers of the Bonds should seek advice or counsel concerning such matters as they deem prudent in connection with their purchase of Bonds.

Bond Counsel's opinion represents its legal judgment based in part upon the representations and covenants referenced therein and its review of current law, but is not a guarantee of result or binding on the Internal Revenue Service (the "Service") or the courts. Bond Counsel assumes no duty to update or supplement its opinion to reflect any facts or circumstances that may come to Bond Counsel's attention after the date of its opinion or to reflect any changes in law or the interpretation thereof that may occur or become effective after such date.

## **Alternative Minimum Tax**

<u>Individuals</u> – Bond Counsel's opinion states that under current law interest on the Bonds is not an item of reference and is not subject to the alternative minimum tax on individuals.

<u>Applicable Corporations</u> – Bond Counsel's opinion also states that under current law interest on the Bonds is taken into account by applicable corporations (as defined in Section 59(k) of the Code) for the alternative minimum tax imposed on such corporations. Under current law, an "applicable corporation" generally is a corporation with average annual adjusted financial statement income for a 3-taxable-year period ending after December 31, 2021 that exceeds \$1 billion.

# **Other Tax Matters**

The Bonds have not been designated as qualified tax-exempt obligations within the meaning of Section 265(b)(3) of the Code.

In addition to the matters addressed above, prospective purchasers of the Bonds should be aware that the ownership of tax-exempt obligations may result in collateral federal income tax consequences to certain taxpayers, including without limitation financial institutions, property and casualty insurance companies, S corporations, foreign corporations subject to the branch profits tax, recipients of Social Security or Railroad Retirement benefits and taxpayers who may be deemed to have incurred or continued indebtedness to purchase or carry tax-exempt obligations. Prospective purchasers of the Bonds should consult their tax advisors as to the applicability and impact of such consequences.

Prospective purchasers of the Bonds should consult their own tax advisors as to the status of interest on the Bonds under the tax laws of any state, local, or foreign jurisdiction.

The Service has a program to audit state and local government obligations to determine whether the interest thereon is includible in gross income for federal income tax purposes. If the Service does audit the Bonds, under current Service procedures, the Service will treat the District as the taxpayer and the owners of the Bonds will have only limited rights, if any, to participate.

There are many events that could affect the value and liquidity or marketability of the Bonds after their issuance, including but not limited to public knowledge of an audit of the Bonds by the Service, a general change in interest rates for comparable securities, a change in federal or state income tax rates, federal or state legislative or regulatory proposals affecting state and local government securities and changes in judicial interpretation of existing law. In addition, certain tax considerations relevant to owners of Bonds who purchase Bonds after their issuance may be different from those relevant to purchasers upon issuance. Neither the opinion of Bond Counsel nor this Official Statement purports to address the likelihood or effect of any such potential events or such other tax considerations and purchasers of the Bonds should seek advice concerning such matters as they deem prudent in connection with their purchase of Bonds.

## **Bond Premium**

Bonds purchased, whether upon issuance or otherwise, for an amount (excluding any amount attributable to accrued interest) in excess of their principal amount will be treated for federal income tax purposes as having amortizable bond premium. A holder's basis in such a Bond must be reduced by the amount of premium which accrues while such Bond is held by the holder. No deduction for such amount will be allowed, but it generally will offset interest on the Bonds while so held. Purchasers of such Bonds should consult their own tax advisors as to the calculation, accrual and treatment of amortizable bond premium and the state and local tax consequences of holding such Bonds.

#### VERIFICATION OF ARITHMETICAL COMPUTATIONS

The arithmetical accuracy of certain computations included in the schedules provided by the District relating to (a) computation of the sufficiency of the anticipated receipts from the Federal Securities, together with the initial cash deposit, if any, to pay, when due, the principal, interest, and early redemption premium requirements, if any, of the Refunded Bonds, and (b) computation of the yields on the Federal Securities and the Bonds was verified by Public Finance Partners LLC (the "Verification Agent"). Such computations were based solely on assumptions and information provided by the District. The Verification Agent has restricted its procedures to verifying the arithmetical accuracy of certain computations and has not made any study or evaluation of the assumptions and information on which the computations are based and, accordingly, has not expressed an opinion on the data used, the reasonableness of the assumptions, or the achievability of the forecasted outcome.

The report will be relied upon by Bond Counsel in rendering its opinion with respect to the tax exemption of interest on the Bonds and with respect to the defeasance of the Refunded Bonds.

#### CONTINUING DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION

In the Order, the District has made the following agreement for the benefit of the holders and beneficial owners of the Bonds. The District is required to observe the agreement for so long as it remains obligated to advance funds to pay the Bonds. Under the agreement, the District will be obligated to provide certain updated financial information and operating data annually, and timely notice of specified material events, to the MSRB. This information will be available free of charge from the MSRB via EMMA system at www.emma.msrb.org. See "APPENDIX D - THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM" for a description of the continuing disclosure undertaking to provide certain updated financial information and operating data annually with respect to the Permanent School Fund and the State of Texas, as the case may be, and to provide timely notice of specified material events related to the guarantee to certain information vendors.

#### **Annual Reports**

The District will provide certain updated financial information and operating data to the MSRB annually in an electronic format as prescribed by the MSRB. The information to be updated includes all quantitative financial information and operating data with respect to the District of the general type included in APPENDIX A to this Official Statement under Tables numbered 1

through 6 and 8 through 13. The District will update and provide this information within six months after the end of each fiscal year. The updated information will include audited financial statements, if the District commissions an audit and it is completed by the time the information is provided. If the audit of such financial statements is not complete within twelve (12) months after the applicable fiscal year end, then the District shall file unaudited financial statements within such 12-month period and audited financial statements for such fiscal year, when and if the audit report becomes available. Any financial statements will be prepared in accordance with the accounting principles described in Appendix A or such other accounting principles as the District may be required to employ from time to time pursuant to state law or regulation. The District may provide updated information in full text or may incorporate by reference certain other publicly available documents, as permitted by SEC Rule 15c2-12 ("Rule 15c2-12").

The District's current fiscal year end is August 31. Accordingly, it must provide updated information by the last day of February in each year following the end of its fiscal year, unless the District changes its fiscal year. If the District changes its fiscal year, it will notify the MSRB of the change prior to the next date by which the District would be required to provide financial information and operating data pursuant to the Order.

## **Event Notices**

The District will also provide timely notices of certain events to the MSRB (not in excess of ten (10) business days after the occurrence of the event). The District will provide notice of any of the following events with respect to the Bonds: (1) principal and interest payment delinquencies; (2) non-payment related defaults, if material; (3) unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties; (4) unscheduled draws on credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties; (5) substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or their failure to perform; (6) adverse tax opinions, the issuance by the Internal Revenue Service of proposed or final determinations of taxability, Notices of Proposed Issue (IRS Form 5701-TEB) or other material notices or determinations to rights of holders of the Bonds, if material; (8) Bond calls, if material, and tender offers; (9) defeasances; (10) release, substitution, or sale of property securing repayment of the Bonds, if material; (11) rating changes; (12) bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership or similar event of the District; (13) the consummation of a merger, consolidation, or acquisition involving the District or the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the District, other than in the ordinary course of business, the entry into a definitive agreement to undertake such an action or the termination of a definitive agreement relating to any such

actions, other than pursuant to its terms, if material; (14) appointment of a successor or additional trustee or the change of name of a trustee, if material; (15) incurrence of a Financial Obligation of the District or obligated person, if material, or agreement to covenants, events of default, remedies, priority rights, or other similar terms of a Financial Obligation of the District, any of which affect security holders, if material; and (16) default, event of acceleration, termination event, modification of terms, or other similar events under the terms of the Financial Obligation of the District, any of which reflect financial difficulties. (Neither the Bonds nor the Order make any provision for debt service reserves, redemption provisions, liquidity enhancement, or credit enhancement, except for the Permanent School Fund Guarantee.) In addition, the District will provide timely notice of any failure by the District to provide information, data, or financial statements in accordance with its agreement described above under "Annual Reports."

For these purposes, any event described in clause (12) in the immediately preceding paragraph is considered to occur when any of the following occur: the appointment of a receiver, fiscal agent, or similar officer for the District in a proceeding under the United States Bankruptcy Code or in any other proceeding under state or federal law in which a court or governmental authority has assumed jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the District, or if such jurisdiction has been assumed by leaving the existing governing body and officials or officers in possession but subject to the supervision and order of a court or governmental authority, or the entry of an order confirming a plan of reorganization, arrangement, or liquidation by a court or governmental authority having supervision or jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the District.

The term "Financial Obligation" shall mean, for purposes of the events in clauses (15) and (16), a (i) debt obligation; (ii) derivative instrument entered into in connection with, or pledged as security or a source of payment for, an existing, or planned debt obligation; or (iii) guarantee of (i) or (ii). The term "Financial Obligation" shall not include municipal securities (as defined in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as to which a final official statement (as defined in Rule 15c2-12) has been provided to the MSRB consistent with Rule 15c2-12. The District intends to comply with the events in clauses (15) and (16), and the definition of "Financial Obligation", with reference to Rule 15c2-12, any other applicable federal securities laws, and the guidance provided by the Commission in Release No. 34-83885 dated August 20, 2018 (the "2018 Release"), and any further amendments or written guidance provided by the Commission or its staff with respect to the amendments to Rule 15c2-12 effected by the 2018 Release.

The District shall notify the MSRB in an electronic format prescribed by the MSRB, in a timely manner, of any failure by the District to provide financial or operating data in accordance with the Order by the time prescribed in the Order.

All documents provided to the MSRB shall be accompanied by identifying information, as prescribed by the MSRB.

## **Limitations and Amendments**

The District has agreed to update information and to provide notices of material events only as described above. The District has not agreed to provide other information that may be relevant or material to a complete presentation of its financial results of operations, condition, or prospects or agreed to update any information that is provided, except as described above. The District makes no representation or warranty concerning such information or concerning its usefulness to a decision to invest in or sell the Bonds at any future date. The District disclaims any contractual or tort liability for damages resulting in whole or in part from any breach of its continuing disclosure agreement or from any statement made pursuant to its agreement, although holders and beneficial owners of the Bonds may seek a writ of mandamus to compel the District to comply with its agreement. Nothing in this paragraph is intended or shall act to disclaim, waive or limit the District's duties under federal or state securities laws.

This continuing disclosure agreement may be amended by the District from time to time to adapt to changed circumstances that arise from a change in legal requirements, a change in law, or a change in the identity, nature, status, or type of operations of the District, but only if (1) the provisions, as so amended, would have permitted an underwriter to purchase or sell Bonds in the primary offering of the Bonds in compliance with Rule 15c2-12, taking into account any amendments or interpretations of Rule 15c2-12 since such offering as well as such changed circumstances and (2) either (a) the Bondholders of a majority in aggregate principal of the outstanding Bonds consent to such amendment or (b) a person that is unaffiliated with the District (such as nationally recognized bond counsel) determines that such amendment will not materially impair the interest of the Bondholders and beneficial owners of the Bonds. The District may also amend or repeal the provisions of this continuing disclosure agreement if the SEC amends or repeals the applicable provision of Rule 15c2-12 or a court of final jurisdiction enters judgment that such provisions of Rule 15c2-12 are invalid, but only if and to the extent that the provisions of this sentence would not prevent an underwriter from lawfully purchasing or selling Bonds in the primary offering of the Bonds. If the District amends its agreement, it must include with the next financial information and operating data provided in accordance with its agreement described above under "Annual Reports" an explanation, in narrative form, of the reasons for the amendment and of the impact of any change in the type of information and data provided.

#### **Compliance with Prior Undertakings**

During the last five (5) years, several filings required under the District's prior undertakings were, in one or more cases, improperly linked or cross-referenced within EMMA. Such filings were corrected to the extent the affected bonds were outstanding.

#### **OTHER INFORMATION**

#### Ratings

The Bonds are rated "Aaa" by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("Moody's") and "AAA" by S&P Global Ratings, a division of S&P Global ("S&P"), by virtue of the guarantee by the Permanent School Fund. See "APPENDIX D - THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM." The presently outstanding unenhanced tax-supported debt of the District is rated "Aa3" by Moody's and "A" by S&P. The District has numerous issues outstanding which are rated "Aaa" by Moody's and "AAA" by S&P by virtue of the guarantee of the Permanent School Fund. The District also has other issues outstanding that are not guaranteed by the Permanent School Fund. An explanation of the significance of such ratings may be obtained from the company furnishing the rating. The ratings reflect only the respective views of such organizations and the District makes no representation as to the appropriateness of the ratings. There is no assurance that such ratings will continue for any given period of time or that they will not be revised downward or withdrawn entirely by any or all of such rating companies, if in the judgment of any or all of such companies, circumstances so warrant.

The above ratings are not recommendations to buy, sell, or hold the Bonds, and such ratings may be subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the rating agencies. Any downward revision or withdrawal of either or both ratings may have an adverse effect on the market price of the Bonds.

#### **Effects of Sequestration on Certain Obligations**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended, certain automatic reductions in federal spending took effect as of March 1, 2013. These required reductions in federal spending include a reduction to refundable credits under section 6431 of the Code applicable to certain qualified bonds, including qualified school construction bonds issued pursuant to sections 54A and 54F of the Code for which an issuer elected to receive a direct credit subsidy payment pursuant to section 6431 of the Code.

For such qualified bonds eligible for the direct credit subsidy payment, the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") set a sequester percentage (i.e. reduction) of 5.7%, which applies to any payments processed on or after October 1, 2020 and on or before September 30, 2030, unless and until a law is enacted that cancels or otherwise impacts the sequester. Sequestration may continue past September 30, 2030 and the sequestration percentage may increase or decrease in any fiscal year.

These reductions apply to Build America Bonds, Qualified School Construction Bonds, Qualified Zone Academy Bonds, New Clean Renewable Energy Bonds, and Qualified Energy Conservation Bonds for which an issuer elected to receive a direct credit subsidy pursuant to section 6431 of the Code. Payments to issuers of such bonds are currently subject to a reduction of 5.7% of the amount budgeted for such payments.

The District has previously issued its Unlimited Tax Schoolhouse Bonds, Taxable Series 2010B (Build America Bonds – Direct Payment to Issuer) (the "Affected Bonds"), which Affected Bonds are outstanding in the principal amount of \$30,000,000. It is anticipated that federal payments to the District for such Affected Bonds will be reduced as described above. Pursuant to the order authorizing the issuance of the Affected Bonds, the District is required to make interest and principal payments on the Affected Bonds regardless of whether any federal funding is received. If the sequestration continues, the District may be required to increase ad valorem tax rates in order to pay additional debt service expenses on the Affected Bonds resulting from decreased federal funding. The District can make no prediction as to the length or long-term effects of the sequestration.

#### Litigation

The District is not a party to any litigation or other proceeding pending or to its knowledge, threatened, in any court, agency, or other administrative body (either state or federal) which, if decided adversely to the District, would have a material adverse effect on the financial statements or operations of the District.

# Legal Investments and Eligibility to Secure Public Funds in Texas

Section 1201.041 of the Public Security Procedures Act (Chapter 1201, Texas Government Code) provides that the Bonds are negotiable instruments governed by Chapter 8, Texas Business and Commerce Code, and are legal and authorized investments for insurance companies, fiduciaries, and trustees, and for the sinking funds of municipalities or other political subdivisions or

public agencies of the State of Texas. With respect to investment in the Bonds by municipalities or other political subdivisions or public agencies of the State of Texas, the Public Funds Investment Act, Chapter 2256, Texas Government Code, requires that the Bonds be assigned a rating of "A" or its equivalent as to investment quality by a national rating agency. See "OTHER INFORMATION - Ratings" herein. In addition, various provisions of the Texas Finance Code provide that, subject to a prudent investor standard, the Bonds are legal investments for state banks, savings banks, trust companies with at capital of one million dollars or more, and savings and loan associations. The Bonds are eligible to secure deposits of any public funds of the State, its agencies, and its political subdivisions, and are legal security for those deposits to the extent of their market value. No review by the District has been made of the laws in other states to determine whether the Bonds are legal investments for various institutions in those states.

The District has made no investigation of other laws, rules, regulations, or investment criteria which might apply to such institutions or entities or which might limit the suitability of the Bonds for any of the foregoing purposes or limit the authority of such institutions or entities to purchase or invest in the Bonds for such purposes. The District has made no review of laws in other states to determine whether the Bonds are legal investments for various institutions in those states.

## **Registration and Qualification of Bonds for Sale**

No registration statement relating to the Bonds has been filed with the SEC under the Federal Securities Act of 1933, as amended, in reliance upon the exemption provided thereunder by Section 3(a)(2); and the Bonds have not been registered or qualified under the Securities Act of Texas in reliance upon various exemptions contained therein; nor have the Bonds been registered or qualified under the securities acts of any other jurisdiction. The District assumes no responsibility for registration or qualification of the Bonds under the securities laws of any other jurisdiction in which the Bonds may be offered, sold, or otherwise transferred. This disclaimer of responsibility for registration and qualification for sale or other disposition of the Bonds shall not be construed as an interpretation of any kind with regard to the availability of any exemption from securities registration or qualification provisions in such other jurisdictions.

## Legal Matters

The District will furnish to the Underwriters a complete transcript of proceedings incident to the authorization and issuance of the Bonds, including the unqualified approving opinion of the Attorney General of Texas to the effect that the Bonds are valid and legally binding obligations of the District payable from the proceeds of an annual ad valorem tax levied, within the limits prescribed by law, upon all taxable property in the District, and the approving legal opinions of Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP, Houston, Texas, Bond Counsel to the District, in substantially the forms attached as Appendix C.

Bond Counsel did not take part in the preparation of the Official Statement, and such firm has not assumed any responsibility with respect thereto or undertaken independently to verify any of the information contained herein, except that, in its capacity as Bond Counsel, such firm has reviewed the information under the captions and subcaptions "PLAN OF FINANCING – Purpose," "PLAN OF FINANCING – Refunded Bonds," "THE BONDS" (except for the information under the subcaptions "Permanent School Fund Guarantee" and "Bond Holders' Remedies," as to which no opinion need be expressed), and "CONTINUING DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION" (except for the information under the subcaption "Compliance with Prior Undertakings," as to which no opinion need be expressed), and such firm is of the opinion that the statements and information contained therein fairly and accurately reflect the provisions of the Order; further, Bond Counsel has reviewed the statements and information contained in this Official Statement under the captions and subcaptions "STATE AND LOCAL FUNDING OF SCHOOL DISTRICTS IN TEXAS," "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM," "TAX RATE LIMITATIONS," "TAX EXEMPTION," and "OTHER INFORMATION – Legal Investments and Eligibility to Secure Public Funds in Texas," "– Registration and Qualification of Bonds for Sale," and "– Legal Matters" (except for the last paragraph as to which no opinion need be expressed), and Statements and "– Legal Matters" (except for the last paragraph as to which no opinion need be expressed), and Soft Sale," and "– Legal Matters" (except for the last paragraph as to which no opinion need be expressed), and Bond Counsel is of the opinion that the statements and information contained therein are correct as to matters of law.

The legal fee to be paid Bond Counsel for services rendered in connection with the issuance of the Bonds is contingent upon the sale and delivery of the Bonds. Certain legal matters will be passed upon for the Underwriters by their counsel, Bracewell LLP, Houston, Texas. The legal fee of such firm is contingent upon the sale and delivery of the Bonds. The various legal opinions to be delivered concurrently with the delivery of the Bonds express the professional judgment of the attorneys rendering the opinions as to the legal issues explicitly addressed therein. In rendering a legal opinion, the attorney does not become an insurer or guarantor of the expression of professional judgment, of the transaction opined upon, or of the future performance of the parties to the transaction. Nor does the rendering of an opinion guarantee the outcome of any legal dispute that may arise out of the transaction.

## **Financial Advisor**

In its role as Financial Advisor, Post Oak Municipal Advisors LLC has relied on the District for certain information concerning the District and the Bonds. The fee of the Financial Advisor for services with respect to the Bonds is contingent upon delivery of the Bonds. The Financial Advisor is not obligated to undertake and has not undertaken to make an independent verification or to assume responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or fairness of the information in this Official Statement.

The Financial Advisor has provided the following sentence for inclusion in this Official Statement: The Financial Advisor has reviewed the information in this Official Statement in accordance with, and as part of, its responsibilities to the District and, as applicable, to investors under the federal securities laws as applied to the facts and circumstances of this transaction, but the Financial Advisor does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such information.

# Underwriting

The Underwriters have agreed, subject to certain conditions, to purchase the Bonds from the District at a price of \$34,523,735.17 (representing the principal amount of the Bonds, plus a premium in the amount of \$1,743,321.65, less an underwriting discount of \$124,586.48). The Underwriters will be obligated to purchase all of the Bonds if any Bonds are purchased. The Bonds to be offered to the public may be offered and sold to certain dealers (including the Underwriters and other dealers depositing Bonds into investment trusts) at prices lower than the public offering prices of such Bonds, and such public offering prices may be changed, from time to time, by the Underwriters.

Additionally, the Underwriters may receive additional compensation from the District for additional services rendered including but not limited to the provision of certain investment services either directly or through a subsidiary.

The Underwriters have provided the following sentence for inclusion in this Official Statement. The Underwriters have reviewed the information in this Official Statement in accordance with and as part of to their responsibilities to investors under the federal securities laws as applied to the facts and circumstances of this transaction, but the Underwriters do not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such information.

Piper Sandler & Co. ("Piper"), one of the underwriters of the Bonds, has entered into a distribution agreement ("Distribution Agreement") with Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. ("CS&Co.") for the retail distribution of certain securities offerings including the Bonds, at the original issue prices. Pursuant to the Distribution Agreement, CS&Co. will purchase Bonds from Piper at the original issue price less a negotiated portion of the selling concession applicable to any Bonds that CS&Co. sells.

## **Forward Looking Statements**

The statements contained in this Official Statement, and in any other information provided by the District, that are not purely historical, are forward-looking statements, including statements regarding the District's expectations, hopes, intentions, or strategies regarding the future. Readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements included in this Official Statement are based on information available to the District on the date hereof, and the District assumes no obligation to update any such forward-looking statements. The District's actual results could differ materially from those discussed in such forward-looking statements.

The forward-looking statements included herein are necessarily based on various assumptions and estimates and are inherently subject to various risks and uncertainties, including risks and uncertainties relating to the possible invalidity of the underlying assumptions and estimates and possible changes or developments in social, economic, business, industry, market, legal, and regulatory circumstances and conditions and actions taken or omitted to be taken by third parties, including customers, suppliers, business partners and competitors, and legislative, judicial, and other governmental authorities and officials. Assumptions related to the foregoing involve judgments with respect to, among other things, future economic, competitive, and market conditions and future business decisions, all of which are difficult or impossible to predict accurately and many of which are beyond the control of the District. Any of such assumptions could be inaccurate and, therefore, there can be no assurance that the forward-looking statements included in this Official Statement will prove to be accurate.

## **Audited Financial Statements**

Mays & Associates, PLLC, the District's independent auditor, has not reviewed, commented on, or approved, and is not associated with, this Official Statement. The report of Mays & Associates, PLLC relating to the District's financial statements for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2022 is included in this Official Statement in APPENDIX B; however, Mays & Associates, PLLC has not performed any procedures on such financial statements since the date of such report, and has not performed any procedures on any other financial information of the District, including without limitation any of the information contained in this Official Statement.

# Miscellaneous

The financial data and other information contained herein have been obtained from the District's records, audited financial statements and other sources which are believed to be reliable. There is no guarantee that any of the assumptions or estimates contained herein will be realized. All of the summaries of the statutes, documents and resolutions contained in this Official Statement are made subject to all of the provisions of such statutes, documents and resolutions. These summaries do not purport to be complete statements of such provisions and reference is made to such documents for further information. Reference is made to original documents in all respects.

The Order authorizing the issuance of the Bonds approves the form and content of this Official Statement, and any addenda, supplement or amendment thereto, and authorizes its further use in the reoffering of the Bonds by the Underwriters.

/s/ Corey Magliolo

President, Board of Trustees Dickinson Independent School District

ATTEST:

/s/ Veanna Veasey

Secretary, Board of Trustees Dickinson Independent School District

# SCHEDULE I SCHEDULE OF BONDS TO BE REFUNDED

Series	Original Dated Date	Original Principal Amount	Principal Amount Outstanding	Maturities Being Refunded	Principal Amount Outstanding	Principal Amount Refunded	Redemption Date / Price
Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2013	12/1/2013	\$ 23,360,000	\$ 3,285,000	2/15/2025 2/15/2026	\$ 980,000 840,000 \$ 1,820,000	\$ 980,000 840,000 \$ 1,820,000	12/5/2023 @ Par 12/5/2023 @ Par
Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2014	12/1/2014	\$ 63,505,000	\$ 39,670,000	2/15/2025 2/15/2026 2/15/2027 2/15/2028 2/15/2029 2/15/2030 2/15/2031 2/15/2032	\$ 3,955,000 4,160,000 4,375,000 4,595,000 4,830,000 5,075,000 4,145,000 4,355,000 \$ 35,490,000	\$ 3,955,000 4,160,000 4,375,000 4,595,000 4,830,000 5,075,000 4,145,000 4,355,000 \$ 35,490,000	2/15/2024 @ Par 2/15/2024 @ Par 2/15/2024 @ Par 2/15/2024 @ Par 2/15/2024 @ Par 2/15/2024 @ Par 2/15/2024 @ Par

# APPENDIX A

# FINANCIAL INFORMATION REGARDING THE DISTRICT

# FINANCIAL INFORMATION REGARDING THE DISTRICT

#### TABLE 1 - VALUATION, EXEMPTIONS, AND TAX SUPPORTED DEBT

2023/24 Appraised Valuation Established by the Galveston Central Appraisal District (Excludes Fully Exempt Property)		\$9,173,283,637
Less Exemptions/Reductions at 100% Market Value:		
Homestead Cap Adjustment	\$624,311,982	
Productivity Loss	143,419,650	
Residential Homestead Exemptions	1,523,959,186 (1)	
Over 65 Homestead Exemptions (State Mandated)	40,712,873	
Disabled Persons Exemptions	4,839,695	
Disabled and Deceased Veterans Survivor(s) Exemptions	102,456,965	
Pollution Control	38,728,947	
Freeport Exemptions	53,389,340	
Other Exemptions	356,180	(2,532,174,818)

2023/24 Taxable Assessed Valuation

\$6,641,108,819 (1) (2)

(1) Value assumes the application of a state-mandated general homestead exemption of \$100,000. A proposed constitutional amendment referred to as Proposition 4 will be on the November 7, 2023 ballot. If approved, it would increase the state-mandated homestead exemption for school districts from \$40,000 to \$100,000. (2) Includes value of property which is "frozen" at lower levels for homesteads of taxpayers 65 years or older, their surviving spouses and disabled taxpayers.

Debt Payable from Ad Valorem Taxes: Outstanding Unlimited Tax Bonds <i>(as of August 31, 2023)</i> Les: The Refunded Bonds Plus: The Bonds	\$466,390,000 \$ (37,310,000) 32,905,000 \$461,985,000
Estimated Interest and Sinking Fund Balance (as of August 31, 2023)	\$13,800,000 (1)
Ratio of Tax Supported Debt to Taxable Assessed Valuation	6.96%
2023/24 Estimated Population 2023/24 Per Capita Taxable Assessed Valuation 2023/24 Per Capita Tax Supported Debt	67,021 <sup>(2)</sup> \$99,090 \$6,893

<sup>(1)</sup> Unaudited, as of August 31, 2023. The unaudited information has not been prepraed or reviewed by the District's independent auditor. The unaudited information is derived from internal account balances of the District as calculated by the District. The unaudited information is subject to revision upon completion of the District's annual audit. <sup>(2)</sup> Source: Municipal Advisory Council of Texas.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank]

# TABLE 2 - TAXABLE ASSESSED VALUATIONS BY CATEGORY

	Taxable Assessed Value for Fiscal Year Ended August 31,							
	2024		2023		2022			
		% of		% of		% of		
	Amount	Total	Amount	Total	Amount	Total		
Residential	\$6,582,373,396	71.76%	\$5,663,071,775	70.37%	\$4,845,645,901	69.82%		
Vacant Lots / Tracts	229,830,946	2.51%	202,464,456	2.52%	206,470,331	2.97%		
Acreage / Farm & Ranch Improvements	275,644,442	3.00%	253,167,830	3.15%	233,919,687	3.37%		
Commercial & Industrial	1,388,082,433	15.13%	1,356,058,003	16.85%	1,061,705,917	15.30%		
Utilities	187,510,510	2.04%	172,190,699	2.14%	152,505,044	2.20%		
Minerals	6,580,796	0.07%	7,971,922	0.10%	3,747,895	0.05%		
Other	503,261,114	5.49%	392,741,517	4.88%	436,404,449	6.29%		
Total Appraised Value Before Exemptions	\$9,173,283,637	100.00%	\$8,047,666,202	100.00%	\$6,940,399,224	100.00%		
Less: Total Exemptions/Reductions	(2,532,174,818) (1	.)	(1,441,263,406)		(996,668,407)			
Taxable Assessed Value <sup>(2)</sup>	\$6,641,108,819	)	\$6,606,402,796		\$5,943,730,817			

	Taxable Assessed Value for Fiscal Year Ended August 31,						
	2021		2020				
		% of		% of			
	Amount	Total	Amount	Total			
Residential	\$3,949,501,524	68.04%	\$3,400,977,548	67.25%			
Vacant Lots / Tracts	203,194,216	3.50%	163,554,201	3.23%			
Acreage / Farm & Ranch Improvements	228,679,704	3.94%	222,467,047	4.40%			
Commercial & Industrial	828,554,042	14.27%	714,798,331	14.13%			
Utilities	133,206,240	2.29%	131,769,890	2.61%			
Minerals	6,736,851	0.12%	8,212,890	0.16%			
Other	454,624,926	7.83%	415,198,386	8.21%			
Total Appraised Value Before Exemptions	\$5,804,497,503	100.00%	\$5,056,978,293	100.00%			
Less: Total Exemptions/Reductions	(802,975,005)		(737,166,760)				
Taxable Assessed Value (2)	\$5,001,522,498		\$4,319,811,533				

NOTE: Valuations shown are certified taxable assessed values reported by the Galveston Central Appraisal District (the "Appraisal District") to the State Comptroller of Public Accounts. Certified values are subject to change as contested values are resolved and the Appraisal District updates its records. <sup>(1)</sup> Value assumes the application of a state-mandated general homestead exemption of \$100,000. A proposed constitutional amendment referred to as Proposition 4 will be on the November 7, 2023 ballot. If approved, it would increase the state-mandated homestead exemption for school districts from \$40,000 to \$100,000. <sup>(2)</sup> Includes value of property which is "frozen" at lower levels for homesteads of taxpayers 65 years or older, their surviving spouses and disabled taxpayers.

# TABLE 3 - VALUATION AND TAX SUPPORTED DEBT HISTORY

Fiscal Year Ended 8/31	Estimated District Population <sup>(1)</sup>	Taxable Assessed Valuation <sup>(2)</sup>	Taxable Assessed Valuation Per Capita	Tax Supported Debt Outstanding _ at End of Year	Ratio of Tax Supported Debt to Taxable Assessed Valuation	Tax Supported Debt Per Capita
2020	60,587	\$4,319,811,533	\$71,299	\$295,390,000	6.84%	\$4,875
2021	61,753	5,001,522,498	80,992	373,055,000	7.46%	6,041
2022	63,291	5,943,730,817	93,911	362,190,000	6.09%	5,723
2023	64,650	6,606,402,796	102,187	466,390,000	7.06%	7,214
2024	67,021	6,641,108,819 <sup>(3)</sup>	99,090	450,595,000 <sup>(4)</sup>	6.78% (4)	6,723 (4)

(1) Source: Municipal Advisory Council of Texas.

<sup>(2)</sup> Established by the Appraisal District and subject to change during ensuing year.

<sup>(3)</sup> Value assumes the application of a state-mandated general homestead exemption of \$100,000. A proposed constitutional amendment referred to as Proposition 4 will be on the November 7, 2023 ballot. If approved, it would increase the state-mandated homestead exemption for school districts from \$40,000 to \$100,000.

<sup>(4)</sup> Projected. Includes the Bonds and excludes the Refunded Bonds.

## TABLE 4 - TAX RATE, LEVY, AND COLLECTION HISTORY

Tax Year	Tax Rate	General Fund <sup>(1)</sup>	Interest & Sinking Fund	Tax Levy	% Current Tax Collections to Tax Levy	% Total Tax Collections to Tax Levy <sup>(2)</sup>
2019	\$1.45000	\$0.97000	\$0.48000	\$60,420,143	98.35%	100.34%
2020	1.34400	0.87400	0.47000	65,198,153	97.88%	99.67%
2021	1.31200	0.87200	0.44000	74,981,141	98.04%	98.67%
2022	1.29400	0.85400	0.44000	83,151,261	97.72%	98.66%
2023	1.17800	0.73800	0.44000	80,385,422	In Process of	of Collection

Source: Unaudited, as of July 31, 2023. The amounts represent unaudited information that has not been prepared or reviewed by the District's independent auditor. The unaudited information is derived from internal account balances of the District as calculated by the District and is subject to revision upon completion of the District's annual audit.

(1) See "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM" for a discussion of M&O tax rate compression under the Finance System.

(2) Excludes penalties and interest.

# TABLE 5 – TEN LARGEST TAXPAYERS (1)

Name of Taxpayer	Nature of Property	2023/24 Taxable Assessed Valuation	% of Total Taxable Assessed Valuation
Topaz Generating LLC	Natural Gas Utility	\$75,136,290	1.13%
ISP Technologies Inc.	Chemical Plant	73,879,450	1.11%
Galveston Outlets LLC	Shopping Center/Mall	69,234,330	1.04%
Texas-New Mexico Power Co.	Electric Utility/Power Plant	69,151,370	1.04%
Amalfi & Sorrento Prop. LLC	Apartments	62,441,700	0.94%
KV Beacon Lakes Apartments LLC	Apartments	48,662,700	0.73%
TL II Apartments LLC	Apartments	44,400,500	0.67%
Balm 348 LLC	Apartments	42,816,900	0.64%
Windsor Estates Texas City LLC	Apartments	42,505,320	0.64%
TPI LCTC Retail LLC	Strip Mall/Plaza	35,331,260	0.53%
	-	\$563,559,820	8.49%

<sup>(1)</sup> Source: The Appraisal District.

# TABLE 6 – TAX ADEQUACY

2023/24 Principal and Interest Requirements	\$29,714,051 <sup>(1)</sup>
\$0.4613 Tax Rate on 2023/24 Taxable Assessed Valuation at 97% Collection Produces	\$29,716,372 <sup>(2)</sup>
Maximum Principal and Interest Requirements (2034/35)	\$33,672,649 <sup>(1)</sup>
\$0.5228 Tax Rate on 2023/24 Taxable Assessed Valuation at 97% Collection Produces	\$33,678,125 <sup>(2)</sup>
Average Principal and Interest Requirements (2023/24 – 2052/53).	\$23,611,629 <sup>(1)</sup>
\$0.3666 Tax Rate on 2023/24 Taxable Assessed Valuation at 97% Collection Produces	\$23,615,916 <sup>(2)</sup>

(1) Includes the Bonds and excludes the Refunded Bonds. The direct subsidy to the District in connection with the District's Unlimited Tax Schoolhouse Bonds, Taxable Series 2010B (Build America Bonds - Direct Payment to Issuer) has been deducted. See "OTHER INFORMATION - Effects of Sequestration on Certain Obligations."
 <sup>(2)</sup> Calculation based on 2023/24 net taxable assessed valuation of \$6,641,108,819.

#### TABLE 7 - ESTIMATED OVERLAPPING DEBT

Expenditures of the various taxing entities within the territory of the District are paid out of ad valorem taxes levied by such entities on properties within the District. Such entities are independent of the District and may incur borrowings to finance their expenditures. This statement of direct and estimated overlapping ad valorem tax debt ("Tax Debt") was developed from information contained in "Texas Municipal Reports" published by the Municipal Advisory Council of Texas. Except for the amounts relating to the District, the District has not independently verified the accuracy or completeness of such information, and no person should rely upon such information as being accurate or complete. Furthermore, certain of the entities listed may have issued additional Tax Debt since the date hereof, and such entities may have programs requiring the issuance of substantial amounts of additional Tax Debt, the amount of which cannot be determined. The following table reflects the estimated share of overlapping Tax Debt of the District.

	2023/24		Gross General	Estimated	Overlapping
	Net Taxable	2022/23	Obligation Debt	Overlapping	General Obligation
Entity	Assessed Value	Tax Rate	as of 9/30/2023	%	Debt
Dickinson ISD	\$6,641,108,819	\$ 1.1780	\$461,985,000 (1)	100.00%	\$ 461,985,000
Bacliff MUD	574,553,180	0.1950	9,545,000	96.38%	9,199,471
Bay Colony West MUD	380,641,697	0.9300	25,805,000	99.42%	25,655,331
College of the Mainland	18,819,812,163	0.2680	154,975,000	37.17%	57,604,208
Dickinson, City of	1,848,331,139	0.3880	6,875,000	95.96%	6,597,250
Galveston Co	49,768,378,971	0.3680	174,315,041	13.78%	24,020,613
Galveston Co MUD # 14	490,951,871	0.3950	5,540,000	99.87%	5,532,798
Galveston Co MUD # 31	192,371,625	0.8200	22,670,000	100.00%	22,670,000
Galveston Co MUD # 43	583,344,193	0.5700	25,545,000	28.97%	7,400,387
Galveston Co MUD # 44	352,183,749	0.7500	28,820,000	100.00%	28,820,000
Galveston Co MUD # 45	552,522,631	0.8000	50,455,000	4.56%	2,300,748
Galveston Co MUD # 54	157,828,360	0.5400	21,825,000	100.00%	21,825,000
Galveston Co MUD # 56	708,834,986	0.9550	71,075,000	100.00%	71,075,000
Galveston Co MUD # 66	149,033,676	0.9400	12,160,000	75.38%	9,166,208
Galveston WC&ID # 1	2,011,570,183	0.1360	7,165,000	100.00%	7,165,000
La Marque, City of	1,841,662,552	0.4620	26,843,292	6.19%	1,661,600
League City, City of	13,047,377,962	0.4160	239,095,000	15.38%	36,772,811
San Leon MUD	649,429,851	0.4760	33,840,000	100.00%	33,840,000
Texas City, City of	7,729,082,204	0.4900	20,340,000	24.49%	4,981,266
Total Direct and Overlapping Debt					\$ 838,272,689

Ratio of Direct and Overlapping Debt to 2023/24 Taxable Assessed Valuation Per Capita Direct and Overlapping Debt

12.62% 12,508

\$

Source: Municipal Advisory Council of Texas.

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes the Bonds and excludes the Refunded Bonds.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank]

Fiscal Year	Outstanding	Less: Refunded				Less:	
Ending	Debt Service	Debt Service		Plus: The Bonds		Direct	Net Total
8/31	Requirements (1)	Requirements	Principal	Interest	Total	Subsidy (2)	Debt Service
2024	\$ 31,029,930	\$ (1,865,500)	\$ -	\$ 1,142,535	\$ 1,142,535	\$ (592,914)	\$ 29,714,051
2025	32,893,830	(6,677,125)	4,475,000	1,533,375	6,008,375	(592,914)	31,632,166
2026	33,031,705	(6,493,750)	4,515,000	1,308,625	5,823,625	(592,914)	31,768,666
2027	32,169,230	(5,634,375)	3,870,000	1,099,000	4,969,000	(592,914)	30,910,941
2028	32,170,830	(5,630,125)	4,060,000	900,750	4,960,750	(592,914)	30,908,541
2029	32,036,355	(5,629,500)	4,270,000	692,500	4,962,500	(592,914)	30,776,441
2030	32,034,705	(5,626,875)	4,485,000	473,625	4,958,625	(592,914)	30,773,541
2031	33,327,805	(4,466,375)	3,525,000	273,375	3,798,375	(628,753)	32,031,052
2032	33,332,105	(4,463,875)	3,705,000	92,625	3,797,625	(628,753)	32,037,102
2033	33,324,930	-	-	-	-	(628,753)	32,696,177
2034	33,333,463	-	-	-	-	(590,597)	32,742,866
2035	34,161,305	-	-	-	-	(488,657)	33,672,649
2036	33,965,737	-	-	-	-	(357,234)	33,608,503
2037	33,607,537	-	-	-	-	(218,590)	33,388,947
2038	31,707,930	-	-	-	-	(73,793)	31,634,137
2039	16,474,119	-	-	-	-	-	16,474,119
2040	16,467,969	-	-	-	-	-	16,467,969
2041	20,624,369	-	-	-	-	-	20,624,369
2042	20,619,369	-	-	-	-	-	20,619,369
2043	20,618,669	-	-	-	-	-	20,618,669
2044	20,616,369	-	-	-	-	-	20,616,369
2045	15,569,619	-	-	-	-	-	15,569,619
2046	15,568,434	-	-	-	-	-	15,568,434
2047	15,563,081	-	-	-	-	-	15,563,081
2048	15,565,900	-	-	-	-	-	15,565,900
2049	15,567,363	-	-	-	-	-	15,567,363
2050	11,308,394	-	-	-	-	-	11,308,394
2051	11,305,275	-	-	-	-	-	11,305,275
2052	7,091,581	-	-	-	-	-	7,091,581
2053	7,092,581						7,092,581
	\$722,180,489	\$(46,487,500)	\$32,905,000	\$7,516,410	\$40,421,410	(\$7,765,525)	\$708,348,874

#### TABLE 8 - TAX SUPPORTED DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS

(1) Interest on the District's Variable Rate Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2014 is calculated at the current rate through July 31, 2025 and at 5.00% thereafter for

<sup>(2)</sup> Represents a subsidy, estimated at 35% of the interest payments on the District's Unlimited Tax Schoolhouse Bonds, Taxable Series 2010B (Build America Bonds – Direct Payment to Issuer), that is expected to be paid by the federal government. See "OTHER INFORMATION – EFFECTS OF SEQUESTRATION ON CERTAIN OBLIGATIONS." Pursuant to the requirements of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended, payments to certain state and local government filers claiming payments applicable to certain qualified bonds are subject to sequestration. Payments from the Federal government for Build America Bonds processed on or after October 1, 2020 and on or before September 30, 2030 will be reduced by the fiscal year 2021 sequestration rate of 5.7%. The sequestration reduction rate will be applied unless and until a law is enacted that cancels or otherwise impacts the sequester, at which time the sequestration reduction rate is subject to change.

## TABLE 9 - INTEREST AND SINKING FUND BUDGET PROJECTION

Interest and Sinking Fund Balance as of August 31, 2023		\$13,800,000 (1)
Plus: Estimated 2023/24 Interest and Sinking Fund Tax Revenue @ 97% Collections	\$28,000,000 <sup>(2)</sup>	
Plus: Estimated 2023/24 Collection of Delinquent Taxes	\$300,000 <sup>(2)</sup>	
Plus: Estimated 2023/24 Penalties and Interest on Delinquent Taxes	130,000 (2)	
Plus: Estimated 2023/24 Interest Earnings and Other Local Income	300,000 (2)	
Plus: Estimated 2023/24 State Revenue	5,000,000 (2)	33,730,000
Less: I&S Funds Tranfered to Escrow Fund		(3,472,965) <sup>(3)</sup>
Less: I&S Fund Tax Supported Debt Service Requirements, Fiscal Year Ending August 31, 2024	_	(29,714,051) (3)
Estimated Interest and Sinking Fund Balance as of August 31, 2024	=	\$14,342,984

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(1)</sup> Unaudited, as of August 31, 2023. The amount represents unaudited information that has not been prepared or reviewed by the District's independent auditor. The unaudited information is derived from internal account balances of the District as calculated by the District and is subject to revision upon completion of the District's annual audit. <sup>(2)</sup> Source: The District's adopted budget for the 2023/24 fiscal year. <sup>(3)</sup> Includes the Bonds and excludes the Refunded Bonds. Net of federal subsidy on the District's Unlimited Tax Schoolhouse Bonds, Taxable Series 2010B (Build

America Bonds - Direct Payment to Issuer) that is expected to be paid by the Federal government.

## TABLE 10 - AUTHORIZED BUT UNISSUED GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

The District has no authorized but unissued bonds outstanding.

# **TABLE 11 – OTHER OBLIGATIONS**

The District has no outstanding obligations other than unlimited tax debt.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank]

# TABLE 12 - CHANGE IN NET ASSETS

Revenues: Program Revenues Charges for services Operating grants and contributions General Revenues Property taxes State-aid formula grants Grants and Contributions Not Restricted Investment earnings Other	2022 \$ 2,120,964 22,565,579 74,767,149 52,534,966 3,106,906	2021 \$ 1,758,342 22,993,227 65,792,054 59,345,468	2020 \$ 3,186,224 18,870,179 60,541,697	2019 \$ 3,877,858 17,640,506	2018 \$ 3,247,034 (1,414,831)
Program Revenues Charges for services Operating grants and contributions General Revenues Property taxes State-aid formula grants Grants and Contributions Not Restricted Investment earnings	22,565,579 74,767,149 52,534,966	22,993,227 65,792,054	18,870,179	• - ) - · · )	. , ,
Charges for services Operating grants and contributions General Revenues Property taxes State-aid formula grants Grants and Contributions Not Restricted Investment earnings	22,565,579 74,767,149 52,534,966	22,993,227 65,792,054	18,870,179	• - ) - · · )	. , ,
Operating grants and contributions General Revenues Property taxes State-aid formula grants Grants and Contributions Not Restricted Investment earnings	22,565,579 74,767,149 52,534,966	22,993,227 65,792,054	18,870,179	• - ) - · · )	
General Revenues Property taxes State-aid formula grants Grants and Contributions Not Restricted Investment earnings	74,767,149 52,534,966	65,792,054	, ,	17,640,506	(1,414,831)
Property taxes State-aid formula grants Grants and Contributions Not Restricted Investment earnings	52,534,966		60,541,697		
State-aid formula grants Grants and Contributions Not Restricted Investment earnings	52,534,966		60,541,697		
Grants and Contributions Not Restricted Investment earnings		59,345,468		57,421,821	57,038,086
Investment earnings	3,106,906		54,300,760	52,429,161	48,694,652
8		3,697,882	6,722,365	6,450,353	3,129,849
Other	734,582	247,073	1,867,015	1,736,586	1,878,282
	1,348,034	732,105	1,525,339	560,322	1,556,236
Total Revenues	\$ 157,178,180	\$ 154,566,151	\$ 147,013,579	\$ 140,116,607	\$ 114,129,308
Expenses:					
Instruction	\$ 87,279,137	\$ 89,831,147	\$ 85,534,103	\$ 79,786,396	\$ 48,689,348
Instructional resources and media services	1,803,451	1,555,928	1,546,311	1,555,591	1,125,158
Curriculum and staff development	2,052,871	1,498,104	1,604,448	1,691,617	1,047,415
Instructional leadership	1,984,570	1,846,297	1,850,419	1,481,028	870,917
School leadership	8,081,402	8,476,701	8,429,096	7,850,792	4,639,690
Guidance, counseling and evaluation services	4,630,429	4,403,312	4,450,100	4,104,145	2,393,952
Social work services	224,849	220,774	207,687	205,195	189,928
Health services	1,420,201	1,500,305	1,353,588	1,200,549	743,481
Student transportation	7,003,145	6,247,014	5,970,351	5,998,848	4,311,131
Food Services	7,147,014	6,339,539	6,144,453	7,248,368	5,339,392
Extracurricular activities	2,991,491	3,059,295	3,059,708	3,158,204	2,309,362
General administration	3,445,040	4,221,817	3,783,711	3,886,211	2,728,128
Plant, maintenance and operations	15,837,655	16,748,243	16,514,175	16,087,071	14,656,332
Security and monitoring services	1,340,572	1,264,432	1,204,273	1,141,331	587,051
Data processing services	1,968,531	2,089,706	1,829,060	1,710,884	1,086,887
Community services	682,617	588,163	588,710	616,132	678,527
Debt Service - Interest on long-term debt	12,723,509	13,056,186	12,051,328	12,365,653	12,686,237
Juvenile Justice Alternative Ed Programs	368,493	238,005	116,084	109,794	103,427
Payments Related to SSA	146,590	161,859	204,053	185,302	205,371
Other governmental charges	559,580	501,225	462,749	-	-
Total Expenses	\$ 161,691,147	\$ 163,848,052	\$ 156,904,407	\$ 150,383,111	\$ 104,391,734
Change in Net Position	\$ (4,512,967)	\$ (9,281,901)	\$ (9,890,828)	\$ (10,266,504)	\$ 9,737,574
Net Position - Beginning	(73,678,420)	(64,396,519)	(54,505,691)	(44,239,187)	9,049,573
Prior period adjustment <sup>(1)</sup>	-				(63,026,334)
Net Position - Ending	\$ (78,191,387)	\$ (73,678,420)	\$ (64,396,519)	\$ (54,505,691)	\$ (44,239,187)

Source: The District's audited financial statements. <sup>(1)</sup> Attributable to the reporting of Post Employment Benefits Other Than Pensions (OPEB), in accordance with the District's implementation of GASB Statement No. 75.

# TABLE 12A – GENERAL FUND REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE HISTORY

	Fiscal Year Ended August 31,							
	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018			
Revenues:								
Local, Intermediate and Out-of-State	\$ 51,459,174	\$ 43,944,233	\$ 44,474,110	\$ 41,815,884	\$ 42,386,956			
State Program Revenues	58,903,630	65,489,413	60,150,983	57,136,225	53,574,185			
Federal Program Revenues	2,406,561	2,871,119	3,020,812	2,997,590	2,424,225			
Total Revenues	\$ 112,769,365	\$ 112,304,765	\$ 107,645,905	\$ 101,949,699	\$ 98,385,366			
Expenditures:								
Instruction & Instructional Related Services	\$ 76,821,149	\$ 70,624,086	\$ 64,390,587	\$ 61,045,016	\$ 57,774,700			
Curriculum and Staff Development	1,074,638	776,841	872,980	818,076	566,165			
Instructional and School Leadership	8,913,229	8,383,502	8,042,772	7,229,218	6,698,698			
Guidance, Counseling and Evaluation Services	3,508,019	3,398,183	2,959,445	2,771,870	2,644,397			
Social Work Services	199,301	196,151	185,459	177,267	168,944			
Health Services	1,253,042	1,253,937	1,085,300	957,005	829,050			
Student Transportation	6,448,473	5,988,409	5,731,839	5,276,891	5,861,215			
Food Services	3,345	6,523	56,240	-	-			
Cocurricular / Extracurricular Activities	2,323,090	2,371,137	2,195,641	2,159,311	2,031,677			
Administrative Support Services	3,196,332	3,672,748	3,187,805	3,285,318	3,154,148			
Plan Maintenance and Operation	14,273,739	14,436,460	11,702,973	12,163,427	12,624,616			
Security and Monitoring Services	1,159,781	1,037,219	976,430	1,062,543	521,910			
Data Processing Services	1,811,767	1,852,350	1,565,153	1,504,674	1,472,165			
Community Services	569,558	482,284	481,494	526,628	594,024			
Capital Outlay	1,441,197	4,025,601 (	3,643,388	1,297	62,617			
Intergovernmental Charges	849,378	781,913	683,282	213,404	210,876			
Total Expenditures	\$ 123,846,038	\$ 119,287,344	\$ 107,760,788	\$ 99,191,945	\$ 95,215,202			
Excess (Deficiency) of								
Revenues Over Expenditures	\$ (11,076,673)	\$ (6,982,579)	\$ (114,883)	\$ 2,757,754	\$ 3,170,164			
<b>Other Financing Sources (Uses):</b>								
Transfers In (Out)	\$ 71,553	\$ 133,117	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 102,273			
Sale of Real and Personal Property	52,988	-	2,219	32,445	-			
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	\$ 124,541	\$ 133,117	\$ 2,219	\$ 32,445	\$ 102,273			
Net Change in Fund Balance	\$ (10,952,132)	\$ (6,849,462)	\$ (112,664)	\$ 2,790,199	\$ 3,272,437			
Beginning General Fund Balance	49,072,013	55,921,475	56,034,139	53,243,940	49,971,503			
Ending General Fund Balance <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 38,119,881	2) \$ 49,072,013	\$ 55,921,475	\$ 56,034,139	\$ 53,243,940			

Source: The District's audited financial statements.

(1) Reflects purchases of land for future development. The District opted to fund these purchases with a strategic drawdown of its general fund balance.
 (2) The decline in fund balance was due to the hiring of additional staff, particularly mental health professionals and academic tutors, for the purpose of aiding students impacted by the Pandemic. The District is in the process of reducing these additional services, but expects to continue to incur expenses for this purpose through the 2023-2024 school year.

(3) The District currently estimates its ending General Fund Balance for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2023 to be approximately \$31 million. This amount represents unaudited information that has not been prepared or reviewed by the District's independent auditor. The unaudited information is derived from internal account balances of the District as calculated by the District and is subject to revision upon completion of the District's annual audit. Additionally, the District's budget for the 2023-2024 school year includes the expected use of approximately \$4 million of General Fund balance.

#### TABLE 13 - CURRENT INVESTMENTS

As of August 31, 2023, the District's investable funds were invested in the following categories:

			Percent of
Description	escription Market V		Total Value
Texas Range	\$	12,819,674	48.34%
Bank Deposits		5,324,325	20.07%
LOGIC		4,855,062	18.31%
Texas CLASS		1,777,023	6.70%
Texas FIT		1,746,404	6.58%
	\$	26,522,488	100.00%

(1) Unaudited. The unaudited information has not been prepared or reviewed by the District's independent auditor. The unaudited information is derived from internal account balances of the District as calculated by the District. The unaudited information is subject to revision upon completion of the District's annual audit.

# **APPENDIX B**

# EXCERPTS FROM THE DISTRICT'S ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

The information contained in this Appendix consists of excerpts from the Dickinson Independent School District Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the Fiscal Year Ended August 31, 2022, and it is not intended to be a complete statement of the District's financial condition. Reference is made to the complete report for further information.



# MAYS & ASSOCIATES

**CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS** 

# **INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT**

To the Board of Trustees DICKINSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT Dickinson, Texas

# Report on the Audit of the Financial Statements

# Opinions

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Dickinson Independent School District (the District), as of and for the year ended August 31, 2022, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the District, as of August 31, 2022, and the respective changes in financial position, and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

# **Basis for Opinions**

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are required to be independent of the District and to meet our ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

# Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the District's ability to continue as a going concern for twelve months beyond the financial statement date, including any currently known information that may raise substantial doubt shortly thereafter.

# Auditors' Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatements, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinions. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and *Government Auditing Standards* will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material

# Board of Trustees DICKINSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and *Government Auditing Standards*, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statements.
- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the District's ability to continue as going concern for a reasonable period of time.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we identified during the audit.

# **Required Supplementary Information**

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis, budgetary comparison information, and TRS pension and OPEB schedules on pages 8-15 and 61-70 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

# Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The schedules required by the Texas Education Agency and schedule of expenditures of federal awards, as required by Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards*, are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements themselves, and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America.

# Board of Trustees DICKINSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

In our opinion, the schedules required by the Texas Education Agency and the schedule of expenditures of federal awards are fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic, financial statements as a whole.

# Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated January 6, 2023, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Mays & Associates Mays & Associates, PLLC

Baytown, Texas January 6, 2023

As administrators of the Dickinson Independent School District (District), we offer readers of the District's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the District for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2022.

#### Financial highlights

- The District's total liabilities and deferred inflows of resources exceeded its assets and deferred outflows of resources at the close of the most recent fiscal year by \$78,191,387 (*net position*).
- As of the close of the current fiscal year, the District's governmental funds reported combined ending fund balances of \$113,641,387, a decrease of \$41,083,019 in comparison with the prior year. The decrease in overall governmental fund balances was primarily due to the continued capital outlay expenditures in the Capital Project Fund of \$34,190,496, \$10,952,132 in excess expenditures over revenues in the general fund, offset by increases in the debt service and special revenue funds. Fund balance in the debt service fund increased by \$1,336,093.
- At the end of the current fiscal year, unassigned fund balance for the general fund was \$2,627,687 or 2% of total general fund expenditures; however, total general fund fund balance was \$38,119,881 or 31% of general fund expenditures.

#### **Overview of the Financial Statements**

*Management's discussion and analysis* is intended to serve as an introduction to the District's basic *financial statements*. The District's basic *financial statements* are comprised of three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains required supplementary information and other supplementary information in addition to the basic financial statements.

- The first two statements are *government-wide financial statements* that provide both *long-term* and *short-term* information about the District's overall financial status.
- The remaining statements are *fund financial statements* that focus on individual parts of the government, reporting the District's operations in more detail than the government-wide statements. The *governmental funds* statements tell how *general government* services were financed in the *short-term* as well as what remains for future spending. *Proprietary fund* statements offer *short* and *long-term* financial information about the activities the government operates *like businesses*, such as the District's self-insurance workers' compensation program. *Fiduciary fund* statements provide information about the financial relationships in which the District acts solely as a *trustee or agent* for the benefit of others, to whom the resources in question belong.
- The financial statements also include *notes* that explain some of the information in the financial statements and provide more detailed data.

The basic financial statements are followed by a section of other *required supplementary information* that further explains and supports the information in the financial statements. The remainder of this overview section of *management's discussion* and analysis explains the structure and contents of each of the statements.

#### **Government-wide Financial Statements**

The *government-wide financial statements* are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the District's finances, in a manner similar to a private-sector business.

The Statement of Net Position includes all of the government's assets and deferred outflows of resources and liabilities and deferred inflows of resources, with the difference between the two reported as net position. Net position is one way to measure the District's financial health or position. Over time, increases or decreases in the District's net positions are indicators of whether financial health is improving or deteriorating, respectively. To fully assess the overall health of the District, however, non-financial factors need to be considered as well, such as changes in the District's average daily attendance, its property tax base and the condition of the District's facilities.

The Statement of Activities presents information showing how the District's net position changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will only affect cash flows in future fiscal periods (e.g., uncollected taxes and earned but unused sick leave).

The government-wide financial statements of the District reflect the governmental activities which are principally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues. The governmental activities of the District include Instruction, Instructional Resources and Media Services, Curriculum and Instructional Staff Development, Instructional Leadership, School Leadership, Guidance, Counseling, and Evaluation Services, Social Work Services, Student (Pupil) Transportation, Food Services, Extracurricular Activities, General Administration, Facilities Maintenance and Operations, Security and Monitoring Services, Data Processing Services, Community Services, Interest on Long-term Debt, Bond Issuance Costs and Fees, Payments to Shared Services Arrangements, and Payments to Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Programs.

#### **Fund Financial Statements**

A *fund* is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The District, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. All of the funds of the District can be divided into three categories: governmental funds, proprietary funds, and fiduciary funds.

#### **Governmental Funds**

Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. However, unlike the government-wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements focus on the near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as on *balances of spendable resources* available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in evaluating a District's near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for *governmental funds* with similar information presented for *governmental activities* in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the District's near-term financing decisions. Both the government fund balance sheet and the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between *governmental funds* and *governmental activities*.

The District maintains individual governmental funds for general, special revenue, debt services, and capital projects funds. Information is presented separately in the governmental fund balance sheet and in the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances for the general fund, debt service fund, and capital projects fund, all of which are considered to be major funds. Data from the other governmental funds are combined into a single, aggregated presentation. Individual funds data for each of these nonmajor governmental funds is provided in the form of combining statements elsewhere in the financial statements. The District adopts an annual appropriated budget for its general fund, debt service funds, and National School Breakfast and Lunch Program special revenue fund.

#### **Proprietary Fund**

The District maintains individual internal service funds for life insurance and workers' compensation insurance coverage. *Internal service funds*, one type of proprietary fund, are an accounting device used to accumulate and allocate costs internally among the District's various funds and functions. Because this service predominantly benefits governmental functions, it has been included within *governmental activities* in the government-wide financial statements.

Proprietary fund statements provide the same type of information as the government-wide financial statements, only in more detail. The internal service fund financial statements provide information for the life and workers' compensation insurance funds.

### **Fiduciary Fund**

The District is the trustee, or fiduciary, for certain funds. The District is responsible for ensuring that the assets reported in these funds are used for their intended purposes. All of the District's fiduciary activities are reported in separate statements (Exhibits E-1 & E-2). We excluded these activities from the District's government-wide financial statements because the because the District cannot use these assets to finance its operations.

## **Fund Balance**

The governmental fund financial statements present fund balances based on classifications that comprise a hierarchy that is based primarily on the extent to which the District is bound to honor constraints on the specific purposes for which amounts in the respective governmental funds can be spent. The classifications used in the governmental fund financial statements are as follows:

- *Nonspendable* includes amounts that cannot be spent because they are either (a) not in spendable form or (b) are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact.
- *Restricted* includes amounts for which constraints have been placed on the use of the resources either (a) externally imposed by creditors (such as through a debt covenant), grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments, or (b) imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.
- *Committed* includes amounts that can be used only for specific purposes pursuant to constraints imposed by formal action of the Board.
- Assigned includes amounts that are constrained by the District's intent to be used for a specific purpose but are neither restricted nor committed.
- Unassigned includes the residual fund balance for amounts that have not been assigned to other funds or restricted, committed, or assigned to a specific purpose within the *general fund*.

## Notes to the Financial Statements

The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the governmentwide and fund financial statements.

#### **Required Supplementary Information**

In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents certain required supplementary information that further explains and supports the information in the financial statements. The required supplementary information relates to comparison of the original adopted budget, the final amended budget, and the actual amounts for the fiscal year. This is required supplementary information for the general fund and any major special revenue funds; therefore, only the general fund is presented as required supplementary information.

In addition, information related to the District's proportionate share of its net pension liability, net OPEB liability, and contributions to the Teacher Retirement System of Texas is also presented.

## **Other Information**

The other supplementary information is presented immediately following the required supplementary information and includes schedules required by the Texas Education Agency.

#### **Government-wide Financial Analysis**

As noted earlier, net position may serve over time as a useful indicator of a District's financial position. In the case of the District, liabilities and deferred inflows of resources exceeded assets and deferred outflows of resources by \$78,191,387 at the close of the most recent fiscal year.

The District's net position includes amounts invested in capital assets (e.g., land, building and improvements, furniture and equipment, construction in progress), less any outstanding related debt used to acquire those assets. The District uses these capital assets to provide services to students; consequently, these assets are not available for future spending. Although the District's investment in its capital assets is reported net of related debt, it should be noted that the resources needed to repay this debt must be provided from other sources, since the capital assets themselves cannot be used to liquidate these liabilities.

#### COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF NET POSITION

August 31, 2022 and 2021

	 Governmen	tal Act	ivities	 Change
	 2022		2021	 2022-2021
Current and other assets	\$ 136,015,121	\$	170,084,343	\$ (34,069,222)
Capital assets	 267,936,348		246,388,074	 21,548,274
Total assets	 403,951,469		416,472,417	 (12,520,948)
Deferred outflows of resources	 23,545,002		25,567,034	 (2,022,032)
Other liabilities	27,141,360		19,776,630	7,364,730
Long-term liabilities	 435,156,289		466,089,407	 (30,933,118)
Total liabilities	 462,297,649		485,866,037	 (23,568,388)
Deferred inflows of resources	 43,390,209		29,851,834	 13,538,375
Net position:				
Net Investment in Capital Assets	(62,802,165)		(62,453,889)	(348,276)
Restricted	19,701,164		14,905,219	4,795,945
Unrestricted	 (35,090,386)		(26,129,750)	 (8,960,636)
Total net position	\$ (78,191,387)	\$	(73,678,420)	\$ (4,512,967)

Net position is restricted for various purposes as follows:

Federal and state programs	\$ 4,771,805
Debt service	 14,929,359
	\$ 19,701,164

Unrestricted net position, which can be used to finance day-to-day operations without constraints established by debt covenants, enabling legislation, or other legal requirements, amount to (\$35,090,386) at August 31, 2022. Unrestricted net position continues to reflect a deficit balance. Although the District reports a deficit, the deficit is primarily due to reporting the District's proportionate share of the net pension and OPEB liabilities. The District's liability is reported in governmental activities; however, the actual liability does not require the use of current resources at the fund level, which results in a timing difference since the pension and TRS-Care plans are funded on a pay-as-you-go basis. The District has made all contractually required contributions as noted in the required supplementary information and has sufficient fund balance to meet the District's ongoing obligations to students and creditors.

# COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN NET POSITION

August 31, 2022 and 2021

		Government	tal A	ctivities	 Change
		2022		2021	 2022-2021
Revenues					
Program revenues:					
Charge for services	\$	2,120,964	\$	1,758,342	\$ 362,622
Operating grants & contributions		22,565,579		22,993,227	(427,648)
General revenues:					
Property Taxes		74,767,149		65,792,054	8,975,095
State Aid - formula grants		52,534,966		59,345,468	(6,810,502)
Grants and contributions not restricted		3,106,906		3,697,882	(590,976)
Investment earnings		734,582		247,073	487,509
Other		1,348,034		732,105	 615,929
Total revenues	_	157,178,180		154,566,151	 2,612,029
Expenses:					
Instruction and related services		89,082,588		91,387,075	(2,304,487)
Instructional and school leadership		12,118,843		11,821,102	297,741
Support services - student		23,417,129		21,770,239	1,646,890
Administrative support services		3,445,040		4,221,817	(776,777)
Support services - non-student based		19,146,758		20,102,381	(955,623)
Ancillary services		682,617		588,163	94,454
Debt service		12,723,509		13,056,186	(332,677)
Intergovernmental charges		1,074,663		901,089	 173,574
Total expenses	_	161,691,147		163,848,052	 (2,156,905)
Increase (decrease) in net position		(4,512,967)		(9,281,901)	4,768,934
Net position, beginning		(73,678,420)		(64,396,519)	(9,281,901)
Prior Period Adjustment					 
Net position, ending	\$	(78,191,387)	\$	(73,678,420)	\$ (4,512,967)

#### **Governmental Activities**

The decrease in Net Position of \$4,512,967 results primarily from current year operations and the recognition of pension and OPEB liabilities and components related to GASBs 68 and 75. The primary objective of these Statements was to improve accounting and financial reporting by state and local governments.

Governments providing defined benefit pension plans and other post-employment benefits were required to recognize their long-term obligation for pension and OPEB benefits as a liability on their accrual-based, government-wide statement of net position. This provides citizens and other users of these financial reports with a clearer picture of the size and nature of the financial obligations to current and former employees. The Statement also enhances accountability and transparency through revised and new note disclosures and required supplementary information (RSI).

The implementation of these standards clearly depicts the government's financial position. While this information will, in some cases, give the appearance that a government is financially weaker than it was previously, the financial reality of the government's situation will not have changed. Reporting the net pension liability and net OPEB liability on the face of the financial statements will more clearly portray the government's financial status because the pension and OPEB liabilities will be placed on an equal footing with other long-term obligations.

At August 31, 2022, the District reported a net pension liability of \$17,620,876 for its proportionate share of TRS' net pension liability and a net OPEB liability of \$35,203,857 for its proportionate share of the District's Other Post-Employment benefits other than pensions. At August 31, 2021, the District's net pension liability and OPEB liability was \$36,627,717 and \$34,143,800, respectively.

Revenues are generated primarily from the following three sources: property taxes, state-aid formula grants, and operating grants and contributions. When combined (\$149,867,694), these represented 95% of total revenues. The remaining 5% is generated from charges for services, investment earnings, and miscellaneous revenues.

The primary functional expense of the District is instruction and related services, which represents 55% of total expenses. Support services – student (such as guidance, counseling and evaluation services, student transportation, food services, and extracurricular activities, etc.) represents 14% of total expenses, support services – non-student based (such as facilities maintenance and operations, etc.) represents 12% of total expenses, and the remaining individual functional categories of expenses are each less than 10% of total expenses.

#### Financial Analysis of the Government's Funds

As noted earlier, the District uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements.

#### **Governmental Funds**

The focus of the District's governmental funds is to provide information on near-term inflows, outflows, and balances of spendable resources. Such information is useful in assessing the District's financing requirements. In particular, unassigned fund balance may serve as a useful measure of a District's net resources available for spending at the end of the fiscal year.

As of the end of the current fiscal year, the District's governmental funds reported combined ending fund balances of \$113,641,387, a decrease of \$41,083,019 in comparison with prior year.

The general fund is the chief operating fund of the District. At the end of the current fiscal year, unassigned fund balance of the general fund was \$2,627,687, while total fund balance reached \$38,119,881. As a measure of the general fund's liquidity, it may be useful to compare unassigned, assigned, committed fund balance and total fund balance to total fund expenditures. Unassigned fund balance represents 2% of total general fund expenditures, while assigned fund balance represents 21%, committed fund balance represents 7%, and total fund balance represents 31% of that same amount. The fund balance of the District's general fund decreased by \$10,952,132 during the current fiscal year. Decrease in fund balance resulted from expenditures exceeding operating revenues.

The debt service fund has total fund balance of \$13,742,840, all of which is restricted for the payment of debt service. Overall, the District's debt service fund balance increased by \$1,336,093, despite the defeasance of its 2013 series bonds totaling \$1.9 million.

The capital projects fund has a total fund balance of \$55,902,561, all of which is restricted for authorized construction and technology projects/enhancements. The decrease in fund balance during the current year of \$34,190,496 was due to the continuation of various construction related projects and purchase of equipment.

## General Fund Budgetary Highlights

The District adopted a deficit budget for fiscal year 2022. Differences between the original budget and the final amended budget of the general fund can be briefly summarized as follows:

	 BUL	GET				
Total revenues	 Original	Final				
	\$ 114,509,120	\$	114,509,120			
Total expenditures	(124,951,317)		(128,459,705)			
Other sources and (uses)	 5,000		5,000			
Net change in fund balance	\$ (10,437,197)	\$	(13,945,585)			

There were no significant budget amendments affecting total budgeted revenues. The increase in final budgeted expenditures resulted primarily from budget amendments to increase facilities acquisition and operations (\$2.1 million).

For fiscal year 2022, local and intermediate revenue sources fell below estimates by approximately \$450 thousand. State program revenues were less than District's estimates by approximately \$1 million. Federal revenues accounted for in the General Fund were less than the District's estimates by approximately \$278 thousand. Expenditures were less than budgetary estimates by approximately \$4.6 million as instruction and related services, facilities maintenances and operations, and other expenses were less than budgeted amounts. Overall, the general fund fund balance decreased by \$11 million, compared to its projected deficit of \$14 million.

#### **Capital Assets**

The District's investment in capital assets for its governmental type activities, as of August 31, 2022, amounts to \$267,936,348 (net of accumulated depreciation). This investment in capital assets includes land, construction in process, buildings and improvements, furniture and equipment, and library books and media. The total amount invested in capital assets for the current fiscal year was:

Capital Assets	 2022
Land	\$ 16,816,988
Construction in progress	37,418,087
Buildings and improvements	395,932,715
Furniture and equipment	24,558,374
Library books and media	 32,389
Total	474,758,553
Accumulated depreciation	 (206,822,205)
Net capital assets	\$ 267,936,348

Additional information of the District's capital assets can be found in the notes to the financial statements.

#### Long-term Liabilities

At the end of the current fiscal year, the District had \$362,190,000 in bonded debt outstanding, a decrease of \$10,865,000 over the prior year. The District's bonds are sold with an "AAA" rating and are guaranteed through the Texas Permanent School Fund Guarantee Program or by a municipal bond insurance policy. The underlying rating of the bonds from Standard and Poor's is "A" and from Moody's Investors Service is "Aa3" for general obligation debt.

Changes in all long-term debt, for the year ended August 31, 2022, are as follows:

Outstanding				Outstanding
9/1/2021	Additions		Reductions	8/31/2022
\$ 373,055,000	\$	_	\$ (10,865,000)	\$ 362,190,000

State statutes limit the amount of general obligation debt a governmental entity may issue to 10% of its total assessed valuation. For the fiscal year ended August 31, 2022, the current debt limitation for the District is \$569,334,566. The District's outstanding debt of \$362,190,000 less the reserve for the retirement of the debt of \$13,742,840 totals \$348,447,160 leaving a legal debt margin of \$220,887,406. Additional information on the District's long-term liabilities can be found in the notes to the financial statements.

#### **Economic Factors and Next Year's Budgets and Rates**

- Appraised value used for the 2022-2023 budget preparation was increased by \$713 million, or 12.4% from the previous year. This increase was due to a property re-evaluation and an increase in construction of new homes.
- The Tax Rate for 2022-2023 is \$1.294 (\$.854 for M&O; \$.44 for I&S), the total tax rate was decreased by \$.018 due to a reduction in M&O.

- The 2022-2023 general fund operating budget projects spending \$11,185 per student.
- The District's 2022-2023 refined average daily attendance is expected to be 11,200.

Dickinson ISD is classified as a 6A district and has grown consistently for the past several years. The District received an 'above standard' rating under the new Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas.

The Board of Trustees sold \$94.2 million in bonds in 2021. The bond proceeds will be used to build a Junior High, transportation, technology and agricultural expansion and parking expansion at Hughes Rd. Elementary.

The tax rate did not increase due to the bond election.

The District is growing and several residential projects are being developed. Lago Mar, a subdivision with 5,000 to 7,500 residential units is under construction.

The Bay Colony subdivision continues to grow. Other subdivisions, on the west side of the District, have started building and include plans for approximately 550 new residential homes within the next five to seven years.

Restaurants, banks, department stores and businesses within the District continue to be constructed as the business community grows.

The Tuscan Lakes subdivision construction projects of new homes and additional apartments are adding to the growth of the District. More commercial building is in the planning stages for this area.

The District anticipates calling for a \$120 million bond election in May, 2023.

#### **Request for Information**

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers, customers, and investors and creditors with a general overview of the District's finances and to demonstrate the District's accountability for the money it receives. If you have any questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact the District's Business Office at Dickinson Independent School District, 2218 FM 517, Dickinson, Texas 77539.

# DICKINSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT STATEMENT OF NET POSITION AUGUST 31, 2022

Data Control Codes ASSETS 110 Cash and Cash Equivalents 120 Current Investments 220 Property Taxes - Delinquent 230 Allowance for Uncollectible Taxes 240 Due from Other Governments	Primary Government Governmental Activities \$ 96,613,835 29,359,688 5,613,777
Codes         ASSETS         110       Cash and Cash Equivalents         120       Current Investments         220       Property Taxes - Delinquent         230       Allowance for Uncollectible Taxes	Activities \$ 96,613,835 29,359,688
ASSETS         110       Cash and Cash Equivalents         120       Current Investments         220       Property Taxes - Delinquent         230       Allowance for Uncollectible Taxes	\$ 96,613,835 29,359,688
<ul> <li>110 Cash and Cash Equivalents</li> <li>120 Current Investments</li> <li>220 Property Taxes - Delinquent</li> <li>230 Allowance for Uncollectible Taxes</li> </ul>	29,359,688
<ul> <li>120 Current Investments</li> <li>220 Property Taxes - Delinquent</li> <li>230 Allowance for Uncollectible Taxes</li> </ul>	29,359,688
<ul><li>220 Property Taxes - Delinquent</li><li>230 Allowance for Uncollectible Taxes</li></ul>	
230 Allowance for Uncollectible Taxes	5,613,777
240 Due from Other Governments	(2,541,125)
	5,613,918
250 Accrued Interest	70,640
267 Due from Fiduciary Funds	694
290 Other Receivables, Net	237,455
300 Inventories	950,826
410 Prepayments	95,413
Capital Assets:	
510 Land	16,816,988
520 Buildings, Net	206,672,124
530 Furniture and Equipment, Net	5,229,770
560 Library Books and Media, Net	3,276
580 Construction in Progress	39,214,190
000 Total Assets	403,951,469
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	105,551,105
701 Deferred Charge for Refunding	2,415,314
705 Deferred Outflow Related to TRS Pension	11,333,911
705 Deferred Outflow Related to TRS OPEB	9,795,777
700 Total Deferred Outflows of Resources	23,545,002
LIABILITIES	25,545,002
110 Accounts Payable	7,713,670
140 Accrued Interest	630,447
	6,344,665
	1,499
200 Accrued Expenses	2,643,653
300 Unearned Revenue	347,426
Noncurrent Liabilities:	
501 Due Within One Year: Loans, Note, Leases, etc.	9,460,000
Due in More than One Year:	
502 Bonds, Notes, Loans, Leases, etc.	382,331,556
540 Net Pension Liability (District's Share)	17,620,876
545 Net OPEB Liability (District's Share)	35,203,857
000 Total Liabilities	462,297,649
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	
605 Deferred Inflow Related to TRS Pension	18,904,116
606 Deferred Inflow Related to TRS OPEB	24,486,093
600 Total Deferred Inflows of Resources	43,390,209
ΝΕΤ ΡΟ SΙΠΟ Ν	
200 Net Investment in Capital Assets and Right-to-Use Lease Assets Restricted:	(62,802,165)
820 Restricted for Federal and State Programs	4,771,805
850 Restricted for Debt Service	14,929,359
900 Unrestricted	(35,090,386)
000 Total Net Position	\$ (78,191,387)

# DICKINSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2022

	FOR	THE YEA	R El	NDED AUGU	ST 🤅	31, 2022				Net (Expense) Revenue and
Ð						Program I	Rev	enues		Changes in Net Position
Dat	a ntrol			1		3		4	-	6
Co								Operating		Primary Gov.
CO				Expenses		Charges for Services	(	Grants and Contributions		Governmental Activities
Pri	mary Government:									
	GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES:									
11	Instruction		\$	87,279,137	\$	659,754	\$	9,413,986	\$	(77,205,397)
12	Instructional Resources and Media Services			1,803,451		28,211		320,698		(1,454,542)
13	Curriculum and Instructional Staff Developme	ent		2,052,871		14,106		810,461		(1,228,304)
21	Instructional Leadership			1,984,570		44,701		146,212		(1,793,657)
23	School Leadership			8,081,402		216,887		146,216		(7,718,299)
31	Guidance, Counseling, and Evaluation Services	8		4,630,429		-		797,324		(3,833,105)
32	Social Work Services			224,849		-		979		(223,870)
33	Health Services			1,420,201		-		72,047		(1,348,154)
34	Student (Pupil) Transportation			7,003,145		-		57,521		(6,945,624)
35	Food Services			7,147,014		442,973		8,593,861		1,889,820
36	Extracurricular Activities			2,991,491		630,300		14,686		(2,346,505)
41	General Administration			3,445,040		-		(6,570)		(3,451,610)
51	Facilities Maintenance and Operations			15,837,655		76,979		514,548		(15,246,128)
52	Security and Monitoring Services			1,340,572		7,053		100,665		(1,232,854)
53	Data Processing Services			1,968,531		-		289,740		(1,678,791)
61 72	Community Services			682,617		-		46,043		(636,574)
	Debt Service - Interest on Long-Term Debt			12,723,509		-		1,021,877		(11,701,632)
93 95	Payments Related to Shared Services Arranger			368,493		-		225,285		(143,208)
93 99	Payments to Juvenile Justice Alternative Ed. 1	Prg.		146,590		-		-		(146,590)
	Other Intergovernmental Charges TP] TOTAL PRIMARY GOVERNMENT:		\$	559,580 161,691,147	\$	2,120,964	\$	22,565,579		(559,580) (137,004,604)
L	-		Ψ	101,091,117	Ψ	2,120,901	Ψ	22,303,319		(137,001,001)
	Data Control Codes	General Rev Taxes:	venu	les:						
	MT		•			General Purpose	es			49,703,550
	DT	-	-	Taxes, Levied		Debt Service				25,063,599
	SF	State A	id - 1	Formula Grants	S					52,534,966
	GC			Contributions 1	not	Restricted				3,106,906
	IE			Earnings						734,582
	MI	Miscella	aneo	ous Local and Ir	nteri	nediate Revenue	e			1,348,034
	TR	Total Ge	nera	l Revenues						132,491,637
	CN			Change in N	let P	osition				(4,512,967)
	NB	Net Positio	n - I	Beginning						(73,678,420)
	NE	Net Positio	n - I	Ending					\$	(78,191,387)

# DICKINSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT BALANCE SHEET GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS AUGUST 31, 2022

Control		10 General	50 Debt Service	60 Capital
Codes		Fund	Fund	Projects Fund
ASSETS				
110 Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$	13,790,270	\$ 10,183,368	\$ 63,382,228
1120 Investments - Current	ψ	25,828,533	3,531,155	¢ 05,502,220 -
220 Property Taxes - Delinquent		3,953,965	1,659,812	-
230 Allowance for Uncollectible Taxes		(1,789,796)	(751,329)	-
240 Due from Other Governments		3,401,157	16,351	-
250 Accrued Interest		58,674	11,966	-
260 Due from Other Funds		1,507,538	-	-
290 Other Receivables		171,344	-	-
300 Inventories		605,673	-	-
410 Prepayments		95,413	-	-
000 Total Assets	\$	47,622,771	\$ 14,651,323	\$ 63,382,228
LIABILITIES				
2110 Accounts Payable	\$	1,146,718	\$ -	\$ 5,838,980
2160 Accrued Wages Payable		6,177,425	-	-
2170 Due to Other Funds		-	-	-
Due to Other Governments		45	-	-
2200 Accrued Expenditures		14,533	-	1,640,687
2300 Unearned Revenue		-	<u> </u>	-
1000 Total Liabilities		7,338,721	<u> </u>	7,479,667
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES				
2601 Unavailable Revenue - Property Taxes		2,164,169	908,483	-
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources		2,164,169	908,483	-
FUND BALANCES				
Nonspendable Fund Balance:		(0 <b>5</b> ( <b>7</b> 0		
3410 Inventories		605,673	-	-
3430 Prepaid Items Restricted Fund Balance:		95,413	-	-
Federal or State Funds Grant Restriction				
Capital Acquisition and Contractural Obligation		-	-	55,902,561
Retirement of Long-Term Debt		-	13,742,840	
Committed Fund Balance:			15,742,040	
Construction		6,000,000	-	-
Capital Expenditures for Equipment		500,000	-	-
3545 Other Committed Fund Balance		1,800,000	-	-
Assigned Fund Balance:				
3550 Construction		20,000,000	-	-
Capital Expenditures for Equipment		340,000	-	-
S580 Self-Insurance		300,000	-	-
Other Assigned Fund Balance		5,851,108	-	-
3600 Unassigned Fund Balance		2,627,687	-	-
000 Total Fund Balances		38,119,881	13,742,840	55,902,561

	Namatan		T - 4 - 1
	Nonmajor Governmental		Total
	Funds		Governmental Funds
	Funds		Funds
\$	5,984,461	\$	93,340,327
	-		29,359,688
	-		5,613,777
	-		(2,541,125)
	2,196,410		5,613,918
	2,190,110		70,640
	-		· · · ·
	-		1,507,538
	66,111		237,455
	345,153		950,826
	-		95,413
\$	8,592,135	\$	134,248,457
-		-	
\$	693,066	\$	7,678,764
Ψ	167,240	Ψ	6,344,665
	1,506,844		1,506,844
	1,454		1,499
	-		1,655,220
	347,426		347,426
	2,716,030		17,534,418
			3,072,652
	-		3,072,652
	124 402		720 1 (5
	124,492		730,165
	-		95,413
	4,771,805		4,771,805
	.,,,1,000		55,902,561
	-		
	-		13,742,840
	-		6,000,000
	-		500,000
	979,808		2,779,808
			20.000.000
	-		20,000,000
	-		340,000
	-		300,000
	-		5,851,108
	-		2,627,687
	5,876,105	_	113,641,387
_	5,670,105		115,071,507
\$	8,592,135	\$	134,248,457
_		-	

This page left blank intentionally.

# DICKINSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT RECONCILIATION OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS BALANCE SHEET TO THE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION

## AUGUST 31, 2022

<ul> <li>1 Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and therefore are not reported in governmental funds. The cost of these assets is \$474,758,553 and the accumulated depreciation is \$206,522,205. The effect of including the capital assets (net of depreciation) in the governmental activities is to increase net position. (See Note 6.)</li> <li>2 Long-term liabilities, including bonds payable and compensated absences, are not due and payable in the current period, and, therefore, are not reported as liabilities in the governmental funds. The effect of these long-term liabilities is a decrease to net position. (See Note 7.)</li> <li>3 Recognizing uncarned revenue (property taxes) as revenue in the government-wide statements to convert from modified accrual basis of accounting to the accrual basis of accounting. The net effect is an increase to net position.</li> <li>4 Included in the items related to debt is the recognition of the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability required by GASB 68 in the amount of \$11,532,904,116 and a deferred resource outflow related to TRS in the amount of \$11,333,911. The net effect of these pension related items is a decrease to net position. (See Note 10.)</li> <li>5 Included in the items related to debt is the recognition of the District's proportionate share of these OPEB liability required by GASB 75 in the amount of \$35,203,857, a deferred inflow related to TRS OPEB in the amount of \$39,797,77. The net effect of these OPEB related items is a decrease to net position.</li> <li>6 The District uses internal service funds to charge the costs of self-insurance activities to appropriate functions in other funds. The assets and liabilities of the internal service funds are included in governmental activities in the statement of net position. The net effect of this consolidation is to increase net position.</li> <li>7 Various other reclassifications and eliminations are necessary to convert from the modified accrual basis of accounti</li></ul>		
therefore are not reported in governmental funds. The cost of these assets is         \$\$474,758,553 and the accumulated depreciation is \$206,522,205. The effect of including the capital assets (net of depreciation) in the governmental activities is to increase net position. (See Note 6.)         2 Long-term liabilities, including bonds payable and compensated absences, are not due and payable in the current period, and, therefore, are not reported as liabilities in the governmental funds. The effect of these long-term liabilities is a decrease to net position. (See Note 7.)       (391,791,556)         3 Recognizing uncarned revenue (property taxes) as revenue in the government-wide statements to convert from modified accrual basis of accounting. The net effect is an increase to net position.       (3072,652)         4 Included in the items related to debt is the recognition of the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability required by GASB 68 in the amount of \$11,520,857, a deferred resource outflow related to TRS in the amount of \$11,333,911. The net effect of these pension related items is a decrease to net position. (See Note 10.)       (49,894,173)         5 Included in the items related to debt is the recognition of the District's proportionate share of these OPEB liability required by GASB 75 in the amount of \$35,203,857, a deferred inflow related to TRS OPEB in the amount of \$32,428,093, and a deferred frosource outflow related to TRS OPEB in the amount of \$25,777. The net effect of these consolitation is to increase net position.       (49,894,173)         6 The District uses internal service funds to charge the costs of self-insurance activities to appropriate functions in other funds. The assets and liabilities of the internal service funds and eliminations are nece	Total Fund Balances - Governmental Funds	\$ 113,641,387
due and payable in the current period, and, therefore, are not reported as liabilities in the governmental funds. The effect of these long-term liabilities is a decrease to net position. (See Note 7.)       3.072,652         3. Recognizing unearned revenue (property taxes) as revenue in the government-wide statements to convert from modified accrual basis of accounting to the accrual basis of accounting. The net effect is an increase to net position.       3.072,652         4. Included in the items related to debt is the recognition of the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability required by GASB 68 in the amount of \$17,620876, a deferred resource outflow related to TRS in the amount of \$18,904,116 and a deferred resource outflow related to TRS in the amount of \$11,333,911. The net effect of these pension related items is a decrease to net position. (See Note 10.)       (49,894,173)         5. Included in the items related to debt is the recognition of the District's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability required by GASB 75 in the amount of \$35,203,857, a deferred inflow related to TRS OPEB in the amount of \$24,486,093, and a deferred resource outflow related to TRS OPEB in the amount of \$9,795,777. The net effect of these OPEB related items is a decrease to net position.       2,250,169         6 The District uses internal service funds to charge the costs of self-insurance activities to appropriate functions in other funds. The assets and liabilities of the internal service funds and eliminations are necessary to convert from the modified accrual basis of accounting to accrual basis of accounting. These include eliminating interfund transactions, accrual basis of accounting. These include eliminating interfund transactions, accrual basis of accounting. These include eliminacial resources and therefore, are not repo	therefore are not reported in governmental funds. The cost of these assets is \$474,758,553 and the accumulated depreciation is \$206,522,205. The effect of including the capital assets (net of depreciation) in the governmental activities is to	267,936,348
<ul> <li>statements to convert from modified accrual basis of accounting to the accrual basis of accounting. The net effect is an increase to net position.</li> <li>4 Included in the items related to debt is the recognition of the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability required by GASB 68 in the amount of \$17,620876, a deferred resource inflow related to TRS in the amount of \$18,904,116 and a deferred resource outflow related to TRS in the amount of \$11,333,911. The net effect of these pension related items is a decrease to net position. (See Note 10.)</li> <li>5 Included in the items related to debt is the recognition of the District's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability required by GASB 75 in the amount of \$35,203,857, a deferred inflow related to TRS OPEB in the amount of \$24,486,093, and a deferred resource outflow related to TRS OPEB in the amount of \$9,795,777. The net effect of these OPEB related items is a decrease to net position. (See Note 9.)</li> <li>6 The District uses internal service funds to charge the costs of self-insurance activities to appropriate functions in other funds. The assets and liabilities of the internal service funds are included in governmental activities in the statement of net position. The net effect of this consolidation is to increase net position.</li> <li>7 Various other reclassifications and eliminations are necessary to convert from the modified accrual basis of accounting to accrual interest, and recognizing the bond components associated with outstanding debt. Deferred charges on refundings are not financial resources and therefore, are not reported in governmental funds. Deferred charges are amortized over the life of the debt. The net effect is an increase to net position.</li> </ul>	due and payable in the current period, and, therefore, are not reported as liabilities in the governmental funds. The effect of these long-term liabilities is a decrease to	(391,791,556)
<ul> <li>share of the net pension liability required by GASB 68 in the amount of \$17,620876, a deferred resource inflow related to TRS in the amount of \$18,904,116 and a deferred resource outflow related to TRS in the amount of \$11,333,911. The net effect of these pension related items is a decrease to net position. (See Note 10.)</li> <li>5 Included in the items related to debt is the recognition of the District's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability required by GASB 75 in the amount of \$35,203,857, a deferred inflow related to TRS OPEB in the amount of \$24,486,093, and a deferred resource outflow related to TRS OPEB in the amount of \$9,795,777. The net effect of these OPEB related items is a decrease to net position. (See Note 9.)</li> <li>6 The District uses internal service funds to charge the costs of self-insurance activities to appropriate functions in other funds. The assets and liabilities of the internal service funds are included in governmental activities in the statement of net position. The net effect of this consolidation is to increase net position.</li> <li>7 Various other reclassifications and eliminations are necessary to convert from the modified accrual basis of accounting to accrual basis of accounting. These include eliminating interfund transactions, accrued interest, and recognizing the bond components associated with outstanding debt. Deferred charges on refundings are not financial resources and therefore, are not reported in governmental funds. Deferred charges are amortized over the life of the debt. The net effect is an increase to net position.</li> </ul>	statements to convert from modified accrual basis of accounting to the accrual basis	3,072,652
<ul> <li>share of the net OPEB liability required by GASB 75 in the amount of \$35,203,857, a deferred inflow related to TRS OPEB in the amount of \$24,486,093, and a deferred resource outflow related to TRS OPEB in the amount of \$9,795,777. The net effect of these OPEB related items is a decrease to net position. (See Note 9.)</li> <li>6 The District uses internal service funds to charge the costs of self-insurance activities to appropriate functions in other funds. The assets and liabilities of the internal service funds are included in governmental activities in the statement of net position. The net effect of this consolidation is to increase net position.</li> <li>7 Various other reclassifications and eliminations are necessary to convert from the modified accrual basis of accounting to accrual basis of accounting. These include eliminating interfund transactions, accrued interest, and recognizing the bond components associated with outstanding debt. Deferred charges on refundings are not financial resources and therefore, are not reported in governmental funds. Deferred charges are amortized over the life of the debt. The net effect is an increase to net position.</li> </ul>	share of the net pension liability required by GASB 68 in the amount of \$17,620876, a deferred resource inflow related to TRS in the amount of \$18,904,116 and a deferred resource outflow related to TRS in the amount of \$11,333,911. The net effect of these pension related items is a decrease to net	(25,191,081)
<ul> <li>activities to appropriate functions in other funds. The assets and liabilities of the internal service funds are included in governmental activities in the statement of net position. The net effect of this consolidation is to increase net position.</li> <li>7 Various other reclassifications and eliminations are necessary to convert from the modified accrual basis of accounting to accrual basis of accounting. These include eliminating interfund transactions, accrued interest, and recognizing the bond components associated with outstanding debt. Deferred charges on refundings are not financial resources and therefore, are not reported in governmental funds. Deferred charges are amortized over the life of the debt. The net effect is an increase to net position.</li> </ul>	share of the net OPEB liability required by GASB 75 in the amount of \$35,203,857, a deferred inflow related to TRS OPEB in the amount of \$24,486,093, and a deferred resource outflow related to TRS OPEB in the amount of \$9,795,777. The	(49,894,173)
modified accrual basis of accounting to accrual basis of accounting. These include eliminating interfund transactions, accrued interest, and recognizing the bond components associated with outstanding debt. Deferred charges on refundings are not financial resources and therefore, are not reported in governmental funds. Deferred charges are amortized over the life of the debt. The net effect is an increase to net position.	activities to appropriate functions in other funds. The assets and liabilities of the internal service funds are included in governmental activities in the statement of net	2,250,169
19 Net Position of Governmental Activities       \$ (78,191,387)	modified accrual basis of accounting to accrual basis of accounting. These include eliminating interfund transactions, accrued interest, and recognizing the bond components associated with outstanding debt. Deferred charges on refundings are not financial resources and therefore, are not reported in governmental funds. Deferred charges are amortized over the life of the debt. The net effect is an	1,784,867
	19 Net Position of Governmental Activities	\$ (78,191,387)

# DICKINSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2022

Data Control Codes	10 General Fund	50 Debt Service Fund	60 Capital Projects Fund
REVENUES:			
5700 Total Local and Intermediate Sources 5800 State Program Revenues 5900 Federal Program Revenues	\$ 51,459,174 \$ 58,903,630 2,406,561	25,117,962 1,021,877 -	\$ 385,654
5020 Total Revenues	112,769,365	26,139,839	385,654
EXPENDITURES:	 		
Current:			
<ul> <li>0011 Instruction</li> <li>0012 Instructional Resources and Media Services</li> <li>0013 Curriculum and Instructional Staff Development</li> <li>0021 Instructional Leadership</li> </ul>	75,496,116 1,325,033 1,074,638 1,679,680		- - -
0021 Instructional Leadership 0023 School Leadership	7,233,549	-	-
0031Guidance, Counseling, and Evaluation Services0032Social Work Services	3,508,019 199,301	-	-
0033Health Services0034Student (Pupil) Transportation0035Food Services	1,253,042 6,448,473 3,345	-	-
0036 Extracurricular Activities	2,323,090	-	-
0041General Administration0051Facilities Maintenance and Operations	3,196,332 14,273,739	-	- 7,971
0052       Security and Monitoring Services         0053       Data Processing Services         0061       Community Services	1,159,781 1,811,767 569,558	- -	-
Debt Service:	,		
0071Principal on Long-Term Liabilities0072Interest on Long-Term Liabilities0073Bond Issuance Cost and Fees Capital Outlay:	- -	10,865,000 13,916,468 22,278	-
0081 Facilities Acquisition and Construction Intergovernmental:	1,441,197	-	34,568,179
<ul> <li>Payments to Fiscal Agent/Member Districts of SSA</li> <li>Payments to Juvenile Justice Alternative Ed. Prg.</li> <li>Other Intergovernmental Charges</li> </ul>	143,208 146,590 559,580	-	-
6030 Total Expenditures	123,846,038	24,803,746	34,576,150
1100 Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):	 (11,076,673)	1,336,093	(34,190,496)
<ul><li>7912 Sale of Real and Personal Property</li><li>7915 Transfers In</li></ul>	52,988 71,553	-	-
8911 Transfers Out (Use)	 -	-	
7080Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	 124,541	-	-
<ul><li>1200 Net Change in Fund Balances</li><li>0100 Fund Balance - September 1 (Beginning)</li></ul>	 (10,952,132) 49,072,013	1,336,093 12,406,747	(34,190,496) 90,093,057
3000 Fund Balance - August 31 (Ending)	\$ 38,119,881	13,742,840	\$ 55,902,561

Nonmajor	Total
Governmental	Governmental
Funds	Funds
\$ 2,289,321	
776,765	60,702,272
22,041,844	24,448,405
25,107,930	164,402,788
10,937,161	86,433,277
362,923	1,687,956
838,899	1,913,537
228,955	1,908,635
474,327	7,707,876
849,699 4,058	4,357,718
4,038 88,294	203,359 1,341,336
120,533	6,569,006
6,659,502	6,662,847
439,357	2,762,447
33,186	3,229,518
585,821	14,867,531
102,761	1,262,542
314,283	2,126,050
47,817	617,375
-	10,865,000
-	13,916,468
-	22,278
-	36,009,376
225,285	368,493
-	146,590
-	559,580
22,312,861	205,538,795
2,795,069	(41,136,007)
	52 088
-	52,988 71,553
(71,553)	(71,553)
(71,553)	52,988
2,723,516	(41,083,019)
3,152,589	154,724,406
\$ 5,876,105	\$ 113,641,387

## DICKINSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT RECONCILIATION OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2022

The District uses an internal service fund to charge the costs of certain activities, such as self-insurance, to appropriate functions in other funds. The net income (loss) of internal service funds is reported with governmental activities. The net effect of this consolidation is to increase net position.102,334Current year capital outlays are expenditures in the fund financial statements, but they should be shown as increases in capital assets in the government-wide financial statements. The net effect of removing curren year capital outlays is an increase to net position. (See Note 6.)36,786,071Depreciation is not recognized as an expense in governmental funds since it does not require the use of current financial resources. The net effect of the current year's depreciation is to decrease net position. (See Note 6.)12,481,334The governmental funds report bond proceeds as an other financing source, while report the effect of premiums when debt is first issued, whereas this amount is deferred and amorized in the statement of activities. The net effect is to increase net position. (See Note 7.)12,481,334Changes in the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources, and deferred inflows of resources related to the Teasher Retirement System of Texas for the current year are not reported in governmental funds but are reported in the Statement of Activities. The net effect of all these changes is a increase to net position.1,372,240Various other reclassifications and eliminations are necessary to convert from the modified acrual basis of accounting to accrual basis of accounting. These include recognizing the net effect of retirement of capital assets, and recognizing the liabilities associated with maturing long-term eduction.(366,254) <t< th=""><th>Total Net Change in Fund Balances - Governmental Funds</th><th>\$ (41,083,019)</th></t<>	Total Net Change in Fund Balances - Governmental Funds	\$ (41,083,019)
should be shown as increases in capital assets in the government-wide financial statements. The net effect of removing curren year capital outlays is an increase to net position. (See Note 6.)       (15,180,607)         Depreciation is not recognized as an expense in governmental funds since it does not require the use of current financial resources. The net effect of the current year's depreciation is to decrease net position. (See Note 6.)       (15,180,607)         The governmental funds report bond proceeds as an other financing source, while repayment of bond principal is reported as an expenditure. Also, governmental funds report the effect of premiums when debt is first issued, whereas this amount is deferred and amortized in the statement of activities. The net effect is to increase net position. (See Note 7.)       12,481,334         Changes in the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources, and deferred inflows of resources related to the Teacher Retirement System of Texas for the current year are not reported in governmental funds but are reported in the Statement of Activities. The net effect of all these changes is a increase to net position.       1,374,934         Changes in the District's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability, deferred outflows of resources, and deferred inflows of resources related to the Texas Public Retired Employees Group Insurance Program (TRS-Carc) for the current year are not reported in the governmental funds but are reported in the Statement of Activities. The net effect of all these changes is an increase to net position.       1,372,240         Various other reclassifications and eliminations are necessary to convert from the modified accrual basis of accounting to accrual basis of accounting. These include re	self-insurance, to appropriate functions in other funds. The net income (loss) of internal service funds is reported with governmental activities. The net effect of this	102,334
require the use of current financial resources. The net effect of the current year's depreciation is to decrease net position. (See Note 6.)  The governmental funds report bond proceeds as an other financing source, while repayment of bond principal is reported as an expenditure. Also, governmental funds report the effect of premiums when debt is first issued, whereas this amount is deferred and amortized in the statement of activities. The net effect is to increase net position. (See Note 7.)  Changes in the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources, and deferred inflows of resources related to the Teacher Retirement System of Texas for the current year are not reported in governmental funds but are reported in the Statement of Activities. The net effect of all these changes is a increase to net position.  Changes in the District's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability, deferred outflows of resources, and deferred inflows of resources related to the Texas Public Retired Employees Group Insurance Program (TRS-Care) for the current year are not reported in the governmental funds but are reported in the Statement of Activities. The net effect of all these changes is an increase to net position.  Various other reclassifications and eliminations are necessary to convert from the modified accrual basis of accounting to accrual basis of accounting. These include recognizing the net effect of retirement of capital assets, and recognizing the liabilities associated with maturing long-term debt and interest. The net effect of these reclassifications and recognitions is to decrease net position.	should be shown as increases in capital assets in the government-wide financial statements. The net effect of removing curren year capital outlays is an increase to net	36,786,071
repayment of bond principal is reported as an expenditure. Also, governmental funds report the effect of premiums when debt is first issued, whereas this amount is deferred and amortized in the statement of activities. The net effect is to increase net position. (See Note 7.) Changes in the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources, and deferred inflows of resources related to the Teacher Retirement System of Texas for the current year are not reported in governmental funds but are reported in the Statement of Activities. The net effect of all these changes is a increase to net position. Changes in the District's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability, deferred outflows of resources, and deferred inflows of resources related to the Texas Public Retired Employees Group Insurance Program (TRS-Care) for the current year are not reported in the governmental funds but are reported in the Statement of Activities. The net effect of all these changes is an increase to net position. Various other reclassifications and eliminations are necessary to convert from the modified accrual basis of accounting to accrual basis of accounting. These include recognizing deferred revenue as revenue, adjusting current year revenue to show the revenue carned from the current year's tax levy, eliminating inter-fund transactions, recognizing the net effect of retirement of capital assets, and recognizing the liabilities associated with maturing long-term debt and interest. The net effect of these reclassifications and recognitions is to decrease net position.	require the use of current financial resources. The net effect of the current year's	(15,180,607)
of resources, and deferred inflows of resources related to the Teacher Retirement System of Texas for the current year are not reported in governmental funds but are reported in the Statement of Activities. The net effect of all these changes is a increase to net position. Changes in the District's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability, deferred outflows of resources, and deferred inflows of resources related to the Texas Public Retired Employees Group Insurance Program (TRS-Care) for the current year are not reported in the governmental funds but are reported in the Statement of Activities. The net effect of all these changes is an increase to net position. (366,254) Various other reclassifications and eliminations are necessary to convert from the modified accrual basis of accounting to accrual basis of accounting. These include recognizing deferred revenue as revenue, adjusting current year revenue to show the revenue earned from the current year's tax levy, eliminating inter-fund transactions, recognizing the net effect of retirement of capital assets, and recognizing the liabilities associated with maturing long-term debt and interest. The net effect of these reclassifications and recognitions is to decrease net position.	repayment of bond principal is reported as an expenditure. Also, governmental funds report the effect of premiums when debt is first issued, whereas this amount is deferred and amortized in the statement of activities. The net effect is to increase net position. (See	12,481,334
of resources, and deferred inflows of resources related to the Texas Public Retired Employees Group Insurance Program (TRS-Care) for the current year are not reported in the governmental funds but are reported in the Statement of Activities. The net effect of all these changes is an increase to net position. Various other reclassifications and eliminations are necessary to convert from the modified accrual basis of accounting to accrual basis of accounting. These include recognizing deferred revenue as revenue, adjusting current year revenue to show the revenue earned from the current year's tax levy, eliminating inter-fund transactions, recognizing the net effect of retirement of capital assets, and recognizing the liabilities associated with maturing long-term debt and interest. The net effect of these reclassifications and recognitions is to decrease net position.	of resources, and deferred inflows of resources related to the Teacher Retirement System of Texas for the current year are not reported in governmental funds but are reported in	1,374,934
modified accrual basis of accounting to accrual basis of accounting. These include recognizing deferred revenue as revenue, adjusting current year revenue to show the revenue earned from the current year's tax levy, eliminating inter-fund transactions, recognizing the net effect of retirement of capital assets, and recognizing the liabilities associated with maturing long-term debt and interest. The net effect of these reclassifications and recognitions is to decrease net position.	of resources, and deferred inflows of resources related to the Texas Public Retired Employees Group Insurance Program (TRS-Care) for the current year are not reported in the governmental funds but are reported in the Statement of Activities. The net effect of	1,372,240
Change in Net Position of Governmental Activities \$ (4,512,967)	modified accrual basis of accounting to accrual basis of accounting. These include recognizing deferred revenue as revenue, adjusting current year revenue to show the revenue earned from the current year's tax levy, eliminating inter-fund transactions, recognizing the net effect of retirement of capital assets, and recognizing the liabilities associated with maturing long-term debt and interest. The net effect of these	(366,254)
	Change in Net Position of Governmental Activities	\$ (4,512,967)

# DICKINSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT STATEMENT OF NET POSITION PROPRIETARY FUNDS AUGUST 31, 2022

	Governmental Activities -	
	Total	
	Internal	
	Service Funds	
SSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 3,273,508	
Total Assets	3,273,508	
IABILITIES		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts Payable	34,906	
Accrued Expenses	988,433	
Total Liabilities	1,023,339	
IET POSITION		
Restricted Net Position	2,250,169	
Total Net Position	\$ 2,250,169	

# DICKINSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN FUND NET POSITION PROPRIETARY FUNDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2022

	Governmental Activities - Total Internal Service Funds	
OPERATING REVENUES:	Service I unus	
Local and Intermediate Sources	\$ 755,694	
Total Operating Revenues	755,694	
OPERA TING EXPENSES:		
Professional and Contracted Services Other Operating Costs	366,995 	
Total Operating Expenses	667,654	
Operating Income	88,040	
NONOPERA TING REVENUES (EXPENSES):		
Earnings from Temporary Deposits & Investments	14,294	
Total Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses)	14,294	
Change in Net Position	102,334	
Total Net Position - September 1 (Beginning)	2,147,835	
Total Net Position - August 31 (Ending)	\$ 2,250,169	

# DICKINSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS PROPRIETARY FUNDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2022

	Governmental Activities - Total Internal Service Funds	
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Cash Received from User Charges	\$ 755,6	94
Cash Payments for Insurance Claims	(552,4	04)
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	203,2	90
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:		
Proceeds of Investment Securities	2,000,0	00
Interest and Dividends on Investments	14,6	20
Net Cash Provided by Investing Activities	2,014,6	20
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	2,217,9	10
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year	1,055,5	98
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year	\$ 3,273,5	08
Reconciliation of Operating Income to Net Cash		
Provided by Operating Activities:	¢	40
Operating Income:	\$ 88,0	40
Effect of Increases and Decreases in Current		
Assets and Liabilities:		
Increase (decrease) in Accounts Payable	(12,2	
Increase (decrease) in Accrued Expenses	127,4	70
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	\$ 203,2	90

# DICKINSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET POSITION FIDUCIARY FUNDS AUGUST 31, 2022

	Total Custodial Funds	
ASSETS		
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 410,301	
Total Assets	410,301	
LIABILITIES		
Accounts Payable	26,499	
Accrued Wages Payable	480	
Due to Other Funds	694	
Due to Other Governments	1,560	
Total Liabilities	29,233	
NET POSITION		
Restricted Net Position	381,068	
Total Net Position	\$ 381,068	

\_

# DICKINSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY NET POSITION FIDUCIARY FUNDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2022

	Total Custodial Funds
ADDITIONS:	
Cocurricular Services or Activities	\$ 658,928
Earnings from Temporary Deposits	964
Contributions, Gifts and Donations	303,257
Miscellaneous Additions	54,809
Total Additions	1,017,958
EDUCTIONS:	
Payroll Costs	47,528
Professional and Contracted Services	3,339
Other Deductions	1,513,869
Total Deductions	1,564,736
Change in Fiduciary Net Position	(546,778)
otal Net Position - September 1 (Beginning)	927,846
otal Net Position - August 31 (Ending)	\$ 381,068

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

## 1. DESCRIPTION OF THE SCHOOL DISTRICT AND REPORTING ENTITY

Dickinson Independent School District (the District) is a public educational agency operating under the applicable laws and regulations of the State of Texas. It is governed by a seven member Board of Trustees (the Board) elected by registered voters of the District. The District prepares its basic financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles promulgated by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and other authoritative sources identified in *Statement on Auditing Standards No. 69, as amended by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 's 91 and 93* of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants; and it complies with the requirements of the appropriate version of Texas Education Agency's (TEA) *Financial Accountability System Resource Guide* (the Resource Guide) and the requirements of contracts and grants of agencies from which it receives funds.

**Reporting entity** – The Board of Trustees (the "Board"), a seven member group, has fiscal responsibility over all activities related to public elementary and secondary education within the jurisdiction of the District. The public elects the Board that corporately has the exclusive power and duty to govern and oversee the management of the public schools of the District. All powers and duties not specifically delegated by statute to the Texas Education Agency ("TEA") or to the Texas State Board of Education are reserved for the Board, and TEA may not substitute its judgment for the lawful exercise of those powers and duties by the Board. The District is not included in any other governmental "reporting entity" as defined by GASB Statement No. 61, "*The Financial Reporting Entity*": *Omnibus – an amendment by GASB Statements No. 14 and 34.* There are no component units or entities for which the District is considered financially accountable included within the reporting entity.

# 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### **Basis of Presentation**

The District's basic financial statements consist of government-wide statements and fund financial statements which provide a more detailed level of financial information.

**Government-Wide Financial Statements** – The government-wide financial statements (i.e. the statement of net position and the statement of activities) report information on all of the non-fiduciary activities of the District as a whole. For the most part, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements. The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given program are offset by program revenues. *Direct expenses* are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific program. *Program revenues* include 1) charges to students or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as *general revenues*.

**Fund Financial Statements** – During the fiscal year, the District segregates transactions related to certain District functions or activities in separate funds in order to aid financial management and to demonstrate legal compliance. Fund financial statements are designed to present financial information of the District at this more detailed level. The focus of governmental fund financial statements is on major funds, which are presented in separate columns. Nonmajor governmental funds are aggregated and presented in a single column. Separate financial statements are provided for proprietary funds and fiduciary funds, even though the latter are excluded from the government-wide financial statements.

#### **Fund Accounting**

The District uses funds to maintain its financial records during the fiscal year. A fund is defined as a fiscal accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. There are three categories of funds: governmental, proprietary, and fiduciary.

## **Governmental Funds**

Governmental funds are those through which most governmental functions of the District are financed. Governmental fund reporting focuses on the sources, uses, and balances of current financial resources. Expendable assets are assigned to the various governmental funds according to the purposes for which they may or must be used. Current liabilities are assigned to the fund from which they will be paid. The difference between governmental fund assets and liabilities is reported as fund balance.

The District reports the following major governmental fund types:

- The *general fund* is the District's primary operating fund. This fund is established to account for resources financing the fundamental operations of the District, in partnership with the community, in enabling and motivating students to reach their full potential. All revenues and expenditures not required to be accounted for in another fund are included here.
- The *debt service fund* is used to account for the accumulation of resources for, and the payment of, general longterm debt principal, interest and related costs. This is a budgeted fund and a separate bank account is maintained for this fund. Any unused sinking fund balances are transferred to the General Fund after all of the related debt obligations have been met.
- The *capital projects fund* is used to account for proceeds from sales of bonds and other revenues to be used for authorized construction and equipment purchases.
- The nonmajor governmental funds of the District account for grants and other resources of the District whose uses are restricted to, or designated for, specific purposes by a grantor. Federal and state financial assistance generally is accounted for in a special revenue fund. Generally, unused balances are returned to the grantor at the close of the specified project periods. With respect to the food service and campus activity funds, funds are rolled over from year to year for use in the program.

# **Proprietary Fund**

The District utilizes internal service fund accounts for workers' compensation services provided to other funds and/or employees of the District on a cost reimbursement basis. Proprietary funds distinguish operating revenue and expenses from non-operating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services or producing and delivering goods in connection with a proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenues of the District's internal service fund are charges to the funds for self-funded workers' compensation services. Operating expenses for the internal service fund include the cost of services and administrative expenses. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as non-operating revenues and expenses. The general fund is contingently liable for liabilities of the internal service fund. Sub-fund accounting is employed to maintain the integrity of the self-insurance activities of the District. See Note 13 for additional discussion of the District's self-insurance plan.

#### **Fiduciary Funds**

The District utilizes *custodial funds* to account for the resources raised by student groups and various other campus organizations received by the District in a custodial capacity that do not constitute District property. However, the District's role is considered to be substantive because in the absence of an approved policy, the faculty advisor has the ability to reject, modify, or approve how the resources are being spent.

#### **Measurement Focus**

**Government-Wide Financial Statements** - The government-wide financial statements are prepared using the *economic resources measurement focus* and the *accrual basis of accounting*, as are the proprietary fund and fiduciary fund financial statements. All assets and deferred outflows of resources, and liabilities and deferred inflows of resources associated with the operation of the District are included in the statement of net position.

**Fund Financial Statements** - Governmental fund financial statements are prepared using the *current financial resources measurement focus* and the *modified accrual basis of accounting*. With this measurement focus, only current assets and deferred outflows of resources, and current liabilities and deferred inflows of resources, and fund balances are generally included on the balance sheet. The statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances reflects the sources (i.e., revenues and other financing sources) and uses (i.e., expenditures and other financing uses) of current financial resources. This approach differs from the manner in which the governmental activities of the government-wide financial statements are prepared. Governmental fund financial statements, therefore, include a reconciliation with brief explanations to better identify the relationship between the government-wide statements for governmental funds.

## **Basis of Accounting**

Basis of accounting determines when transactions are recorded in the financial records and reported on the financial statements. Government-wide financial statements are prepared using the accrual basis of accounting, as are the proprietary and fiduciary fund financial statements. Governmental funds use the modified accrual basis of accounting. Differences in the accrual and modified accrual basis of accounting arise in the recognition of revenue, the recording of unearned revenue, and in the presentation of expenses versus expenditures.

## **Revenues – Exchange and Non-exchange Transactions**

Revenues resulting from exchange transactions, in which each party gives and receives essentially equal value, is recorded on the accrual basis when the exchange takes place. On the modified accrual basis, revenue is recorded in the fiscal year in which the resources are measurable and become available. Available means the resources will be collected within the current fiscal year or are expected to be collected soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current fiscal year. For the District, available means expected to be received within sixty days of fiscal year end.

Grant revenues and interest associated with the current fiscal period are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and so have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period. All other revenue items are considered to be measurable and available only when cash is received by the District.

Non-exchange transactions, in which the District receives value without directly giving equal value in return, include property taxes, grants, entitlements, and donations. On the accrual basis, revenue from property taxes is recognized in the fiscal year for which the taxes are levied. Revenue from grants, entitlements, and donations are recognized in the fiscal year in which all eligibility requirements have been satisfied.

Eligibility requirements include timing requirements, which specify the year when the resources are required to be used or the fiscal year when use is first permitted; matching requirements, in which the District must provide local resources to be used for a specified purpose; and expenditure requirements, in which the resources are provided to the District on a reimbursement basis.

On the modified accrual basis, revenue from non-exchange transactions must be available before it can be recognized. Tax revenues are considered available when collected.

# **Unearned Revenues**

Unearned revenues arise when assets are recognized before revenue recognition criteria has been satisfied.

Property taxes for which there is an enforceable legal claim as of January 1, but which were levied to finance fiscal year 2022 operations, are recorded as deferred inflows of resources in the fund financial statements. Grants and entitlements received before the eligibility requirements are met are recorded as unearned revenue in both the government-wide and fund financial statements.

In governmental fund financial statements, receivables that will not be collected within the available period are reported as deferred inflows of resources.

## Expenses/Expenditures

On the accrual basis of accounting, expenses are recognized at the time they are incurred.

The measurement focus of governmental fund accounting is on the decrease in net financial resources (expenditures) rather than expenses. Expenditures are generally recognized in the accounting period in which the related fund liability is incurred, if measurable. Allocations of costs, such as depreciation and amortization, are not recognized in governmental funds.

## **Budgetary Data**

The Board adopts an "appropriated budget" for the General Fund, the Child Nutrition Program (which is included in the Nonmajor Governmental Funds) and the Debt Service Fund. The District compares the final amended budget to actual revenues and expenditures. The Budgetary Comparison Schedules appear in Exhibits G-1, J-2, and J-3.

The following procedures are followed in establishing the budgetary data reflected in the financial statements:

- a) Prior to August 20, the District prepares an operating budget for the next succeeding fiscal year beginning September 1. The budget includes proposed expenditures and the means of financing them.
- b) A meeting of the Board is then called for the purpose of adopting the proposed budget. At least ten day's public notice of the meeting must be given.
- c) Prior to September 1, the budget is legally enacted through passage of a resolution by the Board. Once a budget is approved, it can only be amended at the function and fund level by approval of a majority of the members of the Board. Amendments are presented to the Board at its regular meetings. Each amendment must have Board approval. As required by law, such amendments are made before the fact, are reflected in the official minutes of the Board, and are not made after the fiscal year end.
- d) Each budget is controlled by the budget coordinator at the revenue and expenditure function/object level. Budgeted amounts are as amended by the Board. All budget appropriations lapse at year end.
- e) Encumbrances for goods or purchased services are documented by purchase orders or contracts. Under Texas law, appropriations lapse at August 31, and encumbrances outstanding at that time are to be either canceled or appropriately provided for in the subsequent year's budget.

## **Deposits and Investments**

Under Texas state law, a bank serving as the school depository must have a bond, or in lieu thereof, deposited or pledged securities with the District or an independent third party agent, in an amount equal to the highest daily balance of all deposits the District may have during the term of the depository contract, less any applicable FDIC insurance.

Investments in local government investment pools are valued and recorded at amortized costs as permitted by GASB Statement No. 79, *Certain Investment Pools and Pool Participants*. Investments with maturities of 12 months or less at the date of purchase are held at amortized cost and net asset value (NAV). Investments with maturities exceeding 12 months at the date of purchase are stated at fair value based on quoted market prices at year-end date.

The District categorizes fair value measurements of its investments based on the hierarchy established by GAAP. That framework provides a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value.

- Level 1: Inputs to the valuation methodology are unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.
- Level 2: Inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices included in Level 1, that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3: Inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

The asset or liability's fair value measurement level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Valuation techniques used need to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. At August 31, 2022, the District had no investments subject to fair value measurement.

#### **Inter-fund Assets/Liabilities**

In the fund financial statements, receivables and payables resulting from short-term inter-fund loans are classified as "Due from/(to) other funds". Inter-fund balances within governmental activities are eliminated on the government-wide statement of net assets. See Note 5 for additional discussion of inter-fund receivables and payables.

## Capital Assets

Capital assets, which include land, buildings and improvements, and furniture and equipment, and infrastructure (e.g., roads, bridges, sidewalks, and similar items), are reported in the government-wide financial statements. The District's infrastructure includes parking lots and roads associated with various buildings. The cost of infrastructure was initially capitalized with the building cost and is being depreciated over the same useful life as the building. The District defines capital assets as assets with an initial, individual cost of more than \$5,000 and an estimated useful life in excess of five years. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated cost if purchased or self-constructed. Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair value at the date of donation. The cost of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend asset lives are not capitalized. Major outlays for capital assets and improvements are capitalized as projects are constructed. Interest incurred during construction is not capitalized.

Buildings and improvements and furniture and equipment of the District are depreciated using the straight-line method over the following estimated lives: (See Note 6.)

Assets	Years
Buildings and improvements	5-45
Building equipment	5-20
Buses and vehicles	5-10
Office equipment	5-10
Library books and media	10

#### **Prepayments (i.e., Deferred Expenditures/Expenses)**

Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to the next fiscal period and are recorded as prepaid items in both government-wide and fund financial statements. Prepaid items are accounted for using the consumption method and are recognized as expenditures/expenses proportionately over the periods in which the services are provided.

#### Inventories

Inventories consisting of supplies and materials are valued at weighted average cost and they include maintenance, transportation, office and instructional supplies, and food service commodities. Governmental fund inventories are recorded as expenditures when consumed rather than when purchased. Food service commodity inventory is recorded at fair market value on the date received. Commodities are recognized as revenues in the period received when all eligibility requirements are met. Commodity inventory items are recorded as expenditures when distributed to user locations.

# **Long-Term Obligations**

The District's long-term obligations consist of bonded indebtedness and compensated absences. In the governmentwide financial statements and proprietary fund types in the fund financial statements, long-term debt and other longterm obligations are reported as liabilities in the applicable statement of net position. Bond premiums and discounts are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using the straight-line method which approximates the effective interest rate method. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount.

In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize bond premiums and discounts during the current period. The face amount of debt issued is reported as other financing sources. Premiums received on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources while discounts on debt issuances are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures.

The current requirements for general obligation bonds principal and interest expenditures are accounted for in the debt service fund. The current requirements for compensated absences are accounted for in the general fund.

## **Compensated Absences**

Compensated absences are absences for which employees will be paid, such as sick leave. A liability for compensated absences that are attributable to services already rendered and that are not contingent on a specific event that is outside the control of the government and its employees is accrued as employees earn the rights to the benefits. Compensated absences that relate to future services or that are contingent on a specific event that is outside the control of the government and its employees are accounted for in the period in which such services are rendered or such events take place.

In addition to the State sick leave program, certain employees are entitled to sick leave benefits under the District's local sick leave program. Individuals whose date of employment is prior to September 1, 1989 are eligible for sick leave upon resignation, retirement, death or termination. Under the local sick leave policy, eligible employees may earn up to 6.5 local days per year. (See Note 7.)

In the governmental funds, compensated absences that are expected to be liquidated with expendable available financial resources are reported as an expenditure and fund liability in the fund that will pay for them. The remainder of the compensated absences liability is reported as long-term liabilities on the statement of net position.

#### Pensions

The fiduciary net position of the Teacher Retirement System of Texas (TRS) has been determined using the flow of economic resources measurement focus and full accrual basis of accounting. This includes, for purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, pension expense, and information about assets, liabilities and additions to/deductions from TRS's fiduciary net position. Benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

# **Other Post-Employment Benefits**

The fiduciary net position of the TRS Care Plan has been determined using the flow of economic resources measurement focus and full accrual basis of accounting. This includes, for purposes of measuring the net OPEB liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to other post- employment benefits, OPEB expense, and information about assets, liabilities and additions to/deductions from TRS Care's fiduciary net position. Benefit payments are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. There are no investments as this is a pay-as you-go plan and all cash is held in a cash account.

# **Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources**

Deferred outflows and inflows of resources are reported in the statement of net position and balance sheet as described below:

A *deferred outflow of resources* is a consumption of a government's net assets (a decrease in assets in excess of any related decrease in liabilities or an increase in liabilities in excess of any related increase in assets) by the government that is applicable to a future reporting period. The District has three items that qualify for reporting in this category:

- Deferred outflow of resources for refunding Reported in the government-wide statement of net position, this deferred charge on refunding results from the difference in the carrying value of refunded debt and its reacquisition price. This amount is deferred and amortized over the shorter of the life of the refunded or refunding debt.
- Deferred outflow of resources for pension Reported in the government-wide financial statement of net position, this deferred outflow results from pension plan contributions made after the measurement date of the net pension liability and the results of differences between expected and actual actuarial experiences. The deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from District contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the next fiscal year. The other pension related deferred outflows will be amortized over the expected remaining service lives of all employees (active and inactive employees) that are provided with pensions through the pension plan.
- Deferred outflow of resources for OPEB Reported in the government-wide financial statement of net position, this deferred outflow results from OPEB plan contributions made after the measurement date of the net OPEB liability and the results of differences between expected and actual investment earnings and changes in proportionate share. The deferred outflows of resources related to OPEB resulting from District contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the OPEB liability in the next fiscal year. The other OPEB related deferred outflows will be amortized over the expected remaining service lives of all employees (active and inactive employees) that are provided with OPEB through the OPEB plan.

A *deferred inflow of resources* in an acquisition of a government's net assets (an increase in assets in excess of any related increase in liabilities or a decrease in liabilities in excess of any related decrease in assets) by the government that is applicable to a future reporting period. The District has three items that qualify for reporting in this category:

- Deferred inflow of resources for unavailable revenues Reported only in the governmental funds balance sheet, unavailable revenues from property taxes arise under the modified accrual basis of accounting. The amounts are deferred and recognized as an inflow of resources in the period that the amounts become available.
- Deferred inflow of resources for pension Reported in the government-wide financial statement of net position, these deferred inflows result primarily from differences between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments. These amounts will be amortized over a closed five year period.
- Deferred inflow of resources for OPEB Reported in the government-wide financial statement of net position, these deferred inflows result primarily from differences between expected and actual experience and from changes in assumptions. These amounts will be amortized over the average expected remailing service life of all members.

# Net Position

Net Position represents the difference between assets and deferred outflows of resources, and liabilities and deferred inflows of resources. The classifications used in the government-wide financial statements are as follows:

- *Net position invested in capital assets* This amount consists of capital assets net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by outstanding debt that is attributed to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of the assets.
- *Restricted net position* This amount is restricted by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments.

• Unrestricted net position – This amount is the net position that does not meet the definition of "net investment in capital assets" or "restricted net position".

The District's policy is to first apply restricted resources when an expense is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted net position is available.

## Fund Balance

Generally, governmental fund balances represent the difference between the current assets and deferred outflows of resources, and current liabilities and deferred inflows of resources. The government fund financial statements present fund balances based on classifications that comprise a hierarchy that is based primarily on the extent to which the District is bound to honor constraints on the specific purposes for which amounts in the respective government funds can be spent. The classifications used in the governmental fund financial statements are as follows:

- *Nonspendable* includes amounts that cannot be spent because they are either (a) not in spendable form or (b) are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact. (Inventories and Prepaid Items are considered nonspendable as these items are not expected to be converted to cash or are not expected to be converted to cash within the next year.)
- *Restricted* includes amounts for which constraints have been placed on the use of the resources either (a) externally imposed by creditors (such as through a debt covenant), grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments, or (b) imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.
- *Committed* includes amounts constrained to specific purposes by the District itself, using its highest level of decision-making authority (i.e., the Board of Trustees). To be reported as committed, amounts cannot be used for any other purposes unless the District takes the same highest level of action to remove or change the constraint. The District establishes (and modifies or rescinds) fund balance commitments by passage of a resolution or ordinance. A fund balance commitment is further indicated in the budget document as a commitment of the fund. The District has committed 100 percent of Fund 461 Campus Activity Funds' fund balance.
- Assigned includes amounts that are constrained by the District's intent to be used for a specific purpose but are neither restricted nor committed. This intent can be expressed by the Board or through the Board delegating this responsibility to the Superintendent or Chief Financial Officer through the budgetary process. This classification also includes the remaining positive fund balance for all governmental funds except for the general fund.
- Unassigned includes the residual fund balance for amounts that have not been assigned to other funds or restricted, committed, or assigned to a specific purpose within the *general fund*. The Unassigned classification also includes negative residual fund balance of any other governmental fund that cannot be eliminated by offsetting of Assigned fund balance amounts.

When an expenditure is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted fund balance is available, the District considers restricted funds to have been spent first. When an expenditure is incurred for which committed, assigned, or unassigned fund balances are available, the District considers amounts to have been spent first out of committed funds, then assigned funds, and finally unassigned funds, as needed, unless the Board has provided otherwise in its commitment or assignment actions.

#### Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires the Administration to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

The amount of state foundation and available school revenue a school district earns for a year, can and does vary until the time when final values for each of the factors in the formula become available. Availability can be as late as

midway into the next fiscal year. It is at least reasonably possible that the foundation revenue estimate as of August 31 will change and those changes could be material.

#### **Data Control Codes**

The data control codes refer to the account code structure prescribed by TEA in the Resource Guide. The TEA requires school districts to display these codes in the financial statements filed with the Agency in order to insure accuracy in building a statewide data base for policy development and funding plans.

## 3. DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

A summary of the District's cash and investments at August 31, 2022 is shown below:

	 Cash and Deposits	 Investment Pools		Total Cash & Cash Equivalents Investme		Investments	Total Cash & Investments
Governmental funds:							
General fund	\$ 4,660,948	\$ 9,129,322	\$	13,790,270	\$	25,828,533	\$ 39,618,803
Debt service fund	1,547,346	8,636,022		10,183,368		3,531,155	13,714,523
Capital projects fund	42,005	63,340,223		63,382,228		-	63,382,228
Nonmajor governmental funds	 2,358,463	 3,625,998		5,984,461		-	 5,984,461
Total accommental funda	° 60° 762	84 721 565		02 240 227		20.250.699	122 700 015
Total governmental funds	 8,608,762	 84,731,565		93,340,327		29,359,688	 122,700,015
Proprietary funds	 905,507	 2,368,001		3,273,508			 3,273,508
Fiduciary funds	 318,873	 91,428		410,301			 410,301
Total cash and investments	\$ 9,833,142	\$ 87,190,994	\$	97,024,136	\$	29,359,688	\$ 126,383,824

The District's funds are required to be deposited and invested under the terms of a depository contract pursuant to the Texas School Depository Act. The depository bank pledges securities which comply with state law and these securities are held for safekeeping and trust with the District's and depository bank's agent bank. The pledged securities are approved by the TEA and shall be in an amount sufficient to protect District funds on a day-to-day basis during the period of the contract. The pledge of approved securities is waived only to the extent of the depository bank's dollar amount of Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) insurance.

At August 31, 2022, the carrying amount of the District's deposits (cash and certificate of deposits) was \$15,014,296 and the bank balance was \$15,550,638. The District's cash deposits at August 31, 2022 were entirely covered by FDIC insurance, by pledged collateral held by the depository bank's agent bank in the District's name or irrevocable letters of credit.

The Public Funds Investment Act (Act) contains specific provisions in the areas of investment practices, management reports and establishment of appropriate policies. Among other things, it requires the District to adopt, implement, and publicize an investment policy. That policy must address the following areas: (1) safety of principal and liquidity, (2) portfolio diversification, (3) allowable investments, (4) acceptable risk levels, (5) expected rates of return, (6) maximum allowable stated maturity of portfolio, (7) maximum average dollar-weighted maturity allowed based on the stated maturity date for the portfolio, (8) investment staff quality and capabilities, and (9) bid solicitation preferences for certificates of deposit. Statutes authorize the District to invest in (1) obligations of the U.S. Treasury, certain U.S. agencies, and the State of Texas, (2) certificates of deposit, (3) certain municipal securities, (4) money market savings accounts, (5) securities lending programs, (6) repurchase agreements, (7) bankers acceptances, (8) mutual funds, (9) investment pools, and (10) guaranteed investment contracts.

			Fair Val	lue Measureme	nts Using:		
Investment Type:	Rating	Value at August 31, 2022	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	Percent of Portfolio	WAM (Days/Years)
Cash in Bank		\$ 9,833,142				8%	
Cash equivalents measured at amortized	costs:						
TexSTAR	AAAm	301,857				0%	< 365 days
TexPool	AAAm	395,165					
LOGIC	AAA	4,339,296				3%	< 365 days
TexasTERM (daily)	AAAm	9,103,745				7%	< 365 days
TexasCLASS	AAA	6,874,139				5%	< 365 days
TX-FIT	AAAf/S1	66,176,792				52%	< 365 days
Cash and cash equivalents - subtotal		97,024,136					
Investments measured at cost not subject to level reporting:							
Certificates of depositis	Unrated	5,181,154				4%	< 365 days
TexasTERM (fixed)	AAAf	24,178,534				19%	< 365 days
Investments - subtotal		29,359,688					
Total cash & investments		<u>\$ 126,383,824</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$</u> -	<u>\$</u> -	100%	

As of August 31, 2022, the District had the following investments:

Texas Local Government Investment Pool (TexPool), Texas Cooperative Liquid Assets Securities System (TexasCLASS), Texas Fixed Income Trust (TX-FIT), Texas Short Term Asset Reserve Program (TexSTAR), Local Government Investment Pool (TexasDAILY), and Local Government Investment Cooperative (LOGIC) are local government investment "pools" organized under the authority of the Interlocal Cooperation Act, Chapter 791, Texas Government Code, and the Public Funds Investment Act, Chapter 2256, Texas Government Code. In addition to other provisions of the Act designed to promote liquidity and safety of principal, the Act requires pools to: 1) have an advisory board composed of participants in the pool and other persons who do not have a business relationship with the pool and are qualified to advise the pool; 2) maintain a continuous rating of no lower than AAA or AAA-m or an equivalent rating by at least one nationally recognized rating service; and 3) maintain the market value of its underlying investment portfolio within one half of one percent of the value of its shares.

The District's investments in, TexPool, TexasCLASS, TX-FIT, TexSTAR, TexasDAILY and LOGIC are reported at amortized cost. Deposits and withdrawals can be made on any business day of the week. The pools have a redemption notice of one day, which may be redeemed daily. The pools may only impose restrictions on redemptions in the event of a general suspension of trading on major securities markets, general banking moratorium, or a national state of emergency that affects the pools liquidity. There are no limits on the number of accounts a participant can have or the number of transactions. The District has no unfunded commitments related to the pools.

The District's investment in TexasTERM is a fixed-rate, fixed-term portfolio rated AAAf by Fitch, that enables investors to lock in a fixed rate for a term of 60 days to 365 days.

The District's management believes that it has complied with the requirements of the Act and with local policies.

GASB Statement No. 40 requires a determination as to whether the District was exposed to the following investment risks at year end and if so, the reporting of certain disclosures:

# 1) Credit Risk

State law and the District's investment policy limits investments in all categories to top ratings issued by nationally recognized statistical rating organizations. As of August 31, 2022, the District's investments in TexPool, TexSTAR, and TexasDAILY are rated AAAm, TX-FIT is rated AAAf, and LOGIC and TexasCLASS are rated AAA by Standard and Poor's.

# 2) <u>Custodial Credit Risk</u>

Deposits are exposed to custodial credit risk if they are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the District's name.

Investment securities are exposed to custodial credit risk if the securities are uninsured, are not registered in the name of the government, and are held by either the counterparty or the counterparty's trust department or agent but not in the District's name. At year end, the District was not exposed to credit risk.

# 3) Concentration of Credit Risk

The District's investment policy requires the investment portfolio to be diversified in terms of investment instruments, maturity scheduling, and financial institutions in order to reduce the risk of loss resulting from overconcentration of assets in a specific class of investments, specific maturity, or specific issuer. At year end, the District was not exposed to concentration of credit risk.

# 4) Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates may adversely affect the value of an investment. The District manages its exposure to declines in fair values by limiting the weighted average maturity of its investment portfolio to less than one year from the time of purchase. The Board may specifically authorize a longer maturity for a given investment, within the legal limits. The weighted average maturity for the District's investment in external investment pools is less than 60 days. In addition, the District shall not directly invest in an individual security maturing more than twelve months from the time of purchase.

# 4. **RECEIVABLES**

Receivables as of year end for the District's individual major and nonmajor funds in the aggregate, including the applicable allowances for uncollectible accounts, are as follows:

	General Fund	Debt Service Fund	Non-major overnmental Funds	 Total
Property taxes Property taxes - penalty & interest	\$ 2,289,484 1,664,481	\$ 1,046,440 613,372	\$ -	\$ 3,335,924 2,277,853
Subtotal - property taxes Due from other governments-state	3,953,965 3,285,695	1,659,812	- 303,863	5,613,777 3,589,558
Due from other governments-federal Due from other governments-other	 82,976 32,486	 - 16,351	 1,590,214 302,333	 1,673,190 351,170
Subtotal - due from other governments Other receivables	 3,401,157 171,344	 16,351	 2,196,410 66,111	 5,613,918 237,455
Gross receivables Less: allowance for uncollectibles	 7,526,466 (1,789,796)	 1,676,163 (751,329)	 2,262,521	 11,465,150 (2,541,125)
Net total receivables	\$ 5,736,670	\$ 924,834	\$ 2,262,521	\$ 8,924,025

The District participates in a variety of federal and state programs from which it receives grants to partially or fully finance certain activities. In addition, the District receives entitlements from the State through the School Foundation and Per Capita Programs. Amounts due from federal and state governments as of August 31, 2022, are summarized above. All federal grants shown above are passed through the TEA and are reported in the financial statements as Due from Other Governments.

Governmental funds report unearned revenue in connection with receivables for revenues that are not considered to be available to liquidate liabilities of the current period or in connection with resources that have been received, but not yet earned. At the end of the current fiscal year, the various components of unearned revenues reported in the governmental funds were as follows:

	Unavailable			Unearned	 Total
Delinquent property taxes (General Fund)	\$	2,164,169	\$	-	\$ 2,164,169
Delinquent property taxes (Debt Service Fund)		908,483		-	908,483
Funds received prior to meeting all eligibility requirements:					
(Nonmajor Govermental Funds)		-		347,426	 347,426
Total unearned revenue	\$	3,072,652	\$	347,426	\$ 3,420,078

## **Property Taxes**

The District levies its taxes on October 1 in conformity with Subtitle E, Texas Property Tax Code. Taxes are due upon receipt of the tax bill and are past due and subject to interest if not paid by February 1 of the year following the October 1 levy date. On January 1 of each year, a tax lien attaches to property to secure the payment of all taxes, penalties, and interest ultimately imposed. The assessed value of the property tax roll, upon which the levy for the 2021-2022 fiscal year was based, was \$5.7 billion.

The tax rates assessed for the year ended August 31, 2022, to finance General Fund operations and the payment of principal and interest on general obligation long-term debt, were \$.8720 and \$.44000 per \$100 valuation, respectively, for a total of \$1.312 per \$100 valuation.

Current tax collections for the year ended August 31, 2022 were 98% of the year end adjusted tax levy. Delinquent taxes are prorated between maintenance and debt service based on rates adopted for the year of the levy. Allowances for uncollectible taxes within the General and Debt Service Funds are based on historical experience in collecting taxes. The property taxes receivable allowance is equal to approximately 45% of outstanding property taxes receivable at August 31, 2022. A significant portion of delinquent taxes outstanding at any fiscal year end is generally not collected in the ensuing fiscal year. Uncollectible personal property taxes are periodically reviewed and written off. Under Sec. 3305 of the Texas Property Code, the District can cancel and remove from the delinquent tax roll, tax on real property that has been delinquent for more than 20 years or tax on personal property that has been delinquent for more than 10 years if there is no pending litigation concerning the delinquent taxes at the time of cancellation and removal.

# 5. INTER-FUND RECEIVABLES, PAYABLES, AND TRANSFERS

Inter-fund balances consist of short-term lending/borrowing arrangements between two or more governmental funds. The composition of inter-fund balances as of August 31, 2022, is as follows:

Fund	F	Receivable	Payable		
General Fund:					
Nonmajor Governmental Funds	\$	1,506,844	\$	-	
Fiduciary Funds		694		-	
Nonmajor Governmental Funds:					
General Fund		-		1,506,844	
Fiducisry Funds					
General Fund		-		694	
	\$	1,507,538	\$	1,507,538	

District activities in the Nonmajor Governmental Funds (Special Revenue Funds) include expenditures paid from a centralized-pooled operating bank account maintained in the General Fund. Since all cash transactions flow through this account, each fund carries a receivable/payable balance with the General Fund. All balances will be repaid within one year.

Interfund transfers in the fund financial statements at August 31, 2022, consisted of the following:

Transfers from	Transfers to	А	mount
Nonmajor Governmental Fund	General Fund		71,553
		\$	71,553

The District transferred \$71,553 from the nonmajor governmental fund (i.e., ESSER II Fund) to the general fund to account for miscellaneous Covid-19 expenses.

# 6. CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset activity for the year ended August 31, 2022 is as follows:

Governmental activities:	Beginning Balance	Additions	Deletions	Transfers	Ending Balance
Capital assets, not being depreciated:					
Land	\$ 15,693,932	\$ 1,123,056	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 16,816,988
Construction in progress	4,341,708	34,872,482	-	(1,796,103)	37,418,087
Total Capital Assets, not depreciated	20,035,640	35,995,538	-	(1,796,103)	54,235,075
Capital assets, being depreciated:					
Buildings and improvements	394,136,120	492	-	1,796,103	395,932,715
Furniture and equipment	24,397,431	790,041	(629,098)	-	24,558,374
Library books and media	32,389	-	-	-	32,389
Total Capital Assets, being depreciated	418,565,940	790,533	(629,098)	1,796,103	420,523,478
Less accumulated depreciation for:					
Buildings and improvements	(173,455,947)	(14,008,541)	-	-	(187,464,488)
Furniture and equipment	(18,731,685)	(1,168,827)	571,908	-	(19,328,604)
Library books and media	(25,874)	(3,239)			(29,113)
Total accumulated depreciation	(192,213,506)	(15,180,607)	571,908		(206,822,205)
Capital assets, net	\$ 246,388,074	\$ 21,605,464	\$ (57,190)	\$	\$ 267,936,348

## Depreciation expense was charged to governmental functions as follows:

11	Instruction	\$	9,134,008
12	Instructional resources and media services		178,378
13	Curriculum and instructional staff development		202,217
21	Instructional leadership		201,699
23	School leadership		814,545
31	Guidance, counseling, & evaluation services		460,511
32	Social work services		21,490
33	Health services		141,748
34	Student (pupil) transportation		694,193
35	Food services		704,110
36	Extracurricular activities		291,927
41	General administration		341,286
51	Facilities maintenance and operations		1,571,156
52	Security and monitoring services		133,422
53	Data processing services		224,675
61	Community services		65,242
	Total depreciation expense - governmental activities	<u>\$</u>	15,180,607

## 7. LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

#### **General Obligation Bonds**

The District issues general obligation bonds for governmental activities to provide resources for the acquisition and construction of major capital facilities. General obligation bonds are direct obligations and pledge the full faith and credit of the District. Principal and interest requirements are payable solely from future revenues of the debt service fund which consists primarily of property taxes collected by the District and interest earnings. Certain outstanding bonds may be redeemed at their par value prior to their normal maturity dates in accordance with the terms of the related bond indentures. The District has never defaulted on any principal or interest payment.

The District has entered into a continuing disclosure undertaking to provide annual reports and material event notices to the State Information Depository of Texas through the Municipal Advisory Council. This information is required under SEC Rule 15c2-12 to enable investors to analyze the financial condition and operations of the District.

Long-term liabilities include current interest bonds, term bonds, variable bonds, and capital appreciation bonds (CAB). State statutes limit the amount of general obligation debt a governmental entity may issue to 10% of its total assessed valuation. For the fiscal year ended August 31, 2022, the current debt limitation for the District is \$569,334,566. The District's outstanding debt of \$362,190,000 less the reserve for the retirement of the debt of \$13,742,840 totals \$348,447,160 leaving a legal debt margin of \$220,887,406.

There are a number of limitations and restrictions contained in the general obligation debt indentures. Management has indicated that the District is in compliance with all significant limitations and restrictions as of August 31, 2022.

Bonded debt as of August 31, 2022 is as follows:

Description	Interest Rate Payable	Maturity Date	Original Issue	Outstanding
Unlimited tax schoolhouse & refunding bonds, series 2000	5.40-6.05%	02/15/28	\$ 26,297,916	\$ 11,785,000
Unlimited tax schoolhouse bonds, series 2008	4.625-4.75%	02/15/33	30,000,000	690,000
Unlimited tax schoolhouse bonds, taxable series 2010B	5.941-6.011%	02/15/38	30,000,000	30,000,000
Variable rate unlimited tax refunding bonds, series 2013	Variable	08/01/37	27,940,000	27,940,000
Unlimited tax refunding bonds, series 2013	1.875-5.00%	02/15/30	23,360,000	7,665,000
Unlimited tax schoolhouse bonds, series 2014	4.00-5.00%	02/15/44	54,755,000	51,110,000
Unlimited tax refunding bonds, series 2014	5.00%	02/15/32	63,505,000	43,650,000
Unlimited tax schoolhouse bonds, series 2016A	2.00%-5.00%	02/15/49	64,475,000	62,525,000
Unlimited tax refunding bonds, series 2016B	3.00%-5.00%	02/15/33	27,720,000	27,720,000
Unlimited tax refunding bonds, series 2019	4.00%	02/15/34	12,965,000	12,965,000
Unlimited tax schoolhouse bonds, series 2021	2.00%-5.00%	02/15/51	 86,140,000	 86,140,000
			\$ 447,157,916	\$ 362,190,000

*Variable Rate Terms* – In August 2021, outstanding bonds totaling \$27,940,000 were remarketed to a term rate (0.25%) for a period of two years through August 31, 2023. Thereafter, the bonds will bear interest in a mode and at a rate determined by the remarketing agent (JP Morgan Securities LLC) and may change at the District's option from time to time to (a) a weekly or term rate of a different duration or (b) a flexible rate not to exceed the lesser of (i) 7% per annum, or (ii) the maximum net effective interest rate permitted under Chapter 1204, Texas Government Code, as amended. In addition, at the option of the District, the bonds bearing interest at a variable rate or flexible rate may be converted in whole or in part to a fixed rate to their maturity.

The following is a summary of changes in the District's total governmental long-term liabilities for the year ended August 31, 2022:

Bonds payable:		Beginning Balance	Additions		Deletions	Ending Balance	ļ	Due within
			 Additions	<u> </u>				one year
Unlimited tax schoolhouse & refunding bonds, series 2000	\$	13,370,000	\$ -	\$	(1,585,000)	\$ 11,785,000	\$	1,680,000
Unlimited tax schoolhouse bonds, series 2008		690,000	-		-	690,000		205,000
Unlimited tax schoolhouse bonds, taxable series 2010B		30,000,000	-		-	30,000,000		-
Variable rate unlimited tax refunding bonds, series 2013		27,940,000	-		-	27,940,000		-
Unlimited tax refunding bonds, series 2013		11,245,000	-		(3,580,000)	7,665,000		1,395,000
Unlimited tax schoolhouse bonds, series 2014		51,770,000	-		(660,000)	51,110,000		815,000
Unlimited tax refunding bonds, series 2014		47,705,000	-		(4,055,000)	43,650,000		3,980,000
Unlimited tax schoolhouse bonds, series 2016A		63,510,000	-		(985,000)	62,525,000		1,000,000
Unlimited tax refunding bonds, series 2016B		27,720,000	-		-	27,720,000		-
Unlimited tax refunding bonds, series 2019		12,965,000	-		-	12,965,000		-
Unlimited tax schoolhouse bonds, series 2021		86,140,000	-		-	86,140,000		385,000
	-	373,055,000	 -		(10,865,000)	362,190,000		9,460,000
Deferred amounts:								
Accumulated accretion on capital appreciation bonds		2,555,730	171,174		-	2,726,904		-
Premium on issuance of bonds		28,856,835	-		(1,820,619)	27,036,216		-
Discount on issuance of bonds		(200,704)	30,876		-	(169,828)		-
Total bonds payable	4	404,266,861	202,050		(12,685,619)	391,783,292		9,460,000
Other liabilities:								
Net pension liability		36,627,717	-		(19,006,841)	17,620,876		-
Net OPEB liability		34,143,800	1,060,057		-	35,203,857		-
Compensated absences		6,029	2,235		-	8,264		-
Total other liabilities		70,777,546	 1,062,292		(19,006,841)	 52,832,997		-
Governmental activities long-term liabilities	\$ 4	475,044,407	\$ 1,264,342	\$	(31,692,460)	\$ 444,616,289	\$	9,460,000

Debt service requirements to maturity are as follows:

Year Ended	General Obligation Bonds			Total		
August 31		Principal		Interest		Requirements
2023	\$	9,460,000	\$	13,357,887	\$	22,817,887
2024		11,390,000		14,192,011		25,582,011
2025		11,255,000		13,653,662		24,908,662
2026		13,225,000		13,060,561		26,285,561
2027		13,890,000		12,394,087		26,284,087
2028-2032		77,390,000		51,855,533		129,245,533
2033-2037		96,255,000		33,937,376		130,192,376
2038-2042		58,900,000		15,368,486		74,268,486
2043-2047		46,040,000		6,428,750		52,468,750
2048-2051		24,385,000		986,700		25,371,700
	\$	362,190,000	\$	175,235,053	\$	537,425,053

#### **Build America Bonds**

In February 2009, as part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, Congress added Sections 54AA and 6431 to the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, which permit state and local governments to obtain certain tax advantages when issuing taxable obligations that meet certain requirements of the Code and the related Treasury regulations. Such obligations are referred to as Build America Bonds.

In April 2010, the District issued Unlimited Tax Schoolhouse Bonds, Taxable Series 2010B Bonds in the amount of \$30,000,000 under the Build America Bonds program. Under this program, the District receives semi-annual subsidies equal to 35% of the interest it pays on the bonds. The subsidy payments received by the District will not be pledged as security for the payment of the Series 2010B Bonds and no holder of the Series 2010B Bonds will be entitled to a tax credit or any subsidy payment with respect to the Series 2010B Bonds. The District intends to use the subsidy payments for any lawful purpose, which may include payment of principal and interest on the Series 2010B Bonds. For fiscal year ended August 31, 2022, the District received \$592,913 in such subsidies. The amount received was recorded as federal revenue in the General Fund.

#### **Accreted Interest on Capital Appreciation Bonds**

With capital appreciation bonds, the interest is paid upon maturity of the bonds. In order to properly reflect the amounts payable on these bonds, the annual interest is added to the long-term liabilities as accretion of interest on capital appreciation bonds.

The interest on these obligations will be paid upon maturity in the fiscal years ending 2023-2025. The values associated with these bonds are reflected in the following table:

	M / 1/ 37 1	Stated Bond	Accreted	Accreted Value
	Maturity Value Principal of Bonds Amount		Interest on Bonds	of Bond at Year-End
	01 Bollds	Amount	Bollds	i cai-Ellu
Unlimited tax schoolhouse bonds, series 2008	\$ 3,730,000	\$ 690,000	\$ 2,726,904	\$ 3,416,904
Total	\$ 3,730,000	\$ 690,000	\$ 2,726,904	\$ 3,416,904

## **Defeased Debt**

In April 2022, the District defeased \$1,910,000 in debt from the Series 2013 Bonds originally due in February 2029 and 2030. The liability has been removed from the governmental activities column activities column of the statement of net position.

In prior years, the District defeased certain general obligation debt by placing the proceeds of the new bonds in an irrevocable trust to provide for all future debt service payments on the refunded debt. Accordingly, the trust account assets and the liability for the defeased debt are not included in the District's financial statements. At August 31, 2022, bonds outstanding of \$1,910,000 were considered defeased.

#### Arbitrage

In accordance with the provisions of Section 148(f) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, bonds must satisfy certain arbitrage rebate requirements. Positive arbitrage is the excess of (1) the amount earned on investments purchased with bond proceeds over (2) the amount that such investments would have earned had such investments been invested at a rate equal to the yield on the bond issue. In order to comply with the arbitrage rebate requirements, positive arbitrage must be paid to the U.S. Treasury at the end of each five year anniversary date of the bond issue. The District has estimated that it has no arbitrage liability as of August 31, 2022.

# 8. LEASES

GASB Statement No. 87, *Leases* (GASB 87), establishes a single model for lease accounting based on the foundational principle that leases are financings of the right to use an underlying asset. Under GASB 87, a lessee is required to recognize a lease liability and an intangible right-to-use lease asset, and a lessor is required to recognize a lease receivable and a deferred inflow of resources, thereby enhancing the relevance and consistency of information about the government's leasing activities. The requirements of this statement were originally effective for the reporting periods beginning after December 31, 2019; however, issuance of GASB Statement No. 95, *Postponement of the Effective Dates of Certain Authoritative Guidance* (GASB 95), extended the effective date of GASB 87 to reporting periods after June 15, 2021, with earlier application encouraged. GASB 87 had no significant impact on the District.

# 9. DEFINED OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFIT PLAN (OPEB)

*Plan Description.* The District participates in the Texas Public School Retired Employees Group Insurance Program (TRS-Care). It is a multiple-employer, cost sharing defined benefit OPEB plan with a special funding situation. The TRS-Care program was established in 1986 by the Texas Legislature.

The TRS Board of Trustees administers the TRS-Care program and the related fund in accordance with Texas Insurance Code Chapter 1575. The Board of Trustees is granted the authority to establish basic and optional group

insurance coverage for participants as well as to amend benefit terms as needed under Chapter 1575.052. The Board may adopt rules, plans, procedures, and orders reasonably necessary to administer the program, including minimum benefits and financing standards.

*OPEB Plan Fiduciary Net Position.* Detail information about the TRS-Care's fiduciary net position is available in the separately-issued TRS Comprehensive Annual Financial Report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. That report may be obtained on the Internet at <u>http://www.trs.texas.gov/Pages/about\_publications.aspx</u>; by writing to TRS at 1000 Red River Street, Austin, TX, 78701-2698; or by calling (512) 542-6592.

Components of the net OPEB liability of the TRS-Care plan as of August 31, 2021 are as follows:

Net OPEB Liability		
Total OPEB Liability	\$	41,113,711,083
Less: Plan Fiduciary Net Position		(2,539,242,470)
Net OPEB Liability	\$	38,574,468,613
Net Position as a Percentage of Total OPEB Liability	_	6.18%

*Benefits Provided.* TRS-Care provides health insurance coverage to retirees from public and charter schools, regional education service centers and other educational districts who are members of the TRS pension plan. Optional dependent coverage is available for an additional fee.

Eligible non-Medicare retirees and their dependents may enroll in TRS-Care Standard, a high-deductible health plan. Eligible Medicare retirees and their dependents may enroll in the TRS-Care Medicare Advantage medical plan and the TRS-Care Medicare Rx prescription drug plan. To qualify for TRS-Care coverage, a retiree must have at least 10 years of service credit in the TRS pension system. There are no automatic post-employment benefit changes; including automatic COLAs.

The premium rates for retirees are reflected in the following table.

TRS-CARE Monthly Premium Rates					
Me	Non-Medicare				
\$	135	\$	200		
	529		689		
	468		408		
	1,020		999		
		Medicare           \$         135           529         468	Medicare         Non-N           \$         135         \$           529         468         \$		

\*or surviving spouse

*Contributions.* Contribution rates for the TRS-Care plan are established in state statute by the Texas Legislature, and there is no continuing obligation to provide benefits beyond each fiscal year. The TRS-Care plan is currently funded on a pay-as-you-go basis and is subject to change based on available funding. Funding for TRS-Care is provided by retiree premium contributions and contributions from the state, active employees, and school districts based upon public school district payroll. The TRS Board of trustees does not have the authority to set or amend contribution rates.

Texas Insurance Code, section 1575.202 establishes the state's contribution rate which is 1.25% of the employee's salary. Section 1575.203 establishes the active employee's rate which is .65% of pay. Section 1575.204 establishes an employer contribution rate of not less than .25% or not more than .75% of the salary of each active employee of the public or charter school. The actual employer contribution rate is prescribed by the Legislature in the General Appropriations Act. The following table shows contributions to the TRS-Care plan by type of contributor:

	Contribution Rates				
	2021		2022		
Member	0.65%		0.65%		
Non-Employer Contributing Entity (NECE) (State)	1.25%		1.25%		
Employers	0.75%		0.75%		
Federal/private Funding remitted by Employers	1.25%		1.25%		
2022 Employer Contributions		\$	780,357		
2022 Member Contributions		\$	614,507		
2022 NECE On-Behalf Contributions		\$	955,213		

In addition to the employer contributions listed above, there is an additional surcharge all TRS employers are subject to (regardless of whether they participate in the TRS Care OPEB program). When employers hire a TRS retiree, they are required to pay to TRS Care, a monthly surcharge of \$535 per retiree.

TRS-Care received supplemental appropriations from the State of Texas as the Non-Employer Contributing Entity in the amount of \$5,520,343 in fiscal year 2021 for consumer protections against medical and health care billing by certain out-of-network providers.

The State's on-behalf contribution is recorded as revenues and expenditures/expenses in the financial statements.

Actuarial Assumptions. The actuarial valuation was performed as of August 31, 2020. Updated procedures were used to roll forward the Total OPEB Liability to August 31, 2021.

The actuarial valuation of the OPEB plan offered through TRS-Care is similar to the actuarial valuation performed for the pension plan, except that the OPEB valuation is more complex. The demographic assumptions were developed in the experience study performed for TRS for the period ending August 31, 2017. The following assumptions and other inputs used for members of TRS-Care are based on an established pattern of practice and are identical to the assumptions used in the August 31, 2020 TRS pension actuarial valuation that was rolled forward to August 31, 2021:

Rates of Mortality	Rates of Disability
Rates of Retirement	General Inflation
Rates of Termination	Wage Inflation

The active mortality rates were based on 90% of the RP-2014 Employee Mortality Tables for males and females, with full generational mortality using Scale BB. The post-retirement mortality rates for healthy lives were based on the 2018 TRS of Texas Healthy Pensioner Mortality Tables, with full generational projection using the ultimate improvement rates from the most recently published scale (U-MP).

Additional Actuarial Methods and Assumptions:

Valuation Date	August 31, 2020, ro lled forward to August 31, 2021
Actuarial Cost Method	Individual Entry Age Normal
In fla tio n	2.30%
Single Discount Rate	1.95% as of August 31, 2021
Aging Factors	Based on plan specific experience
Expenses	Third-party administrative expenses related to the delivery of health care benefits are included in the age-adjusted claims costs.
Salary Increases*	3.05% to 9.05%*
Health Trend Rates	The initial medical trend rates were 8.50% for Medicare retirees and 7.10% for non-Medicare retirees. There was an initial prescription drug trend rate of 8.50% for all retirees. The initial trend rates decrease to an ultimate trend rate of 4.25% over a period of 12 years.
Election Rates	Normal Retirement: 65% participation rate prior to age 65 and 40% participation rate after age 65. Pre-65 retirees - 25% are assumed to discontinue coverage at age 65.
Ad hoc Post-Employment Benefit Changes	None
*Includes inflation at 2.30%	

*Discount Rate.* A single discount rate of 1.95% was used to measure the Total OPEB Liability. There was a decrease of .38% in the discount rate since the previous year. Because the plan is essentially a "pay-as-you-go" plan, the single discount rate is equal to the prevailing municipal bond rate. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from active members and those of the contributing employers and the non-employer contributing entity are made at the statutorily required rates. Based on those assumptions, the OPEB plan's fiduciary net position was projected to not be able to make all future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the municipal bond rate was used for the long-term rate of return and was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total OPEB liability.

The source of the municipal bond rate is the Fidelity "20-year Municipal GO AA Index" as of August 31, 2021 using the Fixed-Income Market Data/Yield Curve/Data Municipal bonds with 20 years to maturity that include only federally tax-exempt municipal bonds.

*Discount Rate Sensitivity Analysis.* The following schedule shows the impact of the Net OPEB Liability if the discount rate used was 1% point lower than and 1% point higher than the discount rate that was used (1.95%) in measuring the Net OPEB Liability:

	1% Decrease in	Single	1% Increase in
	Discount Rate	Discount Rate	Discount Rate
	(.95%)	(1.95%)	(2.95%)
District's proportionate share of the Net OPEB liability	\$ 42,463,963	\$35,203,857	\$29,489,917

*OPEB Liabilities, OPEB Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to OPEBs.* At August 31, 2022, the District reported a liability of \$35,203,857 for its proportionate share of the TRS' Net OPEB Liability. This liability reflects a reduction for State OPEB support provided to the District. The amount recognized by the District as its proportionate share of the net OPEB liability, the related State support, and the total portion of the Net OPEB Liability that was associated with the District were as follows:

District's proportionate share of the collective Net OPEB liability	\$ 35,203,857
State's proportionate share that is associated with the District	 47,165,323
Total	\$ 82,369,180

The Net OPEB Liability was measured as of August 31, 2020 and rolled forward to August 31, 2021 and the Total OPEB Liability used to calculate the Net OPEB Liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The District's proportion of the Net OPEB Liability was based on the District's contributions to the OPEB plan relative to the contributions of all employers to the plan for the period September 1, 2020 thru August 31, 2021.

For the fiscal years ended August 31, 2022 and 2021, the District's proportion of the collective Net OPEB Liability was as follows:

2022	2021	
Meaurement Year	Meaurement Year	
8/31/2021	8/31/2020	Increase/(Decrease)
0.0912620654%	0.0898177953%	0.0014442701%

The following schedule shows the impact of the Net OPEB Liability if a healthcare trend rate that is 1% less than and 1% greater than the health trend rates assumed.

	1% Decrease in		Current Single		19	% Increase in
	Healthcare Trend			Healthcare Trend		althcare Trend
		Rate	Rate Rate		Rate	
District's proportionate share of the Net OPEB Liability	\$	28,513,957	\$	35,203,857	\$	44,180,040

*Changes Since the Prior Actuarial Valuation.* The following were changes to the actuarial assumptions or other inputs that affected measurement of the Total OPEB liability (TOL) since the prior measurement period:

- The discount rate changed from 2.33% as of August 31, 2020 to 1.95% as of August 31, 2021. This change increased the TOL.
- There were no changes in benefit in benefit terms since the prior measurement date.

For the year ended August 31, 2022, the District recognized OPEB expense of (\$2,332,644) and revenue of (\$1,740,761) for support provided by the State.

At August 31, 2022, the District reported its proportionate share of the TRS' deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to other post-employment benefits from the following sources:

	Deferred	Deferred
	Out flows of	Inflows of
	Resources	Resources
Differences Between Expected and Actual Economic Experience	\$ 1,515,693	\$ 17,041,129
Changes in Actuarial Assumptions	3,899,240	7,444,964
Net Difference Between Projected and Actual Investment Earnings	38,220	-
Changes in Proportion and Difference Between the Employer's	3,562,267	-
Contributions and the Proportionate Share of Contributions		
District Contributions Paid to TRS Subsequent to the Measurement Date	780,357	
Total	\$ 9,795,777	\$ 24,486,093

The net amounts of the District's balances of deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to OPEB will be recognized in OPEB expense as follows:

	0]	OPEB Expense		
Year Ended August 31:		Amount		
2022	\$	(3,125,551)		
2023		(3,126,412)		
2024		(3,126,176)		
2025		(2,199,516)		
2026		(994,981)		
Thereafter		(2,948,037)		
	\$	(15,520,673)		

#### **10. MEDICARE PART D – ON BEHALF PAYMENTS**

The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003, which was effective January 1, 2006, established prescription drug coverage for Medicare beneficiaries known as Medicare Part D. One of the provisions of Medicare Part D allows for the Texas Public School Retired Employee Group Insurance Program (TRS-Care) to receive retiree drug subsidy payments from the federal government to offset certain prescription drug expenditures for eligible TRS-Care participants. Subsidy payments made on behalf of the District for fiscal years 2022, 2021, and 2020 were \$300,409, \$395767, and \$359,027 respectively.

#### 11. DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN

*Plan Description.* The District participates in a cost-sharing multiple employer defined benefit pension plan that has a special funding situation. The pension plan is administered by the TRS. It is a defined benefit pension plan established and administered in accordance with the Texas Constitution, Article XVI, Section 67, and Texas Government Code, Title 8, Subtitle C. The pension plan is a qualified pension trust under Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Texas Legislature establishes benefits and contribution rates within the guidelines of the Texas Constitution. The pension plan's Board of Trustees does not have the authority to establish or amend benefit terms.

All employees of public, state-supported educational institutions in Texas who are employed for one-half or more of the standard workload and who are not exempted from membership under Texas Government Code, Title 8, Section 822.002 are covered by the pension plan.

*Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position.* Detailed information about the TRS' fiduciary net position is available in a separately issued Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR) that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. That report may be obtained on the Internet at <u>https://www.trs.texas.gov/Pages/about\_publications.aspx;</u> by writing to TRS at 1000 Red River Street, Austin, TX, 78701-2698, or by calling (512) 542-6592.

Components of the net pension liability of the pension plan are as of August 31, 2021 are as follows:

Net Pension Liability	_
Total Pension Liability	\$ 227,273,463,630
Less: Plan Fiduciary Net Position	(201,807,002,496)
Net Pension Liability	\$ 25,466,461,134
Net Position as Percentage of Total Pension Liability	88.79%

*Benefits Provided.* TRS provides service and disability retirement, as well as death and survivor benefits, to eligible employees (and their beneficiaries) of public and higher education in Texas. The pension formula is calculated using 2.3% (multiplier) times the average of the five highest annual creditable salaries times years of credited service to arrive at the annual standard annuity except for members who are grandfathered, the three highest annual salaries are used. The normal service retirement is at age 65 with 5 years of credited service or when the sum of the member's age and years of credited service equals 80 or more years. Early retirement is at age 55 with 5 years of service credit or earlier than 55 with 30 years of service credit. There are additional provisions for early retirement if the sum of the member's age and years of service credit total at least 80, but the member is less than age 60 or 62 depending on date of employment, or if the member was grandfathered in under a previous rule. There are no automatic post-employment benefit changes; including automatic COLAs. Ad hoc post-employment benefit changes, including ad hoc COLAs, can be granted by the Texas Legislature as noted in the Plan description above.

Texas Government Code section 821.006 prohibits benefit improvements, if, as a result of the particular action, the time required to amortize TRS unfunded actuarial liabilities would be increased to a period that exceeds 31 years, or, if the amortization period already exceeds 31 years, the period would be increased by such action. Actuarial implications of the funding provided in the manner are determined by the Plan's actuary.

*Contributions.* Contribution requirements are established or amended pursuant to Article 16, section 67 of the Texas Constitution which requires the Texas legislature to establish a member contribution rate of not less than 6% of the member's annual compensation and a state contribution rate of not less than 6% and not more than 10% of the aggregate annual compensation paid to members of the pension plan during the fiscal year.

Employee contribution rates are set in state statute, Texas Government Code 825.402. The TRS Pension Reform Bill (Senate Bill 12) of the 86<sup>th</sup> Texas Legislature amended Texas Government Code 825.402 for member contributions and increased employee and employer contribution rates for fiscal years 2020 thru 2025.

	Contribution Rates		
	2021		2022
Member	7.7%		7.7%
Non-Employer Contributing Entity (NECE) (State)	7.5%		7.5%
Employers	7.5%		7.5%
2022 Employer Contributions		\$	3,488,070
2022 Member Contributions		\$	7,563,226
2022 NECE On-Behalf Contributions		\$	4,750,532

Contributors to the pension plan include members, employers and the State of Texas as the only non-employer contributing entity. The State is the employer for senior colleges, medical schools and state agencies including TRS. In each respective role, the State contributes to the plan in accordance with state statutes and the General

Appropriations Act (GAA). The State's on-behalf contribution is recorded as revenues and expenditures/expenses in the financial statements.

As the non-employer contributing entity for public education and junior colleges, the State of Texas contributes to the pension plan an amount equal to the current employer contribution rate times the aggregate annual compensation of all participating members of the pension plan during that fiscal year reduced by the amounts described below which are paid by the employers. Employers, including public schools, are required to pay the employer contribution rate in the following instances:

- On the portion of the member's salary that exceeds the statutory minimum for members entitled to the statutory minimum under Section 21.402 of the Texas Education Code.
- During a new member's first 90 days of employment.
- When any part or all of an employee's salary is paid by federal funding sources, a privately sponsored source, from non-educational and general, or local funds.
- When the employing district is a public junior college or junior college district, the employer shall contribute to the retirement system an amount equal to 50% of the state contribution rate for certain instructional or administrative employees; and 100% of the state contribution rate for all other employees.

In addition to the employer contributions listed above, there are additional surcharges an employer is subject to:

- All public schools, charter schools, and regional educational service centers must contribute 1.6% of the member's salary beginning in fiscal year 2021, gradually increasing to 2% in fiscal year 2025.
- When employing a retiree of the Teacher Retirement System, the employer shall pay both the member contribution and the state contribution as an employment after retirement surcharge.

Actuarial Assumptions. The total pension plan liability in the August 31, 2020 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

Valuation Date	August 31, 2020 rolled forward to August 31, 2021
Actuarial Cost Method	Individual Entry Age Normal
Asset Valuation Method	Fair Value
Single Discount Rate	7.25%
Long-term expected Investment Rate of Return	7.25%
Municipal Bond Rate as of August 2020*	1.95%*
Last year ending August 31 in Projection Period (100 years)	2120
Inflation	2.30%
Salary Increases	3.05% to 9.05% including inflation
Ad Hoc Post-Employment Benefit Changes	None

\* Source: Fixed Income market data/yield curve/data municipal bonds with 20 years to maturity that include only federally tax-exempt municipal bonds as reported in Fidelity Index's "20-Year Municipal GO AA Index."

The actuarial methods and assumptions are used in the determination of the total pension liability are the same assumptions used in the actuarial valuation as of August 31, 2020. For a full description of these assumptions please see the actuarial valuation report dated November 9, 2020.

*Discount Rate.* The single discount rate of 7.25% was used to measure the total pension liability. The single discount rate was based on the expected rate of return on plan investments of 7.25%. The projection of cash flows used to determine this single discount rate assumed that contributions from active members, employers and the non-employer contributing entity will be made at the rates set by the legislature during the 2019 session. It is assumed that future

employer and state contributions will be 8.50% of payroll in fiscal year 2020 gradually increasing to 9.55% of payroll over the next several years. This includes all employer and state contributions for active and rehired retirees.

Based on those assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

The long-term rate of return on pension plan investments is 7.25%. The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimates ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. Best estimates of geometric real rates of return for each major asset class included in the Systems target asset allocation as of August 31, 2021 are summarized below:

	Target	Long-Term Expected Geometric Real	Expected Contribution to Long-Term
Asset Class* Global Equity	Allocation**	Rate of Return***	Portfolio Returns
U.S.	18.0%	3.6%	0.94%
Non-U.S. Developed	13.0%	4.4%	0.83%
Emerging Markets	9.0%	4.6%	0.74%
Private Equity	14.0%	6.3%	1.36%
Stable Value	14.070	0.570	1.3070
Government Bonds	16.0%	-0.2%	0.01%
Absolute Return	0.0%	1.1%	0.00%
Stable Value Hedge Funds	5.0%	2.2%	0.12%
Real Return	0.070	,.	011270
Real Estate	15.0%	4.5%	1.00%
Energy, Natural Resources and Infrastructure	6.0%	4.7%	0.35%
Commodities	0.0%	1.7%	0.00%
Risk Parity			
Risk Parity	8.0%	2.8%	0.28%
Asset Allocation Leverage			
Cash	2.0%	-0.7%	-0.01%
Asset Allocation Leverage	-6.0%	-0.5%	0.03%
Inflation Expectation		<b></b>	2.20%
Volatility Drag****			-0.95%
Expected Return	100%		6.90%
*Absolute Return includes Credit Sensitive Investments.			
**Target allocations are based on the FY2021policymodel.			
***Capital Market Assumptions come from Aon Hewitt (as of	08/31/2021)		
****The volatility drag results from the conversion between arit	hmetic and geometri	c mean returns.	

*Discount Rate Sensitivity Analysis.* The following table presents the Net Pension Liability of the pension plan using the discount rate of 7.25%, and what the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1% point lower (6.25%) or 1% point higher (8.25%) than the current rate.

	1% Decrease in		1% Increase in
	Discount Rate	Discount Rate	Discount Rate
	(6.25%)	(7.25%)	(8.25%)
District's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$ 38,504,412	\$ 17,620,876	\$ 677,990

*Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions.* At August 31, 2022, the District reported a net pension liability of \$17,620,876 for its proportionate share of the TRS' net pension liability. This liability reflects a reduction for State pension support provided to the District. The amount recognized by the District as its proportionate share of the net pension liability, the related State support, and the total portion of the net pension liability that was associated with the District were as follows:

District's proportionate share of the collective net pension liability	\$ 17,620,876
State's proportionate share that is associated with the District	 28,349,135
Total	\$ 45,970,011

The net pension liability was measured as of August 31, 2020 and rolled forward to August 31, 2021 and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The District's proportion of the net pension liability was based on the District's contributions to the pension plan relative to the contributions of all employers to the plan for the period September 1, 2020 through August 31, 2021.

For the fiscal years ended August 31, 2022 and 2021, the District's proportion of the collective Net Pension Liability was as follows:

 2022	2021	
 Measurement Year	Measurement Year	_
 8/31/2020	8/31/2019	Increase/(Decrease)
 0.0691924814%	0.0683889316%	0.0008035498%

Changes Since the Prior Actuarial Valuation.

There were no changes in assumptions since the prior measurement date.

For the year ended August 31, 2022, the District recognized pension expense of \$2,226,472 and revenue of \$113,336 for support provided by the State in the government-wide financial statements.

At August 31, 2022, the District reported its proportionate share of the TRS' deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	Ι	Deferred	Deferred
	O	ut flows of	Inflows of
	F	Resources	 Resources
Differences Between Expected and Actual Economic Experience	\$	29,488	\$ 1,240,524
Changes in Actuarial Assumptions		6,228,636	2,715,151
Difference Between Projected and Actual Investment Earnings		-	14,774,876
Changes in Proportion and Difference Between the Employer Contributions		1,587,717	173,565
and Proportionate Share of Contributions			
District Contributions Paid to TRS Subsequesnt to the Measurement Date of			
the Net Pension Liability		3,488,070	 -
Total	\$ 1	1,333,911	\$ 18,904,116

The net amounts of the District's balances of deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

	Pension Expense		
Year Ended August 31:	Amount		
2022	\$	(1,493,679)	
2023		(1,789,288)	
2024		(3,315,851)	
2025		(4,373,111)	
2026		(70,832)	
Thereafter		(15,514)	
	\$	(11,058,275)	

## **12.** OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

The District does not provide post employment healthcare benefits except those mandated by the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (COBRA) and TRS Care described above (Note 9). The requirements established by COBRA are fully funded by former employees who elect coverage under the Act, and no direct costs are incurred by the District.

# **13. RISK MANAGEMENT**

#### General

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to property/liability losses for which the District carries commercial insurance.

In addition, the District participated in the following TASB Risk Management Fund (the Fund) programs:

- Auto Liability
- Auto Physical Damage
- Privacy & Information Security
- School Liability

The Fund was created and is operated under the provisions of the Interlocal Cooperation Act, Chapter 791 of the Texas Government Code. All members participating in the Fund execute Interlocal Agreements that define the responsibilities of the parties.

The Fund purchases stop-loss coverage for protection against catastrophic and larger than anticipated claims for its Auto, Liability and Property Programs. The terms and limits of the stop-loss program vary by line of coverage. The Fund uses the services of an independent actuary to determine the adequacy of reserves and fully funs those reserves. For the year ended August 31, 2022, the Fund anticipates that Dickinson ISD has no additional liability beyond the contractual obligations for payment of contributions.

The Fund engages the services of an independent auditor to conduct a financial audit after the close of each plan year (August 31). The audit is accepted by the Fund's Board of Trustees in February of the following year. The Fund's audited financial statements as of August 31, 2021, are available on the TASB Risk Management Fund website and have been filed with the Texas Department of Insurance in Austin.

#### **Unemployment Compensation Pool**

During the year ended August 31, 2022, the District provided unemployment compensation coverage to its employees through participation in the TASB Risk Management Fund (the Fund). The Fund was created and is operated under the provisions of the Interlocal Cooperation Act, Chapter 791 of the Texas Government Code. The Fund's Unemployment Compensation Program is authorized by Section 22.005 of the Texas Education Code and Chapter

172 of the Texas Local Government Code. All members participating in the Fund execute Interlocal Agreements that define the responsibilities of the parties.

The Fund meets its quarterly obligation to the Texas Workforce Commission. Expenses are accrued monthly until the quarterly payment has been made. Expenses can be reasonably estimated; therefore, there is no need for specific or aggregate stop loss coverage for the Unemployment Compensation pool. For the year ended August 31, 2022, the Fund anticipates that Dickinson ISD has no additional liability beyond the contractual obligation for payment of contribution.

The Fund engages the services of an independent auditor to conduct a financial audit after the close of each plan year (August 31). The audit is accepted by the Fund's Board of Trustees in February of the following year. The Fund's audited financial statements as of August 31, 2021, are available on the TASB Risk Management Fund website and have been filed with the Texas Department of Insurance in Austin.

## **Health Insurance**

The District participates in the Teacher Retirement System of Texas Active Care health care coverage program. This program is a statewide program for public education employees established by the 77<sup>th</sup> Texas Legislature.

## Workers' Compensation

The District participates in the Texas Educational Insurance Association, a public entity risk pool operating as a common risk management and insurance program for member school districts. However, the District is a reimbursing member whereby it does not pay premiums but pays for claims and the cost of handling claims. As such, the District self-insures its worker's compensation claims which are administered by a third party, Claims Administrative Services, Inc.

Liabilities of the fund are reported when it is probable that a loss has occurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Liabilities also include an estimated amount for claims that have been incurred but not reported (IBNRs). The result of the process to estimate the claims liability is not an exact amount as it depends on many complex factors, such as inflation, changes in legal doctrines, and damage awards. Accordingly, claims are reevaluated periodically to consider the effects of inflation, recent claims settlement trends (including frequency and amount of pay-outs), and other economic and social factors. The estimate of claims liability also includes amounts for incremental claim adjustment expenses related to specific claims and other claim adjustment expenses regardless of whether allocated to specific claims. Estimated recoveries, for example from stop-loss or subrogation, are another component of the claims liability estimate. An excess coverage insurance policy covers individual claims in excess of \$225,000 up to the statutory limits for any given claim. The following is a summary of the change in the balance of claims liabilities for workers' compensation for the years ended August 31, 2021, and 2022, respectively:

Fiscal Year	eginning Balance					Ending Balance
2021 2022	\$ 852,366 860,963	\$ 230,342 394,790	\$	221,745 267,320	\$	860,963 988,433

# 14. CONSTRUCTION AND OTHER SIGNIFICANT COMMITMENTS

At August 31, 2022, the District had commitments under construction contracts totaling approximately \$35.4 million.

The District utilizes encumbrance accounting in its governmental funds. Encumbrances represent commitments related to contracts not yet performed (executory contracts), and are used to control expenditures for the year and to enhance cash management. A school district often issues purchase orders or signs contracts for the purchase of goods and services to be received in the future. At the time these commitments are made, which in its simplest form means when a purchase order is prepared, the appropriate accounts are checked for available funds. If an adequate balance exists, the amount of the order is immediately charged to the account to reduce the available balance for control purposes.

Prior to the end of the year, every effort should be made to liquidate outstanding encumbrances. When encumbrances are outstanding at year end, the District likely will honor the open purchase orders or contracts that support the encumbrances. For reporting purposes, outstanding encumbrances are not considered expenditures for the fiscal year, only a commitment to expend resources. If the District allows encumbrances to lapse, even though it plans to honor encumbrances, the appropriations authority expires and the items represented by the encumbrances are usually reappropriated in the following year's budget. Open encumbrances at fiscal year end are included in restricted, committed, or assigned fund balance, as appropriate.

At August 31, 2022, certain amounts which were previously restricted, committed, or assigned for specific purposes have been encumbered in the governmental funds. Significant encumbrances included in governmental fund balances are as follows:

Encumbrances Included in the Following Fund Balance:						
Fund	Restricted	Committed	Assigned	Total		
General Capital Projects	\$ 37,478,981	\$ -	\$ 1,851,108	\$ 1,851,108 37,478,981		
Total	\$ 37,478,981	\$ -	\$ 1,851,108	\$ 39,330,089		

# **15. CONTINGENT LIABILITIES**

The District is a defendant in various lawsuits. Although the outcome of these lawsuits is not presently determinable, in the opinion of management and the District's counsel, the resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the District.

Amounts received or receivable from grant agencies are subject to audit and adjustment by grantor agencies, principally the federal government. Any disallowed claims, including amounts already collected, may constitute a liability of the applicable funds. The amount, if any, of expenditures that may be disallowed by the grantor cannot be determined at this time, although the District expects such amounts, if any, to be immaterial.

# 16. SHARED SERVICE ARRANGEMENTS / JOINT VENTURES

The District is the fiscal agent for a Shared Service Arrangement ("SSA"), which provides educational services to students of member districts. In addition to the District, other member districts include Texas City ISD, Galveston ISD, and Clear Creek ISD. All services are provided by the fiscal agent. The member districts provide the funds to the fiscal agent. The District also receives funding from Galveston County for the program. According to guidance provided in TEA's Resource Guide, the District has accounted for the fiscal agent's activities of the SSA in Special Revenue Fund No. 446, Shared Services Arrangements – Transforming Lives Cooper using Model 3 in SSA section of the FASRG. Expenditures of the SSA are summarized below:

Dickinson Independent School District	\$ 9,378	
Texas City Independent School District	16,737	
Galveston Independent School District	586	
Clear Creek Independent School District	 1,889	
	28,590	
Galveston County (mandatory placement by judicial system)	72,018	
Dickinson Independent School District (Per Capita Apportionment)	 33,417	
	\$ 134,025	

The District is the fiscal agent for a Shared Service Arrangement ("SSA"), which provides educational services for students of member districts. In addition to the District, other member districts include Texas City ISD, Santa Fe ISD, Galveston ISD, and Hitchcock ISD. All services are provided by the fiscal agent. The member districts provide the funds to the fiscal agent. According to guidance provided in TEA's Resource Guide, the District has accounted for the fiscal agent's activities of the SSA in Special Revenue Fund No. 447, Shared Services Arrangements – Coastal Alternative Program using Model 3 in SSA section of the FASRG. Expenditures of the SSA are summarized below:

Dickinson Independent School District	\$ 137,212
Texas City Independent School District	119,821
Santa Fe Independent School District	68,543
Galveston Independent School District	64,481
Hitchcock Independent School District	 31,757
	\$ 421,814

The District is the fiscal agent for a Shared Service Arrangement ("SSA"), which provides disciplinary alternative education services for students within Galveston County. All services are provided by the fiscal agent. Galveston County provides the funds to the fiscal agent. The District also receives funding from the State of Texas based on student attendance data. The District accounts for the program in Fund No. 448, Shared Services Arrangements – Galveston County Detention Boot C. using Model 3 in SSA section of the FASRG. Expenditures of the SSA are summarized below:

Galveston County (mandatory placement by judicial system)	\$ 159,844
Dickinson Independent School District (Per Capita Apportionment)	84,287
	\$ 244,131

The District is the fiscal agent for a Shared Service Arrangement ("SSA"), which provides an educational environment for all students that ensures academic growth, emotional well-being, and positive social behaviors. All services are provided by the fiscal agent. Galveston County provides the funds to the fiscal agent. The District also receives funding from the State of Texas based on student attendance data. The District accounts for the program in Fund No. 449, Shared Services Arrangements – JJAEP Juvenile Justice AEP using Model 3 in SSA section of the FASRG. Expenditures of the SSA are summarized below:

Galveston County (mandatory placement by judicial system)	\$ 131,775
	\$ 131,775

# 17. RELATED ORGANIZATIONS

The Dickinson ISD Education Foundation ("Foundation"), a non-profit entity which was organized in 2007 to provide funds for District teaching and education programs, is a "related organization" as defined by GASB Statement No. 61. The members of the Board of Directors of the Foundation serve without financial compensation. The operations of the Foundation are not financially significant to the overall operations of the District, and therefore are not reported in the District's financial statements.

#### **18. DEFICIT NET POSITION**

At August 31, 2022, the District reported a deficit balance of \$78,191,387 on the statement of net position caused by the implementation of GASB statement No. 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions* and No. 75, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits other than Pensions*.

# **19. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

In preparing the financial statements, the District has evaluated subsequent events through January 6, 2023, the date the financials were available to be issued.

APPENDIX C

FORM OF BOND COUNSEL'S OPINION



Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP 600 Travis, Suite 4200 Houston, Texas 77002 +1.713.220.4200 Phone +1.713.220.4285 Fax HuntonAK.com

December 5, 2023

WE HAVE ACTED as Bond Counsel for the Dickinson Independent School District (the "District") in connection with an issue of bonds (the "Bonds") described as follows:

DICKINSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT UNLIMITED TAX REFUNDING BONDS, SERIES 2023, dated December 1, 2023, in the aggregate principal amount of \$32,905,000.

The Bonds mature, bear interest, are subject to redemption prior to maturity and may be transferred and exchanged as set out in the Bonds and in the order adopted by the Board of Trustees of the District authorizing their issuance (the "Bond Order") and the pricing certificate relating to the Bonds executed pursuant thereto (together with the Bond Order, the "Order").

WE HAVE ACTED as Bond Counsel for the sole purpose of rendering an opinion with respect to the legality and validity of the Bonds under the Constitution and laws of the State of Texas and with respect to the exclusion of interest on the Bonds from gross income under federal income tax law. In such capacity we have examined the Constitution and laws of the State of Texas; federal income tax law; and a transcript of certain certified proceedings pertaining to the issuance of the Bonds and the bonds that are being refunded (the "Refunded Bonds") with the proceeds of the Bonds, as described in the Order. The transcript contains certified copies of certain proceedings of the District and Computershare Trust Company, N.A. (the "Escrow Agent"); the report (the "Report") of Public Finance Partners LLC (the "Verification Agent"), which verifies the sufficiency of the deposits made with the Escrow Agent for the defeasance of the Refunded Bonds and the mathematical accuracy of certain computations of the yield on the Bonds and the obligations acquired with the proceeds of the Bonds; certain certifications and representations and other material facts within the knowledge and control of the District, upon which we rely; and certain other customary documents and instruments authorizing and relating to the issuance of the Bonds and the firm banking and financial arrangements for the discharge and final payment of the Refunded Bonds. We have also examined executed Bond No. I-1 of this issue.

WE HAVE NOT BEEN REQUESTED to examine, and have not investigated or verified, any original proceedings, records, data or other material, but have relied upon the transcript of certified proceedings. We have not assumed any responsibility with respect to the financial condition or capabilities of the District or the disclosure thereof in connection with the sale of the Bonds. Our role in connection with the District's Official Statement prepared for use in connection with the sale of the Bonds has been limited as described therein. BASED ON SUCH EXAMINATION, it is our opinion as follows:

- (1) The transcript of certified proceedings evidences complete legal authority for the issuance of the Bonds in full compliance with the Constitution and laws of the State of Texas presently in effect; the Bonds constitute valid and legally binding obligations of the District enforceable in accordance with the terms and conditions thereof, except to the extent that the rights and remedies of the owners of the Bonds may be limited by laws heretofore or hereafter enacted relating to bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium or other similar laws affecting the rights of creditors of political subdivisions and the exercise of judicial discretion in appropriate cases; and the Bonds have been authorized and delivered in accordance with law;
- (2) The Bonds are payable, both as to principal and interest, from the receipts of an annual ad valorem tax levied, without legal limit as to rate or amount, upon all taxable property located within the District, which taxes have been pledged irrevocably to pay the principal of and interest on the Bonds; and
- (3) The escrow agreement between the District and the Escrow Agent (the "Escrow Agreement") has been duly executed and delivered and constitutes a binding and enforceable agreement in accordance with its terms; the establishment of the Escrow Fund pursuant to the Escrow Agreement and the deposit made therein constitute the making of firm banking and financial arrangements for the discharge and final payment of the Refunded Bonds; in reliance upon the accuracy of the calculations contained in the Report, the Refunded Bonds, having been discharged and paid, are no longer outstanding and the lien on and pledge of ad valorem taxes and other revenues as set forth in the order authorizing their issuance will be appropriately and legally defeased; the holders of the Refunded Bonds may obtain payment of the principal of, redemption premium, if any, and interest in the Refunded Bonds only out of the funds provided therefor now held in escrow for that purpose by the Escrow Agent pursuant to the terms of the Escrow Agreement; and therefore the Refunded Bonds are deemed to be fully paid and no longer outstanding, except for the purpose of being paid from the funds provided therefor in such Escrow Agreement.

BASED ON OUR EXAMINATION AS DESCRIBED ABOVE, it is further our opinion that under current law and subject to the restrictions hereinafter described, interest on the Bonds (a) is not included in gross income for federal income tax purposes, (b) is not an item of tax preference for purposes of the federal alternative minimum tax and (c) is taken into account by applicable corporations (as defined in Section 59(k) of the Code) for the alternative minimum tax imposed on such corporations. The opinion in (a) and (b) of the preceding sentence is subject to the condition that there is compliance subsequent to the issuance of the Bonds with all requirements of the Code that must be satisfied in order that interest thereon not be included in gross income for federal income tax purposes. Failure by the District to comply with the Covenants (as defined below), among other things, could cause interest on the Bonds to be included in gross income for federal income tax purposes retroactively to their date of issue. The December 5, 2023 Page 3

District may in its discretion, but has not covenanted to, take any and all such actions as may be required by future changes in the Code and applicable regulations in order that interest on the Bonds remain excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes. We express no opinion regarding other federal tax consequences of the ownership of or receipt or accrual of interest on the Bonds.

IN PROVIDING THE FOREGOING OPINIONS, we have relied upon representations of the District with respect to matters solely within the knowledge of the District, which we have not independently verified, and have assumed the accuracy and completeness thereof.

EXCEPT AS DESCRIBED ABOVE, we express no opinion as to any federal, state or local tax consequences under present law, or future legislation, resulting from the ownership of, receipt or accrual of interest on, or the acquisition or disposition of, the Bonds. Prospective purchasers of the Bonds should be aware that the ownership of tax-exempt obligations, such as the Bonds, may result in collateral federal income tax consequences to, among others, financial institutions, life insurance companies, property and casualty insurance companies, certain foreign corporations doing business in the United States, certain S corporations with Subchapter C earnings and profits, individual recipients of Social Security or Railroad Retirement benefits, taxpayers who are deemed to have incurred or continued indebtedness to purchase or carry tax-exempt obligations, taxpayers owning an interest in a FASIT that holds tax-exempt obligations and individuals otherwise qualified for the earned income credit. For the foregoing reasons, prospective purchasers should consult their tax advisors as to the consequences of investing in the Bonds.

OUR OPINIONS ARE BASED ON EXISTING LAW, which is subject to change. Such opinions are further based on our knowledge of facts as of the date hereof. We assume no duty to update or supplement our opinions to reflect any facts or circumstances that may thereafter come to our attention or to reflect any changes in any law that may thereafter occur or become effective. Moreover, our opinions are not a guarantee of result and are not binding on the Internal Revenue Service; rather, such opinions represent our legal judgment based upon our review of existing law that we deem relevant to such opinions and in reliance upon the representations and covenants referenced above.

# APPENDIX D

# THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM

## THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM

This disclosure statement provides information relating to the program (the "Guarantee Program") administered by the Texas Education Agency (the "TEA") with respect to the Texas Permanent School Fund guarantee of taxsupported bonds issued by Texas school districts and the guarantee of revenue bonds issued by or for the benefit of Texas charter districts. The Guarantee Program was authorized by an amendment to the Texas Constitution in 1983 and is governed by Subchapter C of Chapter 45 of the Texas Education Code, as amended (the "Act"). While the Guarantee Program applies to bonds issued by or for both school districts and charter districts, as described below, the Act and the program rules for the two types of districts have some distinctions. For convenience of description and reference, those aspects of the Guarantee Program that are applicable to school district bonds and to charter district bonds are referred to herein as the "School District Bond Guarantee Program" and the "Charter District Bond Guarantee Program," respectively.

Some of the information contained in this Section may include projections or other forward-looking statements regarding future events or the future financial performance of the Texas Permanent School Fund (the "PSF" or the "Fund"). Actual results may differ materially from those contained in any such projections or forward-looking statements.

During the 87th Regular Session of the Texas Legislature (the "87th Regular Session"), which concluded on May 31, 2021, Senate Bill 1232 ("SB 1232" or "the bill") was enacted, and the bill became effective on September 1, 2021. SB 1232 provides for a variety of changes to the operations and management of the Fund, including the creation of the Permanent School Fund Corporation (the "PSF Corporation"), and the delegation of responsibility to manage the portion of the Fund previously under the management supervision of the State Board of Education (the "SBOE") to the PSF Corporation. SB 1232 also requires changes with respect to the management of certain investments previously made at the discretion of the Texas School Land Board (the "SLB"), including limiting the types of investments that may be made by the SLB and mandating the transfer of cash and certain of the authorizations of SB 1232, including the creation of the PSF Corporation have occurred, and other authorized changes are expected to be implemented in phases through the end of calendar year 2023. See "Management Transition to the PSF Corporation" for a summary of SB 1232 and its expected impact on the management and operations of the Fund.

The regular session of the 88th Texas Legislature (the "Legislature") is scheduled from January 10, 2023 to May 29, 2023. Thereafter, the Texas Governor may call one or more additional special sessions. During this time, the Legislature may enact laws that materially change current law as it relates to the Guarantee Program, the TEA, the SBOE, the Act, the PSF Corporation, and Texas school finance generally. No representation is made regarding any actions the Legislature may take, but the TEA, SBOE, and PSF Corporation intend to monitor proposed legislation for any developments applicable thereto.

#### **History and Purpose**

The PSF supports the State's public school system in two major ways: distributions to the constitutionally established Available School Fund (the "ASF"), as described below, and the guarantee of school district and charter district issued bonds through the Guarantee Program. The PSF was created in 1845 and received its first significant funding with a \$2,000,000 appropriation by the Legislature in 1854 expressly for the benefit of the public schools of Texas, with the sole purpose of assisting in the funding of public education for present and future generations. The Constitution of 1876 described that the PSF would be "permanent," and stipulated that certain lands and all proceeds from the sale of these lands should also constitute the PSF. Additional acts later gave more public domain land and rights to the PSF. In 1953, the U.S. Congress passed the Submerged Lands Act that relinquished to coastal states all rights of the U.S. navigable waters within state boundaries. If the State, by law, had set a larger boundary prior to or at the time of admission to the Union, or if the boundary had been approved by Congress, then the larger boundary applied. After three years of litigation (1957-1960), the U.S. Supreme Court on May 31, 1960, affirmed Texas' historic three marine leagues (10.35 miles) seaward boundary. Texas proved its submerged lands property rights to three leagues into the Gulf of Mexico by citing historic laws and treaties dating back to 1836. All lands lying within that limit belong to the PSF. The proceeds from the sale and the mineral-related rental of these lands, including bonuses, delay rentals and royalty payments, become the corpus of the Fund. Prior to the approval by the voters of the State of an amendment to the constitutional provision under which the Fund is established and administered, which occurred on September 13, 2003 (the "Total Return Constitutional Amendment"), and which is further described below, only the income produced

by the PSF could be used to complement taxes in financing public education, which primarily consisted of income from securities, capital gains from securities transactions and royalties from the sale of oil and natural gas. The Total Return Constitutional Amendment provides that interest and dividends produced by Fund investments will be additional revenue to the PSF.

On November 8, 1983, the voters of the State approved a constitutional amendment that provides for the guarantee by the PSF of bonds issued by school districts. On approval by the State Commissioner of Education (the "Education Commissioner"), bonds properly issued by a school district are fully guaranteed by the PSF. See "The School District Bond Guarantee Program."

In 2011, legislation was enacted that established the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program as a new component of the Guarantee Program. That legislation authorized the use of the PSF to guarantee revenue bonds issued by or for the benefit of certain open-enrollment charter schools that are designated as "charter districts" by the Education Commissioner. On approval by the Education Commissioner, bonds properly issued by a charter district participating in the Guarantee Program are fully guaranteed by the PSF. The Charter District Bond Guarantee Program became effective on March 3, 2014. See "The Charter District Bond Guarantee Program."

State law also permits charter schools to be chartered and operated by school districts and other political subdivisions, but bond financing of facilities for school district-operated charter schools is subject to the School District Bond Guarantee Program, not the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program.

While the School District Bond Guarantee Program and the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program relate to different types of bonds issued for different types of Texas public schools, and have different program regulations and requirements, a bond guaranteed under either part of the Guarantee Program has the same effect with respect to the guarantee obligation of the Fund thereto, and all guaranteed bonds are aggregated for purposes of determining the capacity of the Guarantee Program (see "Capacity Limits for the Guarantee Program"). The Charter District Bond Guarantee Program as enacted by State law has not been reviewed by any court, nor has the Texas Attorney General (the "Attorney General") been requested to issue an opinion, with respect to its constitutional validity.

Audited financial information for the PSF is provided annually through the PSF Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (the "Annual Report"), which is filed with the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board ("MSRB"). The SLB's land and real assets investment operations, which are part of the PSF as described below, are also included in the annual financial report of the Texas General Land Office (the "GLO") that is included in the comprehensive annual report of the State of Texas. The Annual Report includes the Message of the Executive Administrator of the Fund (the "Message") and the Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A"). The Annual Report for the year ended August 31, 2022, as filed with the MSRB in accordance with the PSF undertaking and agreement made in accordance with Rule 15c2-12 ("Rule 15c2-12") of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), as described below, is hereby incorporated by reference into this disclosure. Information included herein for the year ended August 31, 2022 is derived from the audited financial statements of the PSF, which are included in the Annual Report as it is filed and posted. Reference is made to the Annual Report for the complete Message and MD&A for the year ended August 31, 2022 and for a description of the financial results of the PSF for the year ended August 31, 2022, the most recent year for which audited financial information regarding the Fund is available. The 2022 Annual Report speaks only as of its date and the TEA has not obligated itself to update the 2022 Annual Report or any other Annual Report. The TEA posts (i) each Annual Report, which includes statistical data regarding the Fund as of the close of each fiscal year, (ii) the most recent disclosure for the Guarantee Program, (iii) the PSF Corporation's Investment Policy Statement (the "IPS"), and (iv) monthly updates with respect to the capacity of the Guarantee Program (collectively, the "Web Site Materials") on the TEA web site at http://tea.texas.gov/Finance and Grants/Permanent School Fund/ and with the MSRB at www.emma.msrb.org. Such monthly updates regarding the Guarantee Program are also incorporated herein and made a part hereof for all purposes. In addition to the Web Site Materials, the Fund is required to make quarterly filings with the SEC under Section 13(f) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Such filings, which consist of a list of the Fund's holdings of securities specified in Section 13(f), including exchange-traded (e.g., NYSE) or NASDAQ-quoted stocks, equity options and warrants, shares of closed-end investment companies and certain convertible debt securities, is available from the SEC at www.sec.gov/edgar. A list of the Fund's equity and fixed income holdings as of August 31 of each year is posted to the TEA web site and filed with the MSRB. Such list excludes holdings in the Fund's securities lending program. Such list, as filed, is incorporated herein and made a part hereof for all purposes. See "Management Transition to the PSF Corporation" for a discussion of the PSF Corporation audit. At its November 2022 quarterly

board meeting, the SBOE considered new regulations for the administration of the Bond Guarantee Program. Two readings and a publication period are required for modifications to the Texas Administrative Code, and such process (the "Regulatory Recodification") was completed in February 2023, with the new regulations becoming effective March 1, 2023. The Regulatory Recodification was taken as an acknowledgment of the new role and powers that are delegated to the PSF Corporation. Among other regulations affecting the Fund that were restructured include the Statement of Investment Objectives, Policies and Guidelines of the Texas Permanent School Fund, which is codified at 19 Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 33 (recodified in part and replaced in part by the IPS).

#### Management and Administration of the Fund

The following discussion describes some legal and management aspects of the structure of the Fund prior to full implementation of SB 1232. SB 1232 is being implemented in phases. See "Management Transition to the PSF Corporation" for summaries of certain laws applicable to the Fund pursuant to the Texas Constitution and SB 1232 as well as certain prior actions and the ongoing changes in the management structure of the Fund.

The Texas Constitution and applicable statutes delegate to the SBOE the authority and responsibility for investment of the PSF's financial assets, but SB 1232 authorized the SBOE to delegate management of the Fund to the Corporation, which, as noted above, has been done. The SBOE consists of 15 members who are elected by territorial districts in the State to four year terms of office.

The Texas Constitution provides that the Fund shall be managed though the exercise of the judgment and care under the circumstances then prevailing which persons of ordinary prudence, discretion and intelligence exercise in the management of their own affairs, not in regard to speculation, but in regard to the permanent disposition of their funds, considering the probable income therefrom as well as the probable safety of their capital (the "Prudent Person Standard").

In accordance with the Texas Constitution, the SBOE views the PSF as a perpetual endowment, and the Fund is managed as an endowment fund with a long-term investment horizon. Under the total-return investment objective, the IPS provides that the PSF shall be managed consistently with respect to the following: support for public free schools in Texas, real growth in Fund asset values, protection of Fund capital, and the provision of sustained income distributions to current and future generations of Texas school children. As described below, the Total Return Constitutional Amendment restricts the annual pay-out from the Fund to both (i) 6% of the average of the market value of the Fund, excluding real property, on the last day of each of the sixteen State fiscal quarters preceding the Regular Session of the Legislature that begins before that State fiscal biennium, and (ii) the total-return on all investment assets of the Fund over a rolling ten-year period.

By law, the Education Commissioner is appointed by the Governor, with Senate confirmation, and assists the SBOE, but the Education Commissioner can neither be hired nor dismissed by the SBOE. The PSF Corporation has also engaged outside counsel to advise it as to its duties with respect to the Fund, including specific actions regarding the investment of the PSF to ensure compliance with fiduciary standards, and to provide transactional advice in connection with the investment of Fund assets in non-traditional investments. TEA's General Counsel provides legal advice to the SBOE but will not provide legal advice directly to the PSF Corporation.

The Total Return Constitutional Amendment shifted administrative costs of the Fund from the ASF to the PSF, providing that expenses of managing the PSF are to be paid "by appropriation" from the PSF. In January 2005, the Attorney General issued a legal opinion, Op. Tex. Att'y Gen. No. GA-0293 (2005), stating that the Total Return Constitutional Amendment does not require the SBOE to pay from such appropriated PSF funds the indirect management costs deducted from the assets of a mutual fund or other investment company in which PSF funds have been invested.

The Act requires that the Education Commissioner prepare, and the SBOE approve, an annual status report on the Guarantee Program (which is included in the Annual Report). The State Auditor audits the financial statements of the PSF, which are separate from other financial statements of the State.

Prior to the enactment of SB 1232, Texas law assigned to the SLB control of the Fund's land and mineral rights and authority to invest in certain real assets. Administrative duties related to these assets have in the past resided with the GLO, which is under the guidance of the elected commissioner of the GLO (the "Land Commissioner").

In 2019, the Texas Legislature enacted legislation that created a "permanent school fund liquid account" (the "Liquid Account") in the PSF for the purpose of the SBOE receiving, administering, and investing funds transferred from the SLB on a quarterly basis that are not then invested by the SLB or needed within the forthcoming quarter. On January 1, 2023, management of the Liquid Account transferred from the SBOE to the PSF Corporation. The bill grants the PSF Corporation authority and discretion to abolish the Liquid Account when its purpose has been resolved and transfer any remaining balance to the Fund.

# Management Transition to the PSF Corporation

In accordance with SB 1232, at its November 2021 board meeting, the SBOE approved the articles of formation of the PSF Corporation. The articles were filed on December 1, 2021, thus effecting the creation of the PSF Corporation. SB 1232 authorizes the SBOE to delegate investment authority over the PSF and the Charter District Reserve Fund to the PSF Corporation. The bill also provides that the PSF Corporation, the SBOE and TEA must coordinate to determine the PSF Corporation's role in the operation and management of the Guarantee Program to ensure the proper and efficient operation of the program.

The description of SB 1232 that follows summarizes some key provisions of the bill. The full text of the bill can be found at https://capitol.texas.gov/BillLookup/Text.aspx?LegSess=87R&Bill=SB1232. SB 1232 provides for various transition dates relating to implementation of the bill, with the latest dates generally occurring in calendar year 2023. As noted above, on January 1, 2023 the investment management responsibilities for the Fund transferred to the PSF Corporation and the merger of Fund assets previously managed by the SLB with those previously managed by the SBOE.

As allowed by SB 1232, the PSF Corporation has been created as a special-purpose governmental corporation and instrumentality of the State which is entitled to sovereign immunity. The PSF Corporation is governed by a ninemember board of directors (the "PSFC Board"), which consists of five members of the SBOE, the Land Commissioner, and three appointed members who have substantial background and expertise in investments and asset management; with one of the appointees being appointed by the Land Commissioner and the other two appointed by the Governor (one of which is currently vacant) with confirmation by the Senate.

At the inaugural meeting of the PSFC Board in January 2022, the PSFC Board appointed the Executive Administrator of the Fund as the interim chief executive officer of the PSF Corporation and in April 2022 the Executive Administrator of the Fund was confirmed as the chief executive officer of the PSF Corporation. In July 2023, the PSFC Board appointed an Acting chief executive officer to perform those duties while the PSFC Board conducts a search to hire a permanent replacement for the chief executive officer who retired at the end of March. The PSFC Board adopted bylaws governing how it will manage its affairs and conduct business. The chief executive officer reports to the PSFC Board. Any amendments to the PSF Corporation's articles of formation and bylaws will be adopted by the PSFC Board but are subject to approval by the SBOE. At its March 2023 meeting, the PSFC Board approved its securities lending policy.

Notwithstanding the management transition for the Fund from the SBOE to the PSF Corporation, the provisions of the Texas Constitution that formerly applied to the SBOE's management will continue to provide a framework for the management of the Fund. In particular, the Prudent Person Standard is applicable to the PSF Corporation, and the Total Return Constitutional Amendment will govern distributions from the PSF to the ASF by the SBOE. A separate constitutional provision allowing distributions from the PSF to the ASF that is currently used by the SLB was also granted to the PSF Corporation. When determining any amount to distribute, the PSF Corporation may consider distributions made by the SBOE. In addition, the Fund will continue to be managed as a perpetual endowment for the benefit of citizens of the State.

The SLB's investments in real estate investment funds and real asset investment funds will transfer to the PSF Corporation. Beginning December 31, 2022, the SLB is no longer authorized to make investments into funds; however, the SLB will still be able to invest in land, mineral and royalty interests, and individual real estate holdings; the SLB will also be required to send PSF mineral revenue to the PSF Corporation for investment, subject to designation via the appropriations process to cover GLO expenses of managing the minerals.

Not less than once each year, the PSFC Board must submit an audit report to the Legislative Budget Board ("LBB") regarding the operations of the PSF Corporation. The PSF Corporation may contract with a certified public accountant

or the State Auditor to conduct an independent audit of the operations of the PSF Corporation, but such authorization does not affect the State Auditor's authority to conduct an audit of the PSF Corporation in accordance with other State laws. The first audit of the PSF Corporation will be conducted following the close of the 2022-2023 fiscal year on August 31, 2023.

As required by State law, during the 87th Regular Session the LBB issued a fiscal note on SB 1232. The fiscal note stated that uncertainty exists regarding the nature of future returns and the effect of the bill on distributions from all components of the PSF to the ASF, such that the financial impact of the bill could not be determined during the legislative session. However, the fiscal note stated that TEA and the GLO projected that the changes effected by the bill will have a positive fiscal impact in terms of growth of the Fund and future Fund distributions. No assurances can be given as to future investment results for the Fund.

With respect to the 2024-2025 State biennium, and for subsequent biennia, the PSF Corporation is required to submit a legislative appropriations request ("LAR") to the LBB and the Office of the Governor that details a request for appropriation of funds to enable the PSF Corporation to carry out its responsibilities for the investment management of the Fund. The requested funding, budget structure, and riders are sufficient to fully support all operations of the PSF Corporation in state fiscal years 2024 and 2025. As described therein, the LAR is designed to provide the PSF Corporation with the ability to operate as a stand-alone state entity in the State budget while retaining the flexibility to fulfill its fiduciary duty and provide oversight and transparency to the Legislature and Governor.

# The Total Return Constitutional Amendment

The Total Return Constitutional Amendment approved a fundamental change in the way that distributions are made to the ASF from the PSF. Prior to the adoption of the Total Return Constitutional Amendment, all interest and dividend income produced by Fund investments flowed into the ASF, where they were distributed to local school districts and open-enrollment charter schools based on average daily attendance, any net gains from investments of the Fund were reflected in the value of the PSF, and costs of administering the PSF were allocated to the ASF. The Total Return Constitutional Amendment requires that PSF distributions to the ASF be determined using a "total-return-based" formula instead of the "current-income-based" formula, which was used from 1964 to the end of the 2003 fiscal year. The Total Return Constitutional Amendment provides that the total amount distributed from the Fund to the ASF: (1) in each year of a State fiscal biennium must be an amount that is not more than 6% of the average of the market value of the Fund, excluding real property (the "Distribution Rate"), on the last day of each of the sixteen State fiscal quarters preceding the Regular Session of the Legislature that begins before that State fiscal biennium, in accordance with the rate adopted by: (a) a vote of two-thirds of the total membership of the SBOE, taken before the Regular Session of the Legislature convenes or (b) the Legislature by general law or appropriation, if the SBOE does not adopt a rate as provided by clause (a); and (2) over the ten-year period consisting of the current State fiscal year and the nine preceding State fiscal years may not exceed the total return on all investment assets of the Fund over the same ten-year period (the "Ten Year Total Return"). In April 2009, the Attorney General issued a legal opinion, Op. Tex. Att'y Gen. No. GA-0707 (2009) ("GA-0707"), with regard to certain matters pertaining to the Distribution Rate and the determination of the Ten Year Total Return. In GA-0707 the Attorney General opined, among other advice, that (i) the Ten Year Total Return should be calculated on an annual basis, (ii) a contingency plan adopted by the SBOE, to permit monthly transfers equal in aggregate to the annual Distribution Rate to be halted and subsequently made up if such transfers temporarily exceed the Ten Year Total Return, is not prohibited by State law, provided that such contingency plan applies only within a fiscal year time basis, not on a biennium basis, and (iii) that the amount distributed from the Fund in a fiscal year may not exceed 6% of the average of the market value of the Fund or the Ten Year Total Return. In accordance with GA-0707, in the event that the Ten Year Total Return is exceeded during a fiscal year, transfers to the ASF will be halted. However, if the Ten Year Total Return subsequently increases during that biennium, transfers may be resumed, if the SBOE has provided for that contingency, and made in full during the remaining period of the biennium, subject to the limit of 6% in any one fiscal year. Any shortfall in the transfer that results from such events from one biennium may not be paid over to the ASF in a subsequent biennium as the SBOE would make a separate payout determination for that subsequent biennium.

In determining the Distribution Rate, the SBOE has adopted the goal of maximizing the amount distributed from the Fund in a manner designed to preserve "intergenerational equity." The definition of intergenerational equity that the SBOE has generally followed is the maintenance of purchasing power to ensure that endowment spending keeps pace with inflation, with the ultimate goal being to ensure that current and future generations are given equal levels of purchasing power in real terms. In making this determination, the SBOE takes into account various considerations,

and relies upon PSF Corporation and TEA staff and external investment consultants, which undertake analysis for long-term projection periods that includes certain assumptions. Among the assumptions used in the analysis are a projected rate of growth of student enrollment State-wide, the projected contributions and expenses of the Fund, projected returns in the capital markets and a projected inflation rate.

On November 8, 2011, a referendum was held in the State at which voters of the State approved amendments that effected an increase to the base amount used in calculating the Distribution Rate from the Fund to the ASF and authorized the SLB to make direct transfers to the ASF, as described below.

The November 8, 2011, referendum included an increase to the base used to calculate the Distribution Rate by adding to the calculation base certain discretionary real assets and cash in the Fund that is managed by entities other than the SBOE (at present, by the SLB). The value of those assets was already included in the value of the Fund for purposes of the Guarantee Program, but prior to the amendment had not been included in the calculation base for purposes of making transfers from the Fund to the ASF. While the amendment provided for an increase in the base for the calculation of approximately \$2 billion, no new resources were provided for deposit to the Fund. As described under "The Total Return Constitutional Amendment" the SBOE is prevented from approving a Distribution Rate or making a pay out from the Fund if the amount distributed would exceed 6% of the average of the market value of the Fund, excluding real property in the Fund, but including discretionary real asset investments on the last day of each of the sixteen State fiscal quarters preceding the Regular Session of the Legislature that begins before that State fiscal biennium or if such pay out would exceed the Ten Year Total Return.

The constitutional amendments approved on November 8, 2011, also provided authority to the GLO or another entity (described in statute as the SLB) that has responsibility for the management of revenues derived from land or other properties of the PSF to determine whether to transfer an amount each year to the ASF from the revenue derived during the current year from such land or properties. Prior to November 2019, the amount authorized to be transferred to the ASF from the GLO or SLB was limited to \$300 million per year. On November 5, 2019, a constitutional amendment was approved by State voters that increased the maximum transfer to the ASF to \$600 million each year from the revenue derived during that year from the PSF from the GLO, the SBOE or another entity to the extent such entity has the responsibility for the management of revenues derived from such land or other properties. Any amount transferred to the ASF pursuant to this constitutional provision is excluded from the 6% Distribution Rate limitation applicable to SBOE transfers.

The following table shows amounts distributed to the ASF from the portions of the Fund administered by the SBOE (the "PSF(SBOE)") and the SLB (the "PSF(SLB)").

> 2022\$1.731 \$415

> > \$432

Fiscal Year Ending	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
PSF(SBOE) Distribution	\$1,021	\$839	\$839	\$1,056	\$1,056	\$1,236	\$1,236	\$1,102	\$1,102
PSF(SLB) Distribution	\$300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$300	\$600	$600^{(2)}$
Per Student Distribution	\$281	\$175	\$173	\$215	\$212	\$247	\$306	\$347	\$341

# Annual Distributions to the Available School Fund<sup>(1)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> In millions of dollars. Source: PSF Annual Report for year ended August 31, 2022.

<sup>(2)</sup> In September 2020, the SBOE approved a special, one-time transfer of \$300 million from the portion of the PSF managed by the SBOE to the portion of the PSF managed by the SLB, which amount is to be transferred to the ASF by the SLB in fiscal year 2021. In approving the special transfer, the SBOE determined that the transfer was in the best interest of the PSF due to the historic nature of the public health and economic circumstances resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic and its impact on the school children of Texas.

In November 2022, the SBOE approved a \$3.1 billion distribution to the ASF for State fiscal biennium 2024-2025. In making its determination of the 2024-2025 Distribution Rate, the SBOE took into account the announced planned distribution to the ASF by the SLB of \$1.2 billion for the biennium.

Efforts to achieve the intergenerational equity objective, as described above, result in changes in the Distribution Rate for each biennial period. The following table sets forth the Distribution Rates announced by the SBOE in the fall of each even numbered year to be applicable for the following biennium.

State Fiscal Biennium	2008-09	2010-11	2012-13	<u>2014-15</u>	2016-17	<u>2018-19</u>	2020-21	2022-23	2024-25
SBOE Distribution Rate <sup>(1)</sup>	3.5%	2.5%	4.2%	3.3%	3.5%	3.7%	2.974%	4.18%	3.32% <sup>(2)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes only distributions made to the ASF by the SBOE; see the immediately preceding table for amounts of direct SLB distributions to the ASF. In addition, the SLB approved transfers of \$600 million per year directly to the ASF for fiscal biennium 2024-25. <sup>(2)</sup> The distribution rate approved by the SBOE for fiscal biennium 2024-25 was based on a number of assumptions, including a mid- to long-term

<sup>(2)</sup> The distribution rate approved by the SBOE for fiscal biennium 2024-25 was based on a number of assumptions, including a mid- to long-term expected return rate for the Fund of 6.35% and a rate of inflation measured by the consumer price index of 2.70% according to the policy adopted by the SBOE in June 2022.

#### **Asset Allocation of Fund Portfolios**

With respect to the management of the Fund's financial assets portfolio, the single most significant change made to date as a result of the Total Return Constitutional Amendment has been new asset allocation policies adopted from time to time by the SBOE. The SBOE historically reviewed the asset allocations during its summer meeting in evennumbered years. The first asset allocation policy adopted by the SBOE following the Total Return Constitutional Amendment was in February 2004, and the policy was reviewed and modified or reaffirmed in the summers of each even-numbered year, most recently in June 2022. The IPS (effective January 1, 2023) provides for minimum and maximum ranges among the components of each of the asset classifications: equities, fixed income, and alternatives. The alternatives category includes absolute return, private equity, real estate, emerging manager program, real return, natural resources, and infrastructure components. Alternative asset classes diversify the managed assets of the PSF and are not as correlated to traditional asset classes, which is intended to increase investment returns over the long run while reducing risk and return volatility of the portfolio. Given the greater weighting in the overall portfolio of traditional investments, it is expected that the Fund will reflect the general performance returns of the markets in which the Fund is invested.

Prior to the effective date of the IPS, the most recent asset allocation of the PSF(SBOE), was approved by the SBOE in June 2022, and is set forth below, along with the asset allocations of the PSF(SLB) and Liquid Account that were effective June 2022.

	PSF (SPOE)	PSF (SLP)	Liquid
Equity Total	<u>(SBOE)</u> 55%	<u>(SLB)</u> 0%	Account 77%
Dublic Equity Total	37%	0%	77%
Public Equity Total Large Cap US Equity	14%	0%	38%
Small/Mid Cap US Equity	6%	0%	10%
International Equities	14%	0%	29%
Emerging Markets Equity	3%	0%	0%
Private Equity	18%	0%	0%
Fixed Income Total	22%	0%	21%
Core Bonds	12%	0%	16%
Non-Core Bonds (High Yield & Bank Loans)	4%	0%	0%
Emerging Markets Debt	3%	0%	0%
Treasuries	3%	0%	0%
TIPS	0%	0%	5%
Short Duration	0%	0%	0%
Alternative Investments Total	22%	100%	0%
Absolute Return	7%	0%	0%
Real Estate	11%	33%	0%
Real Return	4%	0%	0%
Energy	0%	31%	0%
Infrastructure	0%	36%	0%
Emerging Manager Program	1%	0%	0%
Cash	0%	0%	2%

#### **PSF 2022 Strategic Asset Allocations**

#### **PSF Corporation 2023 Strategic Asset Allocation**

Effective January 1, 2023, the IPS includes a combined asset allocation for all Fund assets (consisting of assets transferred for management to the PSF Corporation from the SBOE, the SLB and the Liquid Account). The IPS provides that the Fund's investment objectives are as follows:

- Generate distributions for the benefit of public schools in Texas;
- Maintain the purchasing power of the Fund, after spending and inflation, in order to maintain intergenerational equity with respect to distributions from the Fund;
- Provide a maximum level of return consistent with prudent risk levels, while maintaining sufficient liquidity needed to support Fund obligations; and
- Maintain a AAA credit rating, as assigned by a nationally recognized securities rating organization.

The table below sets forth the asset allocation of the Fund beginning January 1, 2023.

Asset ClassAllocationRangeEquities15%+/- 3.0%Large Cap US Equity6%+/- 1.0%Non-US Developed Equity10%+/- 3.0%Emerging Market Equity $6\%$ +/- 1.0%Total Equity $6\%$ +/- 1.0%Total Equity $37\%$ $1\%$ Fixed Income $11\%$ +/- 2.0%Core Bonds $11\%$ +/- 2.0%Non-Core Bonds (High Yield & Bank Loans) $3\%$ +/- 3.0%Emerging Market Debt (Local Currency)2%+/- 2.0%U.S. Treasuries $22\%$ +/- 2.0%Total Fixed Income18%Cash Equivalents $0\%$ +/- 4.0%Real Estate12%+/- 4.0%Emerging Manger Program (Private Equity/ Real Estate)1%+/- 1.0%Private Equity (Commodities & U.S. Treasury Inflation $4\%$ +/- 1.0%Private Real Assets – Natural Resources $3\%$ +/- 2.0%Private Real Assets – Natural Resources $3\%$ +/- 2.0%Private Real Assets – Infrastructure $4\%$ +/- 2.0%Private Real Assets – Infrastructure $4\%$ +/- 2.0%		Strategic Asset	
Large Cap US Equity $15\%$ $+/-3.0\%$ Small/Mid Cap US Equity $6\%$ $+/-1.0\%$ Non-US Developed Equity $10\%$ $+/-3.0\%$ Emerging Market Equity $6\%$ $+/-1.0\%$ Total Equity $6\%$ $+/-1.0\%$ Total Equity $37\%$ $+/-2.0\%$ Fixed Income $2\%$ $+/-2.0\%$ Core Bonds $11\%$ $+/-2.0\%$ Non-Core Bonds (High Yield & Bank Loans) $3\%$ $+/-2.0\%$ Emerging Market Debt (Local Currency) $2\%$ $+/-2.0\%$ U.S. Treasuries $2\%$ $+/-2.0\%$ Total Fixed Income $18\%$ $-/-2.0\%$ Cash Equivalents $0\%$ $-/-2.0\%$ Alternatives $0\%$ $-/-2.0\%$ Absolute Return $6\%$ $+/-1.0\%$ Private Equity $15\%$ $+/-4.0\%$ Emerging Manager Program (Private Equity/ Real Estate) $1\%$ Protected Securities (TIPS)) $-/-2.0\%$ Private Real Assets – Natural Resources $3\%$ Private Real Assets – Infrastructure $4\%$ $4\%$ $+/-2.0\%$	Asset Class	Allocation	Range
Small/Mid Cap US Equity6%+/- 1.0%Non-US Developed Equity10%+/- 3.0%Emerging Market Equity6%+/- 1.0%Total Equity6%+/- 1.0%Total Equity37%-/- 1.0%Fixed Income-/- 2.0%Core Bonds11%+/- 2.0%Non-Core Bonds (High Yield & Bank Loans)3%+/- 3.0%Emerging Market Debt (Local Currency)2%+/- 2.0%U.S. Treasuries22%+/- 2.0%Total Fixed Income18%-/- 2.0%Cash Equivalents0%-/- 2.0%Alternatives-/- 2.0%-/- 2.0%Real Estate12%+/- 4.0%Emerging Manager Program (Private Equity/ Real Estate)1%+/- 1.0%Private Real Assets – Natural Resources3%+/- 1.5%Private Real Assets – Infrastructure4%+/- 2.0%	Equities		
Non-US Developed Equity10%+/- $3.0\%$ Emerging Market Equity $6\%$ +/- $1.0\%$ Total Equity $37\%$ +/- $2.0\%$ Fixed IncomeCore Bonds $11\%$ Core Bonds $11\%$ +/- $2.0\%$ Non-Core Bonds (High Yield & Bank Loans) $3\%$ +/- $3.0\%$ Emerging Market Debt (Local Currency) $2\%$ +/- $2.0\%$ U.S. Treasuries $2\%$ +/- $2.0\%$ Total Fixed Income $18\%$ +/- $2.0\%$ Cash Equivalents $0\%$ +/- $4.0\%$ Real Estate $12\%$ +/- $4.0\%$ Emerging Manager Program (Private Equity/ Real Estate) $1\%$ +/- $4.0\%$ Protected Securities (TIPS)) $1\%$ +/- $1.5\%$ Private Real Assets – Natural Resources $3\%$ +/- $2.0\%$ Private Real Assets – Infrastructure $4\%$ +/- $2.0\%$		15%	+/- 3.0%
Emerging Market Equity $\frac{6\%}{37\%}$ $+/-1.0\%$ <i>Total Equity</i> $\frac{6\%}{37\%}$ $+/-1.0\%$ <i>Fixed Income</i> $11\%$ $+/-2.0\%$ Core Bonds $11\%$ $+/-2.0\%$ Non-Core Bonds (High Yield & Bank Loans) $3\%$ $+/-3.0\%$ Emerging Market Debt (Local Currency) $2\%$ $+/-2.0\%$ U.S. Treasuries $\frac{2\%}{2\%}$ $+/-2.0\%$ <i>Total Fixed Income</i> $18\%$ $-/-2.0\%$ Cash Equivalents $0\%$ $-/-2.0\%$ Absolute Return $6\%$ $+/-1.0\%$ Private Equity $15\%$ $+/-4.0\%$ Real Estate $12\%$ $+/-4.0\%$ Emerging Manager Program (Private Equity/ Real Estate) $1\%$ Protected Securities (TIPS)) $-/-1.0\%$ Private Real Assets – Natural Resources $3\%$ Private Real Assets – Infrastructure $4\%$ $4\%$ $+/-2.0\%$	Small/Mid Cap US Equity	6%	+/- 1.0%
Total Equity $37\%$ Fixed Income Core Bonds $11\%$ $+/-2.0\%$ Non-Core Bonds (High Yield & Bank Loans) $3\%$ $+/-3.0\%$ Emerging Market Debt (Local Currency) $2\%$ $+/-2.0\%$ U.S. Treasuries $2\%$ $+/-2.0\%$ Total Fixed Income $18\%$ $-/-2.0\%$ Cash Equivalents $0\%$ $-/-2.0\%$ Private Equity $15\%$ $+/-4.0\%$ Real Estate $12\%$ $+/-4.0\%$ Emerging Manager Program (Private Equity/ Real Estate) $1\%$ $+/-1.0\%$ Private Real Return (Commodities & U.S. Treasury Inflation $4\%$ $+/-1.5\%$ Protected Securities (TIPS)) $-/-2.0\%$ $-/-2.0\%$ Private Real Assets – Natural Resources $3\%$ $+/-2.0\%$	Non-US Developed Equity		+/- 3.0%
Fixed IncomeCore Bonds $11\%$ $+/-2.0\%$ Non-Core Bonds (High Yield & Bank Loans) $3\%$ $+/-3.0\%$ Emerging Market Debt (Local Currency) $2\%$ $+/-2.0\%$ U.S. Treasuries $22\%$ $+/-2.0\%$ Total Fixed Income $18\%$ Cash Equivalents $0\%$ Alternatives $0\%$ Absolute Return $6\%$ Private Equity $15\%$ Real Estate $12\%$ Emerging Manager Program (Private Equity/ Real Estate) $1\%$ How the end of the	Emerging Market Equity	<u>6%</u>	+/- 1.0%
Core Bonds $11\%$ $+/- 2.0\%$ Non-Core Bonds (High Yield & Bank Loans) $3\%$ $+/- 3.0\%$ Emerging Market Debt (Local Currency) $2\%$ $+/- 2.0\%$ U.S. Treasuries $2\%$ $+/- 2.0\%$ Total Fixed Income $18\%$ Cash Equivalents $0\%$ Alternatives $0\%$ Absolute Return $6\%$ Private Equity $15\%$ Real Estate $12\%$ Emerging Manager Program (Private Equity/ Real Estate) $1\%$ Protected Securities (TIPS)) $1\%$ Private Real Assets – Natural Resources $3\%$ Private Real Assets – Infrastructure $4\%$ $4\%$ $+/- 2.0\%$	Total Equity	37%	
Core Bonds $11\%$ $+/- 2.0\%$ Non-Core Bonds (High Yield & Bank Loans) $3\%$ $+/- 3.0\%$ Emerging Market Debt (Local Currency) $2\%$ $+/- 2.0\%$ U.S. Treasuries $2\%$ $+/- 2.0\%$ Total Fixed Income $18\%$ Cash Equivalents $0\%$ Alternatives $0\%$ Absolute Return $6\%$ Private Equity $15\%$ Real Estate $12\%$ Emerging Manager Program (Private Equity/ Real Estate) $1\%$ Protected Securities (TIPS)) $1\%$ Private Real Assets – Natural Resources $3\%$ Private Real Assets – Infrastructure $4\%$ $4\%$ $+/- 2.0\%$			
Non-Core Bonds (High Yield & Bank Loans)3%+/- 3.0%Emerging Market Debt (Local Currency)2%+/- 2.0%U.S. Treasuries2%+/- 2.0%Total Fixed Income18%Cash Equivalents0%Alternatives0%Alternatives15%Absolute Return6%Private Equity15%Real Estate12%Emerging Manager Program (Private Equity/ Real Estate)1%Protected Securities (TIPS))4%Private Real Assets – Natural Resources3%Private Real Assets – Infrastructure4%4%+/- 2.0%			
Emerging Market Debt (Local Currency)2%+/- 2.0%U.S. Treasuries2%+/- 2.0%Total Fixed Income18%Cash Equivalents0%Alternatives0%Absolute Return6%Private Equity15%Real Estate12%Emerging Manager Program (Private Equity/ Real Estate)1%How on the equities & U.S. Treasury Inflation4%Private Real Assets – Natural Resources3%Private Real Assets – Infrastructure4%H-2.0%			
U.S. Treasuries2%+/- 2.0%Total Fixed Income18%Cash Equivalents0%Alternatives0%Absolute Return6%Private Equity15%Real Estate12%Emerging Manager Program (Private Equity/ Real Estate)1%H/- 4.0%Emerging Manager Program (Private Equity/ Real Estate)1%Private Real Assets – Natural Resources3%Private Real Assets – Infrastructure4%H/- 2.0%		• • •	
Total Fixed Income18%Cash Equivalents0%Alternatives Absolute Return0%Private Equity15%Private Equity15%Real Estate12%Emerging Manager Program (Private Equity/ Real Estate)1%H-1.0%Real Return (Commodities & U.S. Treasury Inflation4%Private Real Assets – Natural Resources3%Private Real Assets – Infrastructure4%H-2.0%			
Cash Equivalents0%Alternatives6%Absolute Return6%Private Equity15%Real Estate12%Emerging Manager Program (Private Equity/ Real Estate)1%H/- 4.0%Emerging Manager Program (Private Equity/ Real Estate)1%Protected Securities & U.S. Treasury Inflation4%Protected Securities (TIPS))Private Real Assets – Natural Resources3%Private Real Assets – Infrastructure4%+/- 2.0%			+/- 2.0%
AlternativesAbsolute Return6%Private Equity15%Private Equity15%Real Estate12%Emerging Manager Program (Private Equity/ Real Estate)1%H 1.0%Real Return (Commodities & U.S. Treasury Inflation4%Protected Securities (TIPS))Private Real Assets – Natural Resources3%Private Real Assets – Infrastructure4%	Total Fixed Income	18%	
AlternativesAbsolute Return6%Private Equity15%Private Equity15%Real Estate12%Emerging Manager Program (Private Equity/ Real Estate)1%H 1.0%Real Return (Commodities & U.S. Treasury Inflation4%Protected Securities (TIPS))Private Real Assets – Natural Resources3%Private Real Assets – Infrastructure4%	Cash Environmente	00/	
Absolute Return6%+/- 1.0%Private Equity15%+/- 4.0%Real Estate12%+/- 4.0%Emerging Manager Program (Private Equity/ Real Estate)1%+/- 1.0%Real Return (Commodities & U.S. Treasury Inflation4%+/- 1.5%Protected Securities (TIPS))Private Real Assets – Natural Resources3%Private Real Assets – Infrastructure4%+/- 2.0%	Cash Equivalents	0%	
Absolute Return6%+/- 1.0%Private Equity15%+/- 4.0%Real Estate12%+/- 4.0%Emerging Manager Program (Private Equity/ Real Estate)1%+/- 1.0%Real Return (Commodities & U.S. Treasury Inflation4%+/- 1.5%Protected Securities (TIPS))Private Real Assets – Natural Resources3%Private Real Assets – Infrastructure4%+/- 2.0%	Alternatives		
Real Estate12%+/- 4.0%Emerging Manager Program (Private Equity/ Real Estate)1%+/- 1.0%Real Return (Commodities & U.S. Treasury Inflation4%+/- 1.5%Protected Securities (TIPS))77Private Real Assets – Natural Resources3%+/- 2.0%Private Real Assets – Infrastructure4%+/- 2.0%	Absolute Return	6%	+/- 1.0%
Real Estate12%+/- 4.0%Emerging Manager Program (Private Equity/ Real Estate)1%+/- 1.0%Real Return (Commodities & U.S. Treasury Inflation4%+/- 1.5%Protected Securities (TIPS))77Private Real Assets – Natural Resources3%+/- 2.0%Private Real Assets – Infrastructure4%+/- 2.0%	Private Equity	15%	+/- 4.0%
Real Return (Commodities & U.S. Treasury Inflation4%+/- 1.5%Protected Securities (TIPS))Private Real Assets – Natural Resources3%+/- 2.0%Private Real Assets – Infrastructure4%+/- 2.0%	1 2	12%	+/- 4.0%
Real Return (Commodities & U.S. Treasury Inflation4%+/- 1.5%Protected Securities (TIPS))Private Real Assets – Natural Resources3%+/- 2.0%Private Real Assets – Infrastructure4%+/- 2.0%	Emerging Manager Program (Private Equity/ Real Estate)	1%	+/- 1.0%
Private Real Assets – Natural Resources3%+/- 2.0%Private Real Assets – Infrastructure4%+/- 2.0%		4%	+/- 1.5%
Private Real Assets – Natural Resources3%+/- 2.0%Private Real Assets – Infrastructure4%+/- 2.0%	Protected Securities (TIPS))		
	Private Real Assets – Natural Resources	3%	+/- 2.0%
	Private Real Assets – Infrastructure	4%	+/- 2.0%
	Total Alternatives		

For a variety of reasons, each change in asset allocation for the Fund has been implemented in phases, and that approach is likely to be carried forward when and if the asset allocation policy is again modified.

The table below sets forth the comparative investments of the PSF(SBOE) for the years ending August 31, 2021 and 2022, as set forth in the PSF Annual Reports for those years. As of January 1, 2023, the assets of the PSF(SBOE) and the PSF (SLB) were generally combined for investment management and accounting purposes.

# Comparative Investment Schedule - PSF(SBOE) (1)

Fair Value (in millions) August 31, 2022 and 2021						
ASSET CLASS	August 31, 2022	August 31, <u>2021</u>	Amount of Increase (Decrease)	Percent Change		
EQUITY Domestic Small Cap Domestic Large Cap Total Domestic Equity International Equity TOTAL EQUITY	\$ 2,358.4 <u>4,730.4</u> 7,088.8 <u>5,972.5</u> 13,061.3	\$ 2,597.3 <u>6,218.7</u> 8,816.0 <u>8,062.1</u> 16,878.1	\$ (238.9) <u>(1,488.3)</u> (1,727.2) <u>(2,089.6)</u> (3,816.8)	-9.2% - <u>23.9%</u> -19.6% - <u>25.9%</u> -22.6%		
FIXED INCOME Domestic Fixed Income U.S. Treasuries High Yield Bonds Emerging Market Debt TOTAL FIXED INCOME	4,563.3 1,140.2 1,142.5 <u>1,142.5</u> 8,036.9	4,853.1 1,243.3 <u>2,683.7</u> 8,780.1	(289.8) (103.1) 1,142.5 (1,492.8) (743.2)	-6.0% -8.3% n/a - <u>55.6%</u> -8.5%		
ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENTS Absolute Return Real Estate Private Equity Emerging Manager Program Real Return TOT ALT INVESTMENTS	2,932.3 4,365.7 7,933.1 29.9 <u>1,412.0</u> 16,673.0	3,546.0 3,706.0 7,724.6 <u>1,675.5</u> 16,652.1	(613.7) 659.7 208.5 29.9 (263.5) 20.9	-17.3% 17.8% 2.7% n/a - <u>15.7%</u> 0.1%		
UNALLOCATED CASH TOTAL PSF(SBOE) INVESTMENTS	<u>    196.5</u> \$   37,967.7	<u>262.9</u> \$ 42,573.2	<u>(66.4)</u> \$ (4,605.5)	- <u>25.3%</u> -10.8%		

Source: PSF Annual Report for year ended August 31, 2022. <sup>(1)</sup> The investments shown in the table above at August 31, 2022 do not fully reflect the changes made to the PSF Strategic Asset Allocation in June 2022, as those changes were still being phased in at the end of the fiscal year.

The table below sets forth the investments of the Liquid Account for the year ended August 31, 2022.

# Liquid Account Fair Value at August 31, 2022 (1)

Amount of Increase (Decrease)	Percent
	Percent
(Decrease)	
( <u>Deereuse</u> )	<u>Change</u>
\$ 271.7	119.0%
<u>1,093.1</u>	<u>188.9%</u>
1,364.8	169.1%
832.9	<u>212.1%</u>
2,197.7	183.2%
(277.4)	-25.8%
93.7	22.7%
(5.7)	-2.7%
(189.4)	-11.1%
(1,385.3)	-97.5%
<u>, //</u>	
\$ 623.0	14.4%
	$ \frac{1,093.1}{1,364.8} \\ \underline{832.9} \\ 2,197.7 $ (277.4) 93.7 (5.7) (189.4) (1,385.3)

Source: PSF Annual Report for year ended August 31, 2022. <sup>(1)</sup> In millions of dollars.

The table below sets forth the comparative investments of the PSF(SLB) for the years ending August 31, 2022 and 2021.

#### **Comparative Investment Schedule - PSF(SLB)**

Fair Value (in millions) August 31, 2022 and 2021							
		As of <u>8-31-22</u>	As of <u>8-31-21</u>	Increase (Decrease)	Percent <u>Change</u>		
Asset Class	T / /						
Discretionary Real Assets							
Externally Manage	a Investment Funds <sup>(1)</sup>						
Keal Assets I	Energy/Minerals Infrastructure Real Estate	\$2,718.6 1,662.7 <u>1,921.2</u>	\$1,707.5 1,652.3 <u>1,276.8</u>	\$1,011.1 (29.6) <u>644.4</u>	59.2% -1.8% <u>50.5%</u>		
Internally Manageo							
Real	Estate Investments	271.5	223.9	47.6	21.3%		
Total Discretionary Real Assets Investments		6,534.0	4,860.5	1,673.5	34.4%		
Dom. Equity Rec'd as In-l	Kind Distribution	-	1.7	(1.7)	-100.0%		
Sovereign and Other Land	ls	428.3	405.4	22.9	5.6%		
Mineral Interests		5,622.2	2,720.4	2,901.8	106.7%		
Cash at State Treasury (2)		<u>1,257.5</u>	699.2	<u>558.3</u>	<u>79.8%</u>		
Total PSF(SLB) Investments		\$13,842.0	\$8,687.2	\$5,154.8	59.3%		

(1) The fair values of externally managed real assets investment funds, separate accounts, and co-investment vehicles are estimated using the most recent valuations available, adjusted for subsequent contributions and withdrawals.

<sup>(2)</sup> Cash at State Treasury represents amounts that have been deposited in the State Treasury and temporarily invested in short-term investments until called for investment by the external real assets investment funds, separate accounts, and co-investment vehicles to which PSF(SLB) has made capital commitments. Prior to September 1, 2019, PSF(SLB) was required by statute to deposit cash designated by the SLB for investment in real assets in the State Treasury until it is drawn for investment. After September 1, 2019, that cash was moved to the Liquid Account to be invested by the SBOE.

The asset allocation of the Fund's financial assets portfolio is subject to change by the PSF Corporation from time to time based upon a number of factors, including recommendations to the PSF Corporation made by internal investment staff and external consultants. Fund performance may also be affected by factors other than asset allocation, including, without limitation, the general performance of the securities markets and other capital markets in the United States and abroad, which may be affected by different levels of economic activity; decisions of political officeholders; significant adverse weather events; development of hostilities in and among nations; cybersecurity threats and events; changes in international trade policies or practices; application of the Prudent Person Standard, which may eliminate certain investment opportunities for the Fund; management fees paid to external managers and embedded management fees for some fund investments; and, PSF operational limitations impacted by Texas law or legislative appropriation. The Guarantee Program could also be impacted by changes in State or federal law or regulations or the implementation of new accounting standards.

#### The School District Bond Guarantee Program

The School District Bond Guarantee Program requires an application be made by a school district to the Education Commissioner for a guarantee of its bonds. If the conditions for the School District Bond Guarantee Program are satisfied, the guarantee becomes effective upon approval of the bonds by the Attorney General and remains in effect until the guaranteed bonds are paid or defeased, by a refunding or otherwise.

In the event of default, holders of guaranteed school district bonds will receive all payments due from the corpus of the PSF. Following a determination that a school district will be or is unable to pay maturing or matured principal or interest on any guaranteed bond, the Act requires the school district to notify the Education Commissioner not later

than the fifth day before the stated maturity date of such bond or interest payment. Immediately following receipt of such notice, the Education Commissioner must cause to be transferred from the appropriate account in the PSF to the Paying Agent/Registrar an amount necessary to pay the maturing or matured principal and interest. Upon receipt of funds for payment of such principal or interest, the Paying Agent/Registrar must pay the amount due and forward the canceled bond or evidence of payment of the interest to the State Comptroller of Public Accounts (the "Comptroller"). The Education Commissioner will instruct the Comptroller to withhold the amount paid, plus interest, from the first State money payable to the school district. The amount withheld pursuant to this funding "intercept" feature will be deposited to the credit of the PSF. The Comptroller must hold such canceled bond or evidence of payment of the interest, the Comptroller of such payment by the school district to the PSF with interest, the Comptroller will cancel the bond or evidence of payment of such payment of the interest and forward it to the school district. The Act permits the Education Commissioner to order a school district to set a tax rate sufficient to reimburse the PSF for any payments made with respect to guaranteed bonds, and also sufficient to pay future payments on guaranteed bonds, and provides certain enforcement mechanisms to the Education Commissioner, including the appointment of a board of managers or annexation of a defaulting school district to another school district.

If a school district fails to pay principal or interest on a bond as it is stated to mature, other amounts not due and payable are not accelerated and do not become due and payable by virtue of the district's default. The School District Bond Guarantee Program does not apply to the payment of principal and interest upon redemption of bonds, except upon mandatory sinking fund redemption, and does not apply to the obligation, if any, of a school district to pay a redemption premium on its guaranteed bonds. The guarantee applies to all matured interest on guaranteed school district bonds, whether the bonds were issued with a fixed or variable interest rate and whether the interest rate changes as a result of an interest reset provision or other bond order provision requiring an interest rate change. The guarantee does not extend to any obligation of a school district under any agreement with a third party relating to guaranteed bonds that is defined or described in State law as a "bond enhancement agreement" or a "credit agreement," unless the right to payment of such third party is directly as a result of such third party being a bondholder.

In the event that two or more payments are made from the PSF on behalf of a district, the Education Commissioner shall request the Attorney General to institute legal action to compel the district and its officers, agents and employees to comply with the duties required of them by law in respect to the payment of guaranteed bonds.

Generally, the regulations that govern the School District Bond Guarantee Program (the "SDBGP Rules") limit guarantees to certain types of notes and bonds, including, with respect to refunding bonds issued by school districts, a requirement that the bonds produce debt service savings, and that bonds issued for capital facilities of school districts must have been voted as unlimited tax debt of the issuing district. The Guarantee Program Rules include certain accreditation criteria for districts applying for a guarantee of their bonds, and limit guarantees to districts that have less than the amount of annual debt service per average daily attendance that represents the 90th percentile of annual debt service per average daily attendance that represents the 90th percentile of annual debt service per average daily attendance for all school districts, but such limitation will not apply to school districts that have enrollment growth of at least 25% over the previous five school years. As noted, above, in connection with the Regulatory Recodification, the SDBGP Rules are now codified in the Texas Administrative Code at 19 TAC section 33.6 and are available at https://tea.texas.gov/sites/default/files/ch033a.pdf.

# The Charter District Bond Guarantee Program

The Charter District Bond Guarantee Program became effective March 3, 2014. The SBOE published final regulations in the Texas Register that provide for the administration of the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program (the "CDBGP Rules"). As noted, above, in connection with the Regulatory Recodification, the CDBGP Rules are now codified at 19 TAC section 33.7 and are available at https://tea.texas.gov/sites/default/files/ch033a.pdf.

The Charter District Bond Guarantee Program has been authorized through the enactment of amendments to the Act, which provide that a charter holder may make application to the Education Commissioner for designation as a "charter district" and for a guarantee by the PSF under the Act of bonds issued on behalf of a charter district by a non-profit corporation. If the conditions for the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program are satisfied, the guarantee becomes effective upon approval of the bonds by the Attorney General and remains in effect until the guaranteed bonds are paid or defeased, by a refunding or otherwise.

As of July 2023 (the most recent date for which data is available), the percentage of students enrolled in openenrollment charter schools (excluding charter schools authorized by school districts) to the total State scholastic census was approximately 7.36%. At July 31, 2023, there were 184 active open-enrollment charter schools in the State and there were 1,103 charter school campuses authorized under such charters, though as of such date, 208 of such campuses are not currently serving students for various reasons; therefore, there are 895 charter school campuses actively serving students in Texas. Section 12.101, Texas Education Code, limits the number of charters that the Education Commissioner may grant to a total number of 305 charters. While legislation limits the number of charters that may be granted, it does not limit the number of campuses that may operate under a particular charter. For information regarding the capacity of the Guarantee Program, see "Capacity Limits for the Guarantee Program." The Act provides that the Education Commissioner may not approve the guarantee of refunding or refinanced bonds under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program in a total amount that exceeds one-half of the total amount available for the guarantee of charter district bonds under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program.

In accordance with the Act, the Education Commissioner may not approve charter district bonds for guarantee if such guarantees will result in lower bond ratings for public school district bonds that are guaranteed under the School District Bond Guarantee Program. To be eligible for a guarantee, the Act provides that a charter district's bonds must be approved by the Attorney General, have an unenhanced investment grade rating from a nationally recognized investment rating firm, and satisfy a limited investigation conducted by the TEA.

The Charter District Bond Guarantee Program does not apply to the payment of principal and interest upon redemption of bonds, except upon mandatory sinking fund redemption, and does not apply to the obligation, if any, of a charter district to pay a redemption premium on its guaranteed bonds. The guarantee applies to all matured interest on guaranteed charter district bonds, whether the bonds were issued with a fixed or variable interest rate and whether the interest rate changes as a result of an interest reset provision or other bond resolution provision requiring an interest rate change. The guarantee does not extend to any obligation of a charter district under any agreement with a third party relating to guaranteed bonds that is defined or described in State law as a "bond enhancement agreement" or a "credit agreement," unless the right to payment of such third party is directly as a result of such third party being a bondholder.

In the event of default, holders of guaranteed charter district bonds will receive all payments due from the corpus of the PSF. Following a determination that a charter district will be or is unable to pay maturing or matured principal or interest on any guaranteed bond, the Act requires a charter district to notify the Education Commissioner not later than the fifth day before the stated maturity date of such bond or interest payment and provides that immediately following receipt of notice that a charter district will be or is unable to pay maturing or matured principal or interest on a guaranteed bond, the Education Commissioner is required to instruct the Comptroller to transfer from the Charter District Reserve Fund to the district's paying agent an amount necessary to pay the maturing or matured principal or interest. If money in the Charter District Reserve Fund is insufficient to pay the amount due on a bond for which a notice of default has been received, the Education Commissioner is required to instruct the Comptroller to transfer from the PSF to the district's paying agent the amount necessary to pay the balance of the unpaid maturing or matured principal or interest. If a total of two or more payments are made under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program on charter district bonds and the Education Commissioner determines that the charter district is acting in bad faith under the program, the Education Commissioner may request the Attorney General to institute appropriate legal action to compel the charter district and its officers, agents, and employees to comply with the duties required of them by law in regard to the guaranteed bonds. As is the case with the School District Bond Guarantee Program, the Act provides a funding "intercept" feature that obligates the Education Commissioner to instruct the Comptroller to withhold the amount paid with respect to the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program, plus interest, from the first State money payable to a charter district that fails to make a guaranteed payment on its bonds. The amount withheld will be deposited, first, to the credit of the PSF, and then to restore any amount drawn from the Charter District Reserve Fund as a result of the non-payment.

The CDBGP Rules provide that the PSF may be used to guarantee bonds issued for the acquisition, construction, repair, or renovation of an educational facility for an open-enrollment charter holder and equipping real property of an open-enrollment charter school and/or to refinance promissory notes executed by an open-enrollment charter school, each in an amount in excess of \$500,000 the proceeds of which loans were used for a purpose described above (so-called new money bonds) or for refinancing bonds previously issued for the charter school that were approved by the attorney general (so-called refunding bonds). Refunding bonds may not be guaranteed under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program if they do not result in a present value savings to the charter holder.

The CDBGP Rules provide that an open-enrollment charter holder applying for charter district designation and a guarantee of its bonds under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program satisfy various provisions of the regulations. including the following: It must (i) have operated at least one open-enrollment charter school with enrolled students in the State for at least three years; (ii) agree that the bonded indebtedness for which the guarantee is sought will be undertaken as an obligation of all entities under common control of the open-enrollment charter holder, and that all such entities will be liable for the obligation if the open-enrollment charter holder defaults on the bonded indebtedness, provided, however, that an entity that does not operate a charter school in Texas is subject to this provision only to the extent it has received state funds from the open-enrollment charter holder; (iii) have had completed for the past three years an audit for each such year that included unqualified or unmodified audit opinions; and (iv) have received an investment grade credit rating within the last year. Upon receipt of an application for guarantee under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program, the Education Commissioner is required to conduct an investigation into the financial status of the applicant charter district and of the accreditation status of all open-enrollment charter schools operated under the charter, within the scope set forth in the CDBGP Rules. Such financial investigation must establish that an applying charter district has a historical debt service coverage ratio, based on annual debt service, of at least 1.1 for the most recently completed fiscal year, and a projected debt service coverage ratio, based on projected revenues and expenses and maximum annual debt service, of at least 1.2. The failure of an open-enrollment charter holder to comply with the Act or the applicable regulations, including by making any material misrepresentations in the charter holder's application for charter district designation or guarantee under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program, constitutes a material violation of the open-enrollment charter holder's charter.

From time to time, TEA has limited new guarantees under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program to conform to capacity limits specified by the Act. Legislation enacted during the Legislature's 2017 regular session modified the manner of calculating the capacity of the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program (the "CDBGP Capacity"), which further increased the amount of the CDBGP Capacity. The CDBGP Capacity is made available from the capacity of the Guarantee Program but is not reserved exclusively for the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program. See "Capacity Limits for the Guarantee Program" and "2017 Legislative Changes to the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program. See "Capacity Limits for the Guarantee Program" and "2017 Legislative Changes to the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program. See "Capacity Limits for the Guarantee Program" and "2017 Legislative Changes to the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program." Other factors that could increase the CDBGP Capacity include Fund investment performance, future increases in the Guarantee Program multiplier, changes in State law that govern the calculation of the CDBGP Capacity, as described below, changes in State or federal law or regulations related to the Guarantee Program limit, growth in the relative percentage of students enrolled in open-enrollment charter schools to the total State scholastic census, legislative and administrative changes in funding for charter districts, changes in level of school district or charter district participation in the Guarantee Program, or a combination of such circumstances.

# Capacity Limits for the Guarantee Program

The capacity of the Fund to guarantee bonds under the Guarantee Program is limited to the lesser of that imposed by State law (the "State Capacity Limit") and that imposed by regulations and a notice issued by the IRS (the "IRS Limit", with the limit in effect at any given time being the "Capacity Limit"). From 2005 through 2009, the Guarantee Program twice reached capacity under the IRS Limit, and in each instance the Guarantee Program was closed to new bond guarantee applications until relief was obtained from the IRS. The most recent closure of the Guarantee Program commenced in March 2009 and the Guarantee Program reopened in February 2010 after the IRS updated regulations relating to the PSF and similar funds.

Prior to 2007, various legislation was enacted modifying the calculation of the State Capacity limit; however, in 2007, Senate Bill 389 ("SB 389") was enacted, providing for increases in the capacity of the Guarantee Program, and specifically providing that the SBOE may by rule increase the capacity of the Guarantee Program from two and one-half times the cost value of the PSF to an amount not to exceed five times the cost value of the PSF, provided that the increased limit does not violate federal law and regulations and does not prevent bonds guaranteed by the Guarantee Program from receiving the highest available credit rating, as determined by the SBOE. SB 389 further provided that the SBOE shall at least annually consider whether to change the capacity of the Guarantee Program. Additionally, on May 21, 2010, the SBOE modified the SDBGP Rules, and increased the State Capacity Limit to an amount equal to three times the cost value of the PSF. Such modified regulations, including the revised capacity rule, became effective on July 1, 2010. The SDBGP Rules provide that the Education Commissioner will estimate the available capacity of the PSF each month and may increase or reduce the State Capacity Limit multiplier to prudently manage fund capacity and maintain the AAA credit rating of the Guarantee Program but also provide that any changes to the multiplier made

by the Education Commissioner are to be ratified or rejected by the SBOE at the next meeting following the change. See "Valuation of the PSF and Guaranteed Bonds" below.

Since September 2015, the SBOE has periodically voted to change the capacity multiplier as shown in the following table.

Changes in SBOE-determined multiplier for State Capacity Limit					
Multiplier					
2.50					
3.00					
3.25					
3.50					
3.75					
3.50					

Since December 16, 2009, the IRS Limit was a static limit set at 500% of the total cost value of the assets held by the PSF as of December 16, 2009; however, on May 10, 2023, the IRS released Notice 2023-39 (the "IRS Notice"), stating that the IRS would issue regulations amending the existing regulations to amend the calculation of the IRS limit to 500% of the total cost value of assets held by the PSF as of the date of sale of new bonds. In accordance with the IRS Notice, the amount of any new bonds to be guaranteed by the PSF, together with the then outstanding amount of bonds previously guaranteed by the PSF, must not exceed the IRS Limit on the sale date of the new bonds to be guaranteed. The IRS Notice further provided that the IRS Notice may be relied upon for bonds sold on or after May 10, 2023, and before the effective date of future regulations or other public administrative guidance affecting funds like the PSF.

The IRS Notice changes the IRS Limit from a static limit to a dynamic limit for the Guarantee Program based upon the cost value of Fund assets, multiplied by five. As of June 30, 2023 the cost value of the Guarantee Program was \$43,704,948,910 (unaudited), thereby producing an IRS Limit of \$218,344,585,245 in principal amount of guaranteed bonds outstanding.

As of June 30, 2023, the estimated State Capacity Limit is \$152,967,321,185, which is lower than the IRS Limit, making the State Capacity Limit the current Capacity Limit for the Fund.

Since July 1991, when the SBOE amended the Guarantee Program Rules to broaden the range of bonds that are eligible for guarantee under the Guarantee Program to encompass most Texas school district bonds, the principal amount of bonds guaranteed under the Guarantee Program has increased sharply. In addition, in recent years a number of factors have caused an increase in the amount of bonds issued by school districts in the State. See the table "Permanent School Fund Guaranteed Bonds" below. Effective March 1, 2023, the Act, as amended through the Regulatory Recodification, provides that the SBOE may establish a percentage of the Capacity Limit to be reserved from use in guaranteeing bonds (the "Capacity Reserve"). The SDBGP Rules provide for a maximum Capacity Reserve for the overall Guarantee Program of 5% and provide that the amount of the Capacity Reserve may be increased or decreased by a majority vote of the SBOE based on changes in the cost value, asset allocation, and risk in the portfolio, or may be increased or decreased by the Education Commissioner as necessary to prudently manage fund capacity and preserve the AAA credit rating of the Guarantee Program (subject to ratification or rejection by the SBOE at the next meeting for which an item can be posted). The CDBGP Rules provide for an additional reserve of CDBGP Capacity determined by calculating an equal percentage as established by the SBOE for the Capacity Reserve, applied to the CDBGP Capacity. Effective March 1, 2023, the Capacity Reserve is 0.25%. The Capacity Reserve is noted in the monthly updates with respect to the capacity of the Guarantee Program on the TEA web site at http://tea.texas.gov/Finance and Grants/Permanent School Fund/, which are also filed with the MSRB.

Based upon historical performance of the Fund, the legal restrictions relating to the amount of bonds that may be guaranteed has generally resulted in a lower ratio of guaranteed bonds to available assets as compared to many other types of credit enhancements that may be available for Texas school district bonds and charter district bonds. However, the ratio of Fund assets to guaranteed bonds and the growth of the Fund in general could be adversely affected by a number of factors, including Fund investment performance, investment objectives of the Fund, an increase in bond issues by school districts in the State or legal restrictions on the Fund, changes in State laws that implement funding decisions for school districts and charter districts, which could adversely affect the credit quality of those districts, the implementation of the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program, or significant changes in distributions to the ASF.

The issuance of the IRS Notice and the Final IRS Regulations resulted in a substantial increase in the amount of bonds guaranteed under the Guarantee Program.

With the change in the Capacity Reserve from 5% to 0.25%, effective March 1, 2023, as discussed above, and the change in the IRS Limit making the State Capacity Limit the current Capacity Limit, the net Guarantee Program capacity as of June 30, 2023 is \$152,556,827,260. No representation is made as to how the capacity will remain available, and the capacity of the Guarantee Program is subject to change due to a number of factors, including changes in bond issuance volume throughout the State and some bonds receiving guarantee approvals may not close. If the amount of guaranteed bonds approaches the State Capacity Limit, the SBOE or Education Commissioner may increase the State Capacity Limit multiplier as discussed above.

# 2017 Legislative Changes to the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program

The CDBGP Capacity is established by the Act. During the 85th Texas Legislature, which concluded on May 29, 2017, Senate Bill 1480 ("SB 1480") was enacted. SB 1480 amended the Act to modify how the CDBGP Capacity is established effective as of September 1, 2017 and made other substantive changes to the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program. Prior to the enactment of SB 1480, the CDBGP Capacity was calculated as the Capacity Limit less the amount of outstanding bond guarantees under the Guarantee Program multiplied by the percentage of charter district scholastic population relative to the total public school scholastic population. SB 1480 amended the CDBGP Capacity calculation so that the Capacity Limit is multiplied by the percentage of charter district scholastic population relative to the total public school scholastic population of the outstanding bond guarantees, thereby increasing the CDBGP Capacity.

The percentage of the charter district scholastic population to the overall public school scholastic population has grown from 3.53% in September 2012 to 7.36% in March 2023. TEA is unable to predict how the ratio of charter district students to the total State scholastic population will change over time.

In addition to modifying the manner of determining the CDBGP Capacity, SB 1480 provided that the Education Commissioner's investigation of a charter district application for guarantee may include an evaluation of whether the charter district bond security documents provide a security interest in real property pledged as collateral for the bond and the repayment obligation under the proposed guarantee. The Education Commissioner may decline to approve the application if the Education Commissioner determines that sufficient security is not provided. The Act and the CDBGP Rules also require the Education Commissioner to make an investigation of the accreditation status and financial status for a charter district applying for a bond guarantee.

Since the initial authorization of the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program, the Act has established a bond guarantee reserve fund in the State treasury (the "Charter District Reserve Fund"). Formerly, the Act provided that each charter district that has a bond guaranteed must annually remit to the Education Commissioner, for deposit in the Charter District Reserve Fund, an amount equal to 10% of the savings to the charter district that is a result of the lower interest rate on its bonds due to the guarantee by the PSF. SB 1480 modified the Act insofar as it pertains to the Charter District Reserve Fund. Effective September 1, 2017, the Act provides that a charter district that has a bond guaranteed must remit to the Education Commissioner, for deposit in the Charter District Reserve Fund, an amount equal to 20% of the savings to the charter district that is a result of the lower interest rate on the bond due to the guarantee by the PSF. The amount due shall be paid on receipt by the charter district of the bond proceeds. However, the deposit requirement will not apply if the balance of the Charter District Reserve Fund is at least equal to 3.00% of the total amount of outstanding guaranteed bonds issued by charter districts. At June 30, 2023, the Charter District Reserve Fund is held and invested as a non-commingled fund under the administration of the PSF Corporation staff.

# **Charter District Risk Factors**

Open-enrollment charter schools in the State may not charge tuition and, unlike school districts, charter districts have no taxing power. Funding for charter district operations is largely from amounts appropriated by the Legislature. Additionally, the amount of State payments a charter district receives is based on a variety of factors, including the enrollment at the schools operated by a charter district, and may be affected by the State's economic performance and other budgetary considerations and various political considerations. Other than credit support for charter district bonds that is provided to qualifying charter districts by the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program, State funding for charter district facilities construction is limited to a program established by the Legislature in 2017, which provides \$60 million per year for eligible charter districts with an acceptable performance rating for a variety of funding purposes, including for lease or purchase payments for instructional facilities. Since State funding for charter facilities is limited, charter schools generally issue revenue bonds to fund facility construction and acquisition, or fund facilities from cash flows of the school. Some charter districts have issued non-guaranteed debt in addition to debt guaranteed under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program, and such nonguaranteed debt is likely to be secured by a deed of trust covering all or part of the charter district's facilities. In March 2017, the TEA began requiring charter districts to provide the TEA with a lien against charter district property as a condition to receiving a guarantee under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program. However, charter district bonds issued and guaranteed under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program. However, charter district bonds issued and guaranteed under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program prior to the implementation of the new requirement did not have the benefit of a security interest in real property, although other existing debts of such charter districts that are not guaranteed under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program may be secured by real property that could be foreclosed on in the event of a bond default.

As a general rule, the operation of a charter school involves fewer State requirements and regulations for charter holders as compared to other public schools, but the maintenance of a State-granted charter is dependent upon on-going compliance with State law and regulations, which are monitored by TEA. TEA has a broad range of enforcement and remedial actions that it can take as corrective measures, and such actions may include the loss of the State charter, the appointment of a new board of directors to govern a charter district, the assignment of operations to another charter operator, or, as a last resort, the dissolution of an open-enrollment charter school. Charter holders are governed by a private board of directors, as compared to the elected boards of trustees that govern school districts.

As described above, the Act includes a funding "intercept" function that applies to both the School District Bond Guarantee Program and the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program. However, school districts are viewed as the "educator of last resort" for students residing in the geographical territory of the district, which makes it unlikely that State funding for those school districts would be discontinued, although the TEA can require the dissolution and merger into another school district if necessary to ensure sound education and financial management of a school district. That is not the case with a charter district, however, and open-enrollment charter schools in the State have been dissolved by TEA from time to time. If a charter district that has bonds outstanding that are guaranteed by the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program should be dissolved, debt service on guaranteed bonds of the district would continue to be paid to bondholders in accordance with the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program, but there would be no funding available for reimbursement of the PSF by the Comptroller for such payments. As described under "The Charter District Bond Guarantee Program," the Act established the Charter District Reserve Fund, to serve as a reimbursement resource for the PSF.

# Infectious Disease Outbreak

Since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic in March 2020, TEA and TEA investment management for the PSF have continued to operate and function pursuant to the TEA continuity of operations plan developed as mandated in accordance with Texas Labor Code Section 412.054. That plan was designed to ensure performance of the Agency's essential missions and functions under such threats and conditions in the event of, among other emergencies, a pandemic event.

Circumstances regarding the COVID-19 pandemic continue to evolve; for additional information on these events in the State, reference is made to the website of the Governor, https://gov.texas.gov/, and, with respect to public school events, the website of TEA, https://tea.texas.gov/texas-schools/safe-and-healthy-schools/coronavirus-covid-19-support-and-guidance.

TEA cannot predict whether any school or charter district may experience short- or longer-term cash flow emergencies as a direct or indirect effect of COVID-19 that would require a payment from the PSF to be made to a paying agent for a guaranteed bond. However, through the end of February 2023, no school district or charter district had failed to perform with respect to making required payments on their guaranteed bonds. Information regarding the respective financial operations of the issuer of bonds guaranteed, or to be guaranteed, by the PSF is provided by such issuers in their respective bond offering documents and the TEA takes no responsibility for the respective information, as it is provided by the respective issuers.

#### **Ratings of Bonds Guaranteed Under the Guarantee Program**

2022

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., S&P Global Ratings and Fitch Ratings, Inc. rate bonds guaranteed by the PSF "Aaa," "AAA" and "AAA," respectively. Not all districts apply for multiple ratings on their bonds, however. See "OTHER INFORMATION – Ratings" herein.

#### Valuation of the PSF and Guaranteed Bonds

Permanent School Fund Valuations				
Fiscal Year Ended 8/31	Book Value <sup>(1)</sup>	Market Value <sup>(1)</sup>		
2018	\$33,860,358,647	\$44,074,197,940		
2019	35,288,344,219	46,464,447,981		
2020	36,642,000,738	46,764,059,745		
2021	38,699,895,545	55,582,252,097		
2022 <sup>(2)</sup>	42,511,350,050	56,754,515,757		

<sup>(1)</sup> SLB managed assets are included in the market value and book value of the Fund. In determining the market value of the PSF from time to time during a fiscal year, the current, unaudited values for PSF investment portfolios and cash held by the SLB are used. With respect to SLB managed assets shown in the table above, market values of land and mineral interests, internally managed real estate, investments in externally managed real estate funds and cash are based upon information reported to the PSF Corporation by the SLB. The SLB reports that information to the PSF Corporation on a quarterly basis. The valuation of such assets at any point in time is dependent upon a variety of factors, including economic conditions in the State and nation in general, and the values of these assets, and, in particular, the valuation of mineral holdings administered by the SLB, can be volatile and subject to material changes from period to period.

<sup>(2)</sup> At August 31, 2022, mineral assets, sovereign and other lands and discretionary internal investments, investments with external managers, and cash managed by the SLB had book values of approximately \$13.4 million, \$180.6 million, \$5,433.0 million, and \$1,257.5 million, respectively, and market values of approximately \$5,622.2 million, \$699.8 million, \$6,262.5 million, and \$1,257.52 million, respectively.

1.5

103.239.495.929 (2)

Permanent School Fund Guaranteed Bonds				
At 8/31	Principal Amount <sup>(1)</sup>			
2018	\$79,080,901,069			
2019	84,397,900,203			
2020	90,336,680,245			
2021	95,259,161,922			

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents original principal amount; does not reflect any subsequent accretions in value for compound interest bonds (zero coupon securities). The amount shown excludes bonds that have been refunded and released from the Guarantee Program. The TEA does not maintain records of the accreted value of capital appreciation bonds that are guaranteed under the Guarantee Program.

<sup>(2)</sup> At August 31, 2022 (the most recent date for which such data is available), the TEA expected that the principal and interest to be paid by school districts and charter districts over the remaining life of the bonds guaranteed by the Guarantee Program was \$156,825,227,335, of which \$53,585,731,406 represents interest to be paid. As shown in the table above, at August 31, 2022, there were \$103,239,495,929 in principal amount of bonds guaranteed under the Guarantee Program. Using the State Capacity Limit of \$152,556,827,260 (the State Capacity Limit is currently the Capacity Limit), net of the Capacity Reserve, as of June 30, 2023, 7.36% of the Guarantee Program's capacity was available to the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program. As of June 30, 2023, the amount of outstanding bond guarantees represented 70.06% of the Capacity Limit (which is currently the State Capacity Limit). June 30, 2023 values are based on unaudited data, which is subject to adjustment.

Permanent School Fund Guaranteed Bonds by Category<sup>(1)</sup>

School District Bonds		Chart	er School Bonds		Totals		
Fiscal Year Ended 8/31	No. of Issues	Principal Amount	No. of Issues	Principal Amount	No. of Issues	Principal Amount	
2018	3,249	\$77,647,966,069	44	\$1,432,935,000	3,293	\$79,080,901,069	
2019	3,297	82,537,755,203	49	1,860,145,000	3,346	84,397,900,203	
2020	3,296	87,800,478,245	64	2,536,202,000	3,360	90,336,680,245	
2021	3,346	91,951,175,922	83	3,307,986,000	3,429	95,259,161,922	
2022 (2)	3,348	99,528,099,929	94	3,711,396,000	3,442	103,239,495,929	

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents original principal amount; does not reflect any subsequent accretions in value for compound interest bonds (zero coupon securities). The amount shown excludes bonds that have been refunded and released from the Guarantee Program.

<sup>(2)</sup> At June 30, 2023 (based on unaudited data, which is subject to adjustment), there were \$107,163,538,633 in principal amount of bonds guaranteed under the Guarantee Program, representing 3,424 school district issues, aggregating \$103,112,917,633 in principal amount and 107 charter district issues, aggregating \$4,050,621,000 in principal amount. At June 30, 2023 the projected guarantee capacity available was \$30,224,526,888 (based on unaudited data, which is subject to adjustment).

## Discussion and Analysis Pertaining to Fiscal Year Ended August 31, 2022

The following discussion is derived from the Annual Report for the year ended August 31, 2022, including the Message of the Executive Administrator of the Fund and the Management's Discussion and Analysis contained therein. Reference is made to the Annual Report, as filed with the MSRB, for the complete Message and MD&A. Investment assets managed by the fifteen member SBOE are referred to throughout this MD&A as the PSF(SBOE) and, with respect to the Liquid Account, Liquid(SBOE) assets. As of August 31, 2022, the Fund's land, mineral rights and certain real assets are managed by the five-member SLB and these assets are referred to throughout as the PSF(SLB) assets. The current PSF(SBOE) asset allocation policy includes an allocation for real estate investments, and as such investments are made, and become a part of the PSF(SBOE) investment portfolio, those investments will be managed by the SBOE and not the SLB.

At the end of fiscal 2022, the Fund balance was \$56.8 billion, an increase of \$1.2 billion from the prior year. This increase is primarily due to overall net increases in value of the various asset classes in which the Fund is invested. During the year, the SBOE continued implementing the long-term strategic asset allocation, diversifying the PSF(SBOE) to strengthen the Fund. The asset allocation is projected to increase returns over the long run while reducing risk and portfolio return volatility. The PSF(SBOE) annual rates of return for the one-year, five-year, and ten-year periods ending August 31, 2022, net of fees, were -6.80%, 6.54% and 7.33%, respectively, and the Liquid(SBOE) annual rate of return for the one-year and three-year periods ending August 31, 2022, net of fees, was -10.24% and -1.23% (total return takes into consideration the change in the market value of the Fund during the year as well as the interest and dividend income generated by the Fund's investments). In addition, the SLB continued its shift into externally managed real asset investment funds, and the one-year, five-year, and ten-year annualized total returns for the PSF(SLB) externally managed real assets, net of fees and including cash, were 32.29%, 8.42%, and 7.40%, respectively.

The Fund is invested in global markets and experiences volatility commensurate with the related indices. The Fund is broadly diversified and benefits from the cost structure of its investment program. Changes continue to be researched, crafted and implemented to make the cost structure more effective and efficient. See "Comparative Investment Schedule - PSF(SBOE)" for the PSF(SBOE) holdings as of August 31, 2022.

As of August 31, 2022, the SBOE has approved, and the Fund made capital commitments to, externally managed real estate investment funds in a total amount of \$7.3 billion and capital commitments to private equity limited partnerships for a total of \$10.1 billion. Unfunded commitments at August 31, 2022, totaled \$2.4 billion in real estate investments and \$2.9 billion in private equity investments.

PSF Returns Fiscal Year Ended 8-31-2022 (1)

		Benchmark
<u>Portfolio</u>	Return	Return <sup>(2)</sup>
Total PSF(SBOE) Portfolio	(6.80) %	(6.37) %
Domestic Large Cap Equities (SBOE)	(11.08)	(11.23)
Domestic Small/Mid Cap Equities (SBOE)	(10.96)	(10.90)
International Equities (SBOE)	(19.72)	(19.52)
Emerging Market Equity (SBOE)	(22.85)	(21.80)
Fixed Income (SBOE)	(12.16)	(11.52)
Treasuries	(22.82)	(22.64)
Absolute Return (SBOE)	(0.55)	(5.66)
Real Estate (SBOE)	23.31	20.56
Private Equity (SBOE)	3.17	8.43
Real Return (SBOE)	2.98	3.09
Emerging Market Debt (SBOE)	(17.95)	(19.43)
Liquid Large Cap Equity (SBOE)	(10.39)	(11.23)
Liquid Small Cap Equity (SBOE)	(10.63)	(10.90)
Liquid International Equity (SBOE)	(19.34)	(19.52)
Liquid Short-Term Fixed Income (SBOE)	(4.27)	(4.01)
Liquid Core Bonds (SBOE)	(11.30)	(11.52)
Liquid TIPS (SBOE)	(5.78)	(5.98)
Liquid Transition Cash Reserves (SBOE)	1.65	0.38
Liquid Combined (SBOE)	(10.24)	(10.88)
PSF (SLB)	(32.29)	N/A

<sup>(1)</sup> Time weighted rates of return adjusted for cash flows for the PSF(SBOE) investment assets. Does not include GLO managed real estate or real assets. Returns are net of fees. Source: PSF Annual Report for year ended August 31, 2022.

<sup>(2)</sup> Benchmarks are as set forth in the PSF Annual Report for year ended August 31, 2022.

The PSF(SLB) portfolio is generally characterized by three broad categories: (1) discretionary real assets investments, (2) sovereign and other lands, and (3) mineral interests. Discretionary real assets investments consist of externally managed real estate, infrastructure, and energy/minerals investment funds; internally managed direct real estate investments, and cash. Sovereign and other lands consist primarily of the lands set aside to the PSF when it was created. Mineral interests consist of all of the minerals that are associated with PSF lands. The investment focus of PSF(SLB) discretionary real assets investment funds. The PSF(SLB) managed direct real estate investments to externally managed real assets investment funds. The PSF(SLB) makes investments in certain limited partnerships that legally commit it to possible future capital contributions. At August 31, 2022, the remaining commitments totaled approximately \$1.94 billion.

For fiscal year 2022, total revenues, inclusive of unrealized gains and losses and net of security lending rebates and fees, totaled \$3.5 billion, a decrease of \$7.3 billion from fiscal year 2021 earnings of \$10.8 billion. The total change in the fair value of the Fund investments is consistent with the change in value of the markets in which those investments were made. In fiscal year 2022, revenues earned by the Fund included gains realized on the sale of land and real estate owned by the Fund; lease payments, bonuses and royalty income received from oil, gas and mineral leases; lease payments from commercial real estate; surface lease and easement revenues; revenues from the resale of natural and liquid gas supplies; dividends, interest, and securities lending revenues; the net change in the fair value of the investment portfolio and externally managed real assets investment funds; and other miscellaneous fees and income.

Expenditures are paid from the Fund before distributions are made under the total return formula. Such expenditures include the costs incurred by the SLB to manage the land endowment, as well as operational costs of the Fund, including external management fees paid from appropriated funds. Total operating expenditures, net of security lending rebates and fees, increased 3.0% for the fiscal year ending August 31, 2022. This increase is primarily attributable to an increase in PSF(SLB) quantities of purchased gas for resale in the State Energy Management Program, which is administered by the SLB as part of the Fund, as well as increases in operational costs.

The Fund directly supports the public school system in the State by distributing a predetermined percentage of its asset value to the ASF. For fiscal years 2021 and 2022, the distribution from the SBOE to the ASF totaled \$1.1 billion and \$1.7 billion, respectively. Distributions from the SLB to the ASF for fiscal years 2021 and 2022 totaled \$600 and \$415 million, respectively.

At the end of the 2022 fiscal year, PSF assets guaranteed \$103.2 billion in bonds issued by 898 local school districts and charter districts, the latter of which entered into the Guarantee Program during the 2014 fiscal year. Since its inception in 1983, the Fund has guaranteed 8,554 school district and charter district bond issues totaling \$239.7 billion in principal amount. During the 2022 fiscal year, the number of outstanding issues guaranteed under the Guarantee Program totaled 3,442. The dollar amount of guaranteed school and charter bond issues outstanding increased by \$7.98 billion or 8.4%. The State Capacity Limit increased by \$13.3 billion, or 9.8%, during fiscal year 2022 due to continued growth in the cost basis of the Fund used to calculate that Program capacity limit. The effective capacity of the Guarantee Program did not increase during fiscal year 2022 as the IRS Limit was reached in a prior fiscal year, and it is the lower of the two capacity limits for the Guarantee Program.

## Other Events and Disclosures

The State Investment Ethics Code governs the ethics and disclosure requirements for financial advisors and other service providers who advise certain State governmental entities, including the PSF. The SBOE code of ethics provides ethical standards for SBOE members, the Education Commissioner, TEA staff, and persons who provide services to the SBOE relating to the Fund. As part of the Regulatory Recodification, the PSF Corporation developed its own ethics policy as required by SB 1232, which provides basic ethical principles, guidelines, and standards of conduct relating to the management and investment of the Fund in accordance with the requirements of §43.058 of the Texas Education Code, as amended. The SBOE code of ethics is codified in the Texas Administrative Code at 19 TAC sections 33.4 et seq. and is available on the TEA web site at https://tea.texas.gov/sites/default/files/ch033a.pdf. The PSF Corporation's ethics policy is posted to the PSF Corporation's website at texaspsf.org.

In addition, the SLB and GLO have established processes and controls over the administration of real estate transactions and are subject to provisions of the Texas Natural Resources Code and internal procedures in administering real estate transactions for Fund assets it manages.

As of August 31, 2022, certain lawsuits were pending against the State and/or the GLO, which challenge the Fund's title to certain real property and/or past or future mineral income from that property, and other litigation arising in the normal course of the investment activities of the PSF. Reference is made to the Annual Report, when filed, for a description of such lawsuits that are pending, which may represent contingent liabilities of the Fund.

# PSF Continuing Disclosure Undertaking

The Regulatory Recodification included the codification of the TEA's undertaking pursuant to Rule 15c2-12 (the "TEA Undertaking") pertaining to the PSF and the Guarantee Program. As of March 1, 2023, the TEA Undertaking is codified at 19 TAC 33.8, which relates to the Guarantee Program and is available at available at https://tea.texas.gov/sites/default/files/ch033a.pdf.

Through the codification of the TEA Undertaking and its commitment to guarantee bonds, the TEA has made the following agreement for the benefit of the issuers, holders and beneficial owners of guaranteed bonds. The TEA (or its successor with respect to the management of the Guarantee Program) is required to observe the agreement for so long as it remains an "obligated person," within the meaning of Rule 15c2-12, with respect to guaranteed bonds. Nothing in the TEA Undertaking obligates the TEA to make any filings or disclosures with respect to guaranteed bonds, as the obligations of the TEA under the TEA Undertaking pertain solely to the Guarantee Program. The issuer or an "obligated person" of the guaranteed bonds has assumed the applicable obligation under Rule 15c2-12 to make all disclosures and filings relating directly to guaranteed bonds, and the TEA takes no responsibility with respect to such undertakings. Under the TEA Undertaking, the TEA will be obligated to provide annually certain updated financial information and operating data, and timely notice of specified material events, to the MSRB.

The MSRB has established the Electronic Municipal Market Access ("EMMA") system, and the TEA is required to file its continuing disclosure information using the EMMA system. Investors may access continuing disclosure information filed with the MSRB at www.emma.msrb.org, and the continuing disclosure filings of the TEA with respect to the PSF can be found at https://emma.msrb.org/IssueView/Details/ER355077 or by searching for "Texas Permanent School Fund Bond Guarantee Program" on EMMA.

#### **Annual Reports**

The TEA will annually provide certain updated financial information and operating data to the MSRB. The information to be updated includes all quantitative financial information and operating data with respect to the Guarantee Program and the PSF of the general type included in this APPENDIX D. The information also includes the Annual Report. The TEA will update and provide this information within six months after the end of each fiscal year.

The TEA may provide updated information in full text or may incorporate by reference certain other publicly-available documents, as permitted by Rule 15c2-12. The updated information includes audited financial statements of, or relating to, the State or the PSF, when and if such audits are commissioned and available. Financial statements of the State will be prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles as applied to state governments, as such principles may be changed from time to time, or such other accounting principles as the State Auditor is required to employ from time to time pursuant to State law or regulation. The financial statements of the Fund are required to be prepared to conform to U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles as established by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board.

The Fund is reported by the State of Texas as a permanent fund and accounted for on a current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Measurement focus refers to the definition of the resource flows measured. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, all revenues reported are recognized based on the criteria of availability and measurability. Assets are defined as available if they are in the form of cash or can be converted into cash within 60 days to be usable for payment of current liabilities. Amounts are defined as measurable if they can be estimated or otherwise determined. Expenditures are recognized when the related fund liability is incurred.

The State's current fiscal year end is August 31. Accordingly, the TEA must provide updated information by the last day of February in each year, unless the State changes its fiscal year. If the State changes its fiscal year, the TEA will notify the MSRB of the change.

#### **Event Notices**

The TEA will also provide timely notices of certain events to the MSRB. Such notices will be provided not more than ten business days after the occurrence of the event. The TEA will provide notice of any of the following events with respect to the Guarantee Program: (1) principal and interest payment delinquencies; (2) non-payment related defaults, if such event is material within the meaning of the federal securities laws; (3) unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties; (4) unscheduled draws on credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties; (5) substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or their failure to perform; (6) adverse tax opinions, the issuance by the IRS of proposed or final determinations of taxability, Notices of Proposed Issue (IRS Form 5701-TEB), or other material notices or determinations with respect to the tax status of the Guarantee Program, or other material events affecting the tax status of the Guarantee Program; (7) modifications to rights of holders of bonds guaranteed by the Guarantee Program, if such event is material within the meaning of the federal securities laws; (8) bond calls, if such event is material within the meaning of the federal securities laws, and tender offers; (9) defeasances; (10) release, substitution, or sale of property securing repayment of bonds guaranteed by the Guarantee Program, if such event is material within the meaning of the federal securities laws; (11) rating changes of the Guarantee Program; (12) bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership, or similar event of the Guarantee Program (which is considered to occur when any of the following occur: the appointment of a receiver, fiscal agent, or similar officer for the Guarantee Program in a proceeding under the United States Bankruptcy Code or in any other proceeding under state or federal law in which a court or governmental authority has assumed jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the Guarantee Program, or if such jurisdiction has been assumed by leaving the existing governing body and officials or officers in possession but subject to the supervision and orders of a court or governmental authority, or the entry of an order confirming a plan of reorganization, arrangement, or liquidation by a court or governmental authority having supervision or jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the Guarantee Program); (13) the consummation of a merger, consolidation, or acquisition involving the Guarantee Program or the sale of all or substantially all of its assets, other than in the ordinary course of business, the entry into a definitive agreement to undertake such an action or the termination of a definitive agreement relating to any such actions, other than pursuant to its terms, if such event is material within the meaning of the federal securities laws; (14) the appointment of a successor or additional trustee with respect to the Guarantee Program or the change of name of a trustee, if such event is material within the meaning of the federal securities laws; (15) the incurrence of a financial

obligation of the Guarantee Program, if material, or agreement to covenants, events of default, remedies, priority rights, or other similar terms of a financial obligation of the Guarantee Program, any of which affect security holders, if material; and (16) default, event of acceleration, termination event, modification of terms, or other similar events under the terms of a financial obligation of the Guarantee Program, any of which reflect financial difficulties. (Neither the Act nor any other law, regulation or instrument pertaining to the Guarantee Program make any provision with respect to the Guarantee Program for bond calls, debt service reserves, credit enhancement, liquidity enhancement, early redemption or the appointment of a trustee with respect to the Guarantee Program.) In addition, the TEA will provide timely notice of any failure by the TEA to provide information, data, or financial statements in accordance with its agreement described above under "Annual Reports."

## Availability of Information

The TEA has agreed to provide the foregoing information only to the MSRB and to transmit such information electronically to the MSRB in such format and accompanied by such identifying information as prescribed by the MSRB. The information is available from the MSRB to the public without charge at www.emma.msrb.org.

#### Limitations and Amendments

The TEA has agreed to update information and to provide notices of material events only as described above. The TEA has not agreed to provide other information that may be relevant or material to a complete presentation of its financial results of operations, condition, or prospects or agreed to update any information that is provided, except as described above. The TEA makes no representation or warranty concerning such information or concerning its usefulness to a decision to invest in or sell Bonds at any future date. The TEA disclaims any contractual or tort liability for damages resulting in whole or in part from any breach of its continuing disclosure agreement or from any statement made pursuant to its agreement, although holders of Bonds may seek a writ of mandamus to compel the TEA to comply with its agreement.

The continuing disclosure agreement of the TEA is made only with respect to the PSF and the Guarantee Program. The issuer of guaranteed bonds or an obligated person with respect to guaranteed bonds may make a continuing disclosure undertaking in accordance with Rule 15c2-12 with respect to its obligations arising under Rule 15c2-12 pertaining to financial information and operating data concerning such entity and events notices relating to such guaranteed bonds. A description of such undertaking, if any, is included elsewhere in this offering document.

This continuing disclosure agreement may be amended by the TEA from time to time to adapt to changed circumstances that arise from a change in legal requirements, a change in law, or a change in the identity, nature, status, or type of operations of the TEA, but only if (1) the provisions, as so amended, would have permitted an underwriter to purchase or sell guaranteed bonds in the primary offering of such bonds in compliance with Rule 15c2-12, taking into account any amendments or interpretations of Rule 15c2-12 since such offering as well as such changed circumstances and (2) either (a) the holders of a majority in aggregate principal amount of the outstanding bonds guaranteed by the Guarantee Program consent to such amendment or (b) a person that is unaffiliated with the TEA (such as nationally recognized bond counsel) determines that such amendment will not materially impair the interest of the holders and beneficial owners of the bonds guaranteed by the Guarantee Program. The TEA may also amend or repeal the provisions of its continuing disclosure agreement if the SEC amends or repeals the applicable provision of Rule 15c2-12 or a court of final jurisdiction enters judgment that such provisions of Rule 15c2-12 are invalid, but only if and to the extent that the provisions of this sentence would not prevent an underwriter from lawfully purchasing or selling bonds guaranteed by the Guarantee Program in the primary offering of such bonds.

# **Compliance with Prior Undertakings**

Except as stated below, during the last five years, the TEA has not failed to substantially comply with its previous continuing disclosure agreements in accordance with Rule 15c2-12. On April 28, 2022 TEA became aware that it had not timely filed its 2021 Annual Report with EMMA due to an administrative oversight. TEA took corrective action and filed the 2021 Annual Report with EMMA on April 28, 2022, followed by a notice of late filing made with EMMA on April 29, 2022. TEA notes that the 2021 Annual Report was timely filed on the TEA website by the required filing date and that website posting has been incorporated by reference into TEA's Bond Guarantee Program disclosures that are included in school district and charter district offering documents.

#### **SEC Exemptive Relief**

On February 9, 1996, the TEA received a letter from the Chief Counsel of the SEC that pertains to the availability of the "small issuer exemption" set forth in paragraph (d)(2) of Rule 15c2-12. The letter provides that Texas school districts which offer municipal securities that are guaranteed under the Guarantee Program may undertake to comply with the provisions of paragraph (d)(2) of Rule 15c2-12 if their offerings otherwise qualify for such exemption, notwithstanding the guarantee of the school district securities under the Guarantee Program. Among other requirements established by Rule 15c2-12, a school district offering may qualify for the small issuer exemption if, upon issuance of the proposed series of securities, the school district will have no more than 10 million of outstanding municipal securities.