

### CREDIT OPINION

10 February 2017

New Issue

Rate this Research



#### Contacts

Jessica Raab 212-553-2970 Associate Analyst iessica.raab@moodys.com

Christopher Coviello 212-553-0575

VP-Senior Analyst
christopher.coviello@moodys.com

# Lexington (City of) TN Electric Enterprise

New Issue - Moody's Assigns Aa3 to Lexington Electric Enterprise, TN's Electric Revenue Bonds

# **Summary Rating Rationale**

Moody's Investors Service has assigned a Aa3 rating to Lexington Electric Enterprise, TN's \$7.4 million Electric System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2017. Concurrently, Moody's maintains the Aa3 rating on the system's outstanding electric revenue bonds.

The Aa3 rating reflects a moderately-sized, largely residential customer base, satisfactory financial operations, manageable debt burden, and adequate legal protections for bondholders.

# **Credit Strengths**

- » Strong coverage levels
- » Moderately-sized customer base
- » Reliability record of Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA)

# **Credit Challenges**

» Narrow cash position decreasing financial flexibility

## **Rating Outlook**

Outlooks are not typically assigned to ratings with this level of debt outstanding.

# Factors that Could Lead to an Upgrade

- » Substantial increase in customer base
- » Significant increase in cash position providing greater financial flexibility

## Factors that Could Lead to a Downgrade

- » Continued decrease in cash position further limiting financial flexibility
- » Substantial decrease in customer base
- » Increase debt ratio

# **Key Indicators**

#### Exhibit 1

Lexington (City of) TN Electric Enterprise					
System Characteristics					
Asset Condition (Net Fixed Assets / Annual Depreciation)	19 years				
System Size - O&M (in \$000s)	41,738				
Service Area Wealth: MFI % of US median	69.71%				
Legal Provisions					
Rate Covenant (x)	1.20				
Debt Service Reserve Requirement	DSRF funded at MADS				
Financial Strength					
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Operating Revenue (\$000)	47,545	46,714	47,507	46,865	45,559
O&M (\$000)	42,742	41,975	42,824	42,373	41,738
Long-Term Debt (\$000)	16,380	15,880	15,370	14,845	14,300
Annual Debt Service Coverage (x)	4.72	3.78	3.62	3.40	2.84
Cash on Hand	136 days	115 days	94 days	106 days	99 days
Debt to Operating Revenues (x)	0.3x	0.3x	0.3x	0.3x	0.3x

Source: Moody's Investors Service

## **Detailed Rating Considerations**

# Service Area and System Characteristics: System Provides Electric Service to Relatively Stable West-Central Tennessee Area

Lexington City's Electric System is a distribution system only, and it distributes electricity purchased exclusively from the <u>Tennessee</u> <u>Valley Authority</u> (TVA, Aaa stable) to a moderately-sized customer base within the <u>City of Lexington</u> (A1), the majority of <u>Henderson County</u> (A1), all of <u>Decatur County</u> (A2), the northern section of <u>Hardin County</u> (A1) and a small section of <u>Carroll County</u> (A2).

The system's customer base, largely rural, has grown slightly at an average rate of 0.6% since 2012, reaching a total of 22,449 in fiscal 2016. The service area is largely agricultural, and officials expect similar growth in the base going forward, given the availability of land for new development.

The majority of the system's customers are classified as residential (80%). Income levels in both Henderson and Decatur counties are weaker compared to national averages, with median family income of 55.2% and 70.2% of the nation, respectively. Unemployment in both counties, at approximately 6.2% (Henderson County) and 6.3% (Decatur County) for November 2016, remain well above state (4.6%) and national (4.4%) levels.

The TVA relationship is a key credit strength, which includes a reliable power supply and formulaic fund transfers to city government by TVA (compared to US city-owned electric utilities). An important advantage in the Authority's relationship with TVA has been the ample capacity and strong reliability record of TVA, which has protected municipal distributor system customers from facing price spikes that have become more common in the deregulated wholesale marketplace.

#### Debt Service Coverage and Revenue Metrics: Electric System has Maintained a Healthy Financial Position

Moody's expects the electric system's financial operations to continue to be well-managed, given the expectation of satisfactory coverage levels going forward. To date coverage levels have been solid with debt service coverage ratios of 2.84 times in Fiscal 2016. In 2016, there was a dip in coverage due to a lawsuit that was settled, a total of \$287,674 was paid out in 2016. Even with the expense

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

associated with the lawsuit, the coverage is expected to remain strong going forward. Rates were increased in October 2016 which will provide improved revenues in fiscal 2017. In addition, the refunding is being structured with Maximum Annual Debt Service occurring in fiscal 2018 as opposed to 2031. After fiscal 2018, debt service will decrease leading to further improved coverage.

The system also transfers an annual payment in lieu of taxes (PILOT) to the city's General Fund, which is considered subordinate to debt service. The coverage figures listed above include the PILOT payment as a revenue.

The net working capital has leveled out to about 23% of expenditures. Fiscal 2010 represented a low of only 8.6% of expenditures. Management intends to continue to invest in the system.

#### LIQUIDITY

Days cash on hand has been declining but is still stable with 99 days cash on hand in fiscal 2016. This is down from 136 days in fiscal 2012, however the system anticipates improving liquidity in fiscal 2017 due to the recent rate increase. Going forward, management anticipates further rate increases to parallel increases in expenses.

## Debt and Legal Covenants: Satisfactory Legal Protections for Bondholders

The resolution provides adequate legal protection for holders of the Series 2017 bonds. The bonds have a senior lien on net revenues and are on parity with the outstanding debt. The resolution includes a rate covenant that requires annual net revenues to be 120% of total annual senior lien debt service and 100% of any subordinate lien debt. The Debt Service Reserve, which is currently cash-funded in the amount of \$1.1 million, or the Maximum Annual Debt Service (MADS). Additional bonds that are parity to the current debt may be issued if the 12 of the prior 18 month's net revenues are equal to 120% of MADS, or if a rate increase in place would have provided such coverage on a pro forma basis.

#### **DEBT STRUCTURE**

All the system's debt is fixed rate.

#### **DEBT-RELATED DERIVATIVES**

The system is not party to any derivative agreements or swaps.

#### PENSIONS AND OPEB

The Lexington Electric System has a single employer retirement plan called the Retirement Plan for Employees of Lexington Electric System. The system contributed \$663,487 or 1.6% of expenditures. In addition, the system contributed 42.1% of the OPEB contribution or \$133,002. The combined pension and OPEB contribution was 1.9% of expenditures in fiscal 2016.

#### **Management and Governance**

The district is strengthened by a strong management team with a willingness to raise rates to maintain adequate debt service coverage and healthy liquidity. Rates are increased in conjunction with TVA rates and management is in the process of shifting the rate structure to have a fixed charge cover the fixed expenses of the system.

## **Legal Security**

The bonds are secured by a first lien on the electric system net revenues.

#### **Use of Proceeds**

Proceeds will be used to refund the system's 2007 bonds. The expected savings is approximately \$381,570 or 5.4% of refunded principal.

### **Obligor Profile**

Lexington City's Electric System is a distribution system only, and it distributes electricity purchased exclusively from the Tennessee Valley Authority to the City of Lexington (A1), the majority of Henderson County, all of Decatur County, the northern section of Hardin County and a small section of Carroll County.

#### Methodology

The principal methodology used in this rating was US Municipal Utility Revenue Debt published in December 2014. Please see the Rating Methodologies page on www.moodys.com for a copy of this methodology.

# **Ratings**

Exhibit 2

# LEXINGTON (CITY OF) TN ELECTRIC ENTERPRISE

Rating	Issue	
Aa3	Electric System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series	
	2017	
Underlying LT	Rating Type	
\$7,400,000	Sale Amount	
02/13/2017	Expected Sale Date	
Revenue: Government	Rating Description	
Enterprise		

Source: Moody's Investors Service

© 2017 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE. HOLDING. OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at <a href="https://www.moodys.com">www.moodys.com</a> under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

REPORT NUMBER

1058418

Contacts

**Jessica Raab** Associate Analyst jessica.raab@moodys.com 212-553-2970

#### **CLIENT SERVICES**

 Americas
 1-212-553-1653

 Asia Pacific
 852-3551-3077

 Japan
 81-3-5408-4100

 EMEA
 44-20-7772-5454

